
City of Fargo



CORE
NEIGHBORHOODS

Master Plan

JANUARY
2021



Prepared by czbLLC

**Prepared for
City of Fargo**

Consultants
czbLLC with Fall Creek Consultants

**With thanks to
Project Steering
Committee**



Matour Alier
Vicki Dawson
Tim Flakoll
Tony Grindberg
John Gunkelman
Thomas Hill
Lenore King
Linda Klebe
Rebecca Knutson
Dawn Morgan
Arlette Preston
Mason Rademacher
Rocky Schneider
Kim Settler
John Strand
Deb Williams

**Data Collectors for Field Survey of
Residential Conditions**


Emily Hopfauf
Kaleb Overman
**committee members who contributed
to field survey*

City of Fargo Staff

*Planning Coordinator and Project Man-
ager*
Aaron Nelson

Director of Planning & Development
Nicole Crutchfield

Assistant Planning Director
Mark Williams



North Sub-Area Committee

Adam Altenburg
Jacob Anderson
Nate Anderson
Brandi Aune
Sarah Boonstoppel
Jennifer Buhr
Pam Burkhardt
Luke Champa
Patty Corwin
Bryan Duncan
Ken Enockson
Tim Flakoll
Austin Foss
Tricia Hansen
Justin Helgeson
Heather Higgins-Dochtermann
Aaron Hill*
Kim Hochhalter
Morgan Hovde
David Huebner
Gregory Jeffrey
Maren Jorgensen
Rebecca Lee-Hunt
Sarah Loosbrock
Amy Peterson
Merrill Piepkorn
Jamie Pullen
Mason Rademacher
Phil Siek
Sherry Stewart
Thomas Stromme
Andrew Thill
Harold Thompsen*
Michael Vipond
Deborah Williams



Central Sub-Area Committee

Sean Anderson
Kay Beckermann*
Joe Burgum
Merete Christianson
Josepha Danz
Mary Jean Dehne
Naomi Dahlberg Disrud
Kristy Fremstad
Michelle Foss
Bradley Garcia
Cindy Hanson
Benjamin Holsen
Heather Johnson
Denise Kolpack
Christine Kloubec
Donovan Larson
Hidie Larson
Whitney Marohl
Jessica Miller
Richard Moorhead*
Dawn Morgan
Brenda L Podetz
Beth Postema
Marcia Pulczynski
Dick Reis
John Rodenbiker
Laura Ronngren*
Ben Sander
Courtney Schaff
Casey Steele
Liesa Stibbe*
Aaron Templin
Shawn Vasichek



South Sub-Area Committee

David Bertolini
Jacqueline Dotzenrod
Dawson Eden Scheffter
Greg Erickson
Dan Farnsworth
Michael Graalum
Gary Hammer
Teri-Lee James*
Wade Kline
Tim Mathern
Gwendoline Poyner
Kimberly Rensch
Jay Richardson
Donna Tornell
Mike Zimney*
Heather Zinger

Table of Contents

3

Introduction

14

PART 1
Key Issues, Conditions, and Trends

30

PART 2
Critical Concepts for Neighborhood
Planning in Fargo

36

PART 3
Vision, Values, and Planning Principles
for the Core Neighborhoods

40

PART 4
Core Neighborhoods Toolkit

66

PART 5
Neighborhood Implementation Briefs

68

Clara Barton

82

Hawthorne

96

Horace Mann

110

Jefferson/Carl Ben

124

Lewis & Clark

138

Madison/Unicorn Park

152

Roosevelt


166

South High

180

Washington

INTRODUCTION



Nine neighborhoods surrounding a resurgent downtown Fargo
Fargo's traditional 19th and 20th century neighborhood fabric
34,000 residents in 14,000 households
9,000 residential properties
Major institutions and cultural assets
A wide variety of pressures, challenges, and opportunities

Fargo's core neighborhoods embody the story of Fargo's first century. From blocks of late-Victorian houses and commercial buildings that spilled over from a bustling urban center (today's downtown), to streets of bungalows, Colonials, Tudors, and small apartment buildings from the 1910s and 1920s, to tracts of postwar ranches and multi-family complexes, the core neighborhoods trace Fargo's growth from a frontier river and railway town to a small but vibrant Great Plains metropolis.

The neighborhoods also reflect a history of community-building in Fargo. A commitment to public education is seen in the number and quality of neighborhood schools and the role of North Dakota State University as a cultural and economic anchor. Stewardship of the urban forest and the valuing of public space is evident on every tree-lined street. And a commitment to making public parks a centerpiece of Fargo's civic life and quality of life is made clear by historic parks such as Island and Oak Grove, along with numerous neighborhood parks and playgrounds.

The legacy of Fargo's core neighborhoods is an important part of their present and a critical part of their—and the entire city's—future. At a time when most Fargo residents now live outside of the core neighborhoods, there is growing recognition of their value to the Fargo-Moorhead region as places of character, durability, livability, vitality, and opportunity that contribute in significant ways to the region's economic competitiveness, image, and sustainability.

What is the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan, and why was it developed?

Appreciation of the role that the core neighborhoods collectively play in the life of Fargo is the impetus for this Core Neighborhoods Master Plan. In the wake of the Downtown InFocus plan (completed in 2018) and the earlier Go2030 comprehensive plan, the need for renewed and focused attention to issues in core neighborhoods was broadly recognized given the wide range of concerns that were surfacing on a regular basis—from residential and commercial blight, to school enrollment levels, to the design of infill development, to pedestrian safety, and everything in between.



Rather than a piecemeal approach to core neighborhood planning that would create one plan at a time for each neighborhood over a period of years, a more holistic approach was chosen. A process was designed whereby the nine neighborhoods in Fargo's core—encompassing an area with over 30,000 residents, 9,000 residential properties, and most of Fargo's major institutions and cultural assets—would come together to create a unified master plan for the core while simultaneously developing or updating neighborhood-level visions, outcomes, and implementation steps.

The result is a Core Neighborhoods Master Plan that achieves both a core-wide vantage point—helpful for identifying common issues and conditions and devising collaborative interventions—and a localized focus on implementation.

Essential Takeaways

This Core Neighborhoods Master Plan provides detailed findings on a number of issues that were identified through stakeholder outreach and data analysis during the planning process. When boiled down, these findings become four essential takeaways that summarize what needs to be accomplished in Fargo’s core neighborhoods as well as how to approach and manage the work.

Preventive maintenance—to avoid more serious and widespread problems in 10 or 15 years—is what Fargo’s core neighborhoods need in the 2020s

Blight is not widespread or overwhelming in the core neighborhoods today, but it is likely to become a bigger issue over time without careful intervention. While the housing market in the core is now generally strong, it would be a mistake to not induce property owners to catch-up on deferred maintenance costs while they can do so for pennies on the long-term dollar.

Fargo is in an enviable position to invest resources it possesses into disciplined preventive maintenance activities over the next decade. If it can do this, strong blocks can be kept strong, stable blocks can become stronger, and struggling blocks can receive the attention they need.

A comprehensive set of tools—not an isolated policy or two—will be needed to address the issues and opportunities that exist in the core neighborhoods

Neighborhoods, especially older ones, are complicated and influenced by a wide range of social, economic, and environmental factors. Such factors are rarely within the sole discretion of any single neighborhood or any single public agency to manage.

Consequently, multiple well-integrated policy tools are needed to ensure that neighborhood health is maintained and advanced.

A citywide—and even regional—perspective is needed to achieve core neighborhood goals and sustain broad public support for policies and investments


The core neighborhoods contribute much to the city and the Fargo-Moorhead region in terms of the desirability and diversity of the housing stock and the institutional, cultural, and recreational assets located there. The relative age of their housing also means they contain a vital repository of the city’s less costly housing.

A strong core, in other words, puts the entire region in a stronger position to meet the needs of current residents and compete for new residents and business activity well into the future.

Patience, risk tolerance, and collaboration are required for success to be achieved and sustained

While the task at hand for Fargo is preventive maintenance in its core neighborhoods, changes to current practices are needed and this is never easy or quick work. A tolerance for trying new and bold approaches to longstanding issues, and giving those approaches the time and space to be tested, will be required of City leaders, residents, and everyone else involved.

That will mean neighbors putting themselves out there as leaders on a regular basis to build more engaged and resilient neighborhoods. It will mean City leaders making decisions consistent with neighborhood goals year after year to create more predictability and confidence. It will mean staff working inter-departmentally routinely to address complex issues in need of a range of expertise and capacities. And it will mean overcoming a tendency to underinvest—disguised as civic and household frugality—when doing so has costly long-term consequences.



The Core Neighborhoods Master Plan provides an approach to preventive maintenance to make sure that smart, and quite modest, investments in the near term save the community from having to make far costlier interventions in the future.

The Core Neighborhoods Master Plan includes a toolkit for the core that covers land use policy, resident engagement, housing reinvestment, public infrastructure, and enforcement of basic health and safety codes. It also provides a set of values and planning principles to serve as a flexible decision-making framework.

The Core Neighborhoods Master Plan identifies investments in housing, regulatory systems, and infrastructure that make good long-term fiscal and strategic sense to the City of Fargo. It also identifies opportunities to rethink how growth occurs within and beyond the core, how affordable housing opportunities are distributed, and how to balance preservation and redevelopment in order to keep core neighborhoods and their housing markets vibrant and competitive.

The Core Neighborhoods Master Plan is designed to be implemented over the course of a decade and will rely on high levels of coordination, ingenuity, and trust to work. It recommends specific tools but leaves many details up to those doing the work to collectively design, test, and adapt those tools as needed.



Process Overview



City of Fargo CORE NEIGHBORHOODS MASTER PLAN

Development of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan took place over three phases during 2020 and was coordinated by the City of Fargo’s Department of Planning & Development and its consultant, czb. At the heart of the project, however, were four committees with over 80 community volunteers.

The Project Steering Committee provided a citywide perspective to the project while three ‘Sub-Area’ committees—each comprised of three neighborhoods—provided more localized guidance. Sub-Area volunteers joined their committees through an open recruitment process at the beginning of 2020.



The voices of committee volunteers were supplemented by an online survey in April and an online open house in September that collectively added the perspectives of another 400 individuals to the project.



PHASE 1 Issue Identification and Data Analysis

Committee volunteers identified what was “working” and “not working” in their neighborhoods, as well as outcomes to achieve.

An online survey in April revealed selling points, turn-offs, and priority problems to solve in each neighborhood.

Committee volunteers and NDSU students contributed to a field survey of residential property conditions throughout the core.

Data relating to demographics, household types, housing types, home sales, residential investment patterns, levels of maintenance, and other conditions were compiled into a Neighborhood and Housing Market Analysis of the core neighborhoods to inform the planning process.

PHASE 2 Vision Identification and Toolkit Development

Findings on key issues and trends from Phase 1 were used to draft and refine a core neighborhoods toolkit of responsive policies and programs.


Through neighborhood branding exercises, committee volunteers identified core neighborhood attributes and target markets that were distilled into brand/vision statements for each neighborhood.

An online open house in September—an accommodation to the COVID 19 pandemic—communicated key issues, assets, and preliminary tools to the public for review and feedback.

PHASE 3 Plan Development

All components and findings of the planning process were pulled together into a short preview document for committee review in October.

A draft plan was prepared for committee volunteers to review in November, followed by finalization and stakeholder presentations in December.



How to use this plan

While understanding the nature and impact of localized issues was a priority of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan, the core-wide vantage point provided an opportunity to understand the connections between conditions at the block level and systems at citywide and regional levels—including policy systems, markets, public and private decision-making, and other influences that ultimately impact every block in Fargo in some way.

A few decaying homes on one block, for example, do not represent root problems on their own. Instead, they are symptoms of wider forces that influence investments in homes, socioeconomic patterns, school enrollments, levels of neighbor-to-neighbor engagement, the City's capacity to maintain infrastructure, and other factors that all combine to shape a neighborhood's health and resilience.

This document is structured in a way to identify or clarify linkages between issues, trends, policies, strategies, and desired outcomes—and to provide a framework for consistently making decisions that have the future health of core neighborhoods in mind.

PART 1

Part 1 identifies and explores what is known about issues that emerged during the planning process. Almost every issue was raised in multiple neighborhoods and is connected in some way to every other issue.

Who does this aim to help?

All neighborhood stakeholders and City officials can use Part 1 to better understand the nature and distribution of the most important issues in Fargo's core.

Key Issues, Conditions, and Trends




PART 2

Part 2 presents four critical concepts for neighborhood planning in Fargo that influence many of the issues described in Part 1 and have a bearing on the ability of neighbors and the City to address existing and future challenges.

Who does this aim to help?

The concepts in Part 2 are especially relevant to elected and appointed officials in the City of Fargo, planners, neighborhood leaders, and agencies that work in the core.

Critical Concepts for Neighborhood Planning in Fargo



PART 3

Vision, Values, and Planning Principles

Part 3 presents a core-wide vision drawn from common themes in the visions and desired outcomes of each neighborhood. That overall vision speaks to a set of shared values which, when combined with concepts from Part 2, can be translated into planning principles to guide decision-making.

Who does this aim to help?

Content in Part 3 can be used by any individuals or groups who make decisions that affect core neighborhoods and who want to have a way of aligning their decisions with this Core Neighborhoods Master Plan.

VALUES

Character Sustainability Inclusion

PLANNING PRINCIPLES

Housing is balanced, diverse, and contributes to neighborhood character

Neighborhoods are amenity-rich

Settlement patterns do not inhibit economic mobility

Growth pays for itself (including negative externalities)

PART 4

Core Neighborhoods Toolkit

1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools

2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools

3 Housing Reinvestment Tools

4 Public Infrastructure Investment Tools

5 Public Health & Safety Tools

Part 4 presents five components of a toolkit for Fargo's core neighborhoods. Together, they represent a comprehensive set of interventions for addressing key issues from Part 1 while applying planning principles informed by critical concepts for neighborhood planning.

Who does this aim to help?

The toolkit components offer guidance to elected officials, department heads, and other staff who will be closely involved in refining or reengineering systems to achieve core neighborhood outcomes.

PART 5

Neighborhood Implementation Briefs

Assets Issues Conditions Vision Outcomes Implementation Guidance

Part 5 includes an implementation brief for each core neighborhood. Each brief focuses on local conditions, defines a vision to aim for and outcomes to achieve, and provides guidance for implementing Part 4 tools at the neighborhood level.

Who does this aim to help?

The implementation briefs are useful to neighborhood residents and leaders who want to know how to play their important role in plan implementation. They also provide critical local context for elected officials and staff at agencies closely involved with implementation.

PART 1




KEY ISSUES, CONDITIONS AND TRENDS

The range of issues that matter to people in Fargo's core neighborhoods is as diverse as the core itself. The planning process, however, revealed that **a relative handful of issues are considered important across the core**. They might be expressed in slightly different ways in each neighborhood, but these issues represent opportunities to focus effort and resources in ways that are likely to be meaningful to multiple neighborhoods.



PART 1 Part 1 describes issues with currency in multiple neighborhoods, including how they have been expressed during the planning process, what is known about each issue based on broader analysis of neighborhood conditions, and why each issue matters to the health and prospects of core neighborhoods in Fargo. It calls out **four priority issues that were most consistently expressed as important** to many if not most of the core neighborhoods while also describing some other key issues that rose to the surface.

PART 5 In Part 5 of this plan, **neighborhood-level nuances** around each issue—especially distinctions likely to influence plan implementation—are described in more detail, as are any issues that are much more localized in their impact and importance.



PRIORITY ISSUES

Homes in need of repair or updating

Condition and quality of life impacts of rental housing

Safety, quality of life, and land use impacts of traffic and major roadways

Incompatible development and uncertainty in transitional areas

OTHER KEY ISSUES

Uneven levels of resident leadership capacity and engagement

Concerns about the long-term status of schools as neighborhood anchors

Crime and public safety—reality and perceptions

Housing costs and taxes



Homes in need of repair or updating

How has this issue been expressed?

Project committee representatives from across the core identified distressed-looking properties as a problem to address.

30% of respondents to an online survey identified homes in disrepair as the most important issue to address in their neighborhood, making it the single most common answer received overall and the most common for nearly all of the core neighborhoods.

Small or outdated homes were identified as a common turn-off to potential homebuyers in core neighborhoods—especially given the newness of housing elsewhere in Fargo.

What is known?

Over 1,500 properties in the core neighborhoods—or 1 in every 6—are slipping or distressed

A spring 2020 field survey of residential property conditions across the core found that over 1,500 properties showed signs of visible disinvestment when viewed from the street or sidewalk—such as peeling paint, worn siding, a roof or porch in disrepair, and other signals of neglect.

If the average “catch-up” cost to bring these 1,500 properties into a good state of repair—inside and outside—is \$35,000, that equates to at least \$50 million in deferred maintenance in the core’s private residential stock.



Some property characteristics correlate with relatively high levels of disinvestment

Certain property characteristics are good predictors of disinvestment in the core neighborhoods—especially size and configuration. Of the most common single-family home configurations in the core, for example, 2 bedroom/1 bathroom properties had worse condition scores than other property types and were more likely to be absentee-owned.

| Most Common Small Home Configurations (in order of frequency) | Average Year Built | Average Assessed Value | % Owner-Occupied | Average Field Survey Score (lower score indicates better condition) | |
|---|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|---|--------------|
| | | | | Least Healthy | Most Healthy |
| 2 bedrooms / 2 bathrooms | 1939 | \$150,353 | 82% | 4.75 | 2.67 |
| 2 bedrooms / 1 bathroom | 1937 | \$132,175 | 77% | 3.25 | 2.84 |
| 3 bedrooms / 2 bathrooms | 1948 | \$165,795 | 86% | 2.75 | 2.59 |
| 3 bedrooms / 1 bathroom | 1943 | \$148,893 | 82% | 2.25 | 2.74 |

Source: czb analysis of City of Fargo assessment data

Why does this issue matter, and how does it affect neighborhood planning?

While distressed housing is an important issue in Fargo’s core neighborhoods, it is also true that blight is not yet overwhelming. This is an advantage. Fargo has an opportunity to learn from cities that waited too long to counteract blight in a concerted manner. Among the lessons that can and should be applied to Fargo’s core neighborhoods:

It pays to intervene sooner: By the time disinvestment becomes visible, it is usually preceded by years of withdrawn maintenance. And if it continues, it becomes more and more costly for the owner (and, eventually, the City) to address with each passing year.

Housing conditions influence quality of life and safety: Deferred exterior maintenance is often an indication of deeper levels of disinvestment that can diminish quality of life and safety for individuals at a specific property and others in close proximity.



Each troubled property has a wider impact, especially on confidence: A few distressed properties on an otherwise stable block can undermine the confidence of other property owners and dissuade potential residents from moving in. Over time, disinvestment can spread to other properties and ultimately undermine the City’s financial capacity to intervene.

Separating “ability” and “willingness” of property owners is critical: Are distressed conditions the result of financial hardship or physical disability? Or are they the result of an owner who is capable of keeping their property in good repair but chooses not to? The answers to these questions must shape any intervention.

These properties can be found across the core but are not evenly distributed

While each neighborhood in Fargo’s core is affected to some degree by homes with deferred maintenance, some areas are much more affected than others. Neighborhoods adjacent to downtown each have multiple blocks with higher-than-average concentrations of slipping or distressed properties as well as blocks with relatively low concentrations. Further out, Washington and Lewis & Clark both have several blocks where deferred maintenance is noticeable.

Share of Properties that are Slipping or Distressed According to Field Survey





Source: 2020 Field Survey of Residential Conditions

Disinvestment is a symptom of relatively soft markets

Fargo’s housing market is, on the whole, a healthy and stable one where the supply of housing is well-matched to demand. But some areas in the core have levels of housing demand that are relatively low for the Fargo market. Such areas tend to correlate with blocks where disinvestment is visible.

The adjacent map of housing market demand is from a citywide typology produced during the planning process that used a combination of value per acre, average sales prices, owner-occupancy rates, and building permit concentrations to gauge relative levels of demand and investment throughout Fargo.

Housing Market Demand by Block Group



Source: czb Summary of Neighborhood and Housing Market Analysis for the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan, June 2020



Condition and quality of life impacts of rental housing

How has this issue been expressed?

Project committee representatives from several neighborhoods cited a variety of concerns about rental housing, including conditions at older apartment complexes, the transitioning of single-family homes into rentals, and the location of multi-family infill development.

18% of respondents to an online survey identified declining conditions at rental properties as the most important problem to solve in their neighborhood—the second most common answer.

Maintenance or nuisance-related issues at rental properties were cited by survey respondents as major turn-offs for potential residents in six of the nine core neighborhoods.


What is known?

Over 50 of the 286 apartment buildings in the core neighborhoods—or 1 out of every 5—are slipping or distressed

Apartment buildings in the core neighborhoods (buildings with four or more units) account for almost 3,400 housing units—around 20% of all housing units in the core. Of these 286 buildings, 57 were found to have visible signs of disinvestment in the 2020 field survey of residential properties. These buildings tend to be older (86% were built before 1980) and have fewer units than newer apartment complexes.

Every neighborhood in the core has at least one apartment building or complex considered slipping or distressed—with the exception of Clara Barton, which has no rental properties with four or more units.

Distribution of Slipping or Distressed Apartment Buildings in Fargo




Source: 2020 Field Survey of Residential Conditions

Rents in older, underimproved properties are relatively low and widely affordable—which makes them less likely to be improved

Analysis by czbLLC of regional construction costs suggests that major rehabilitation work to outdated rental complexes will often require that rent be raised to at least \$1,200 upon completion for the owner to fully cover their construction and operating costs. If owners or investors are not confident that such rent can be achieved—in a market where median rent is \$800 and older complexes compete with thousands of more recently built units—major rehab will be delayed indefinitely in favor of minor fixes.

Break-even rent for rehab of outdated rentals



Single-family rentals tend to be smaller and more poorly maintained than owner-occupied homes

Of the more than 7,500 single-family homes in the core neighborhoods, just over 1,200—or 16%—are estimated to be absentee-owned based on owner address data. Analysis of the size, value, and condition of single-family properties by ownership status reveals a clear pattern: those that are absentee-owned are, on average, 10% smaller, have assessed values that are 17% lower, and average conditions that are less healthy than their owner-occupied counterparts. Once single-family homes decline to a certain price point in the core (generally below \$130,000, but higher closer to NDSU), their feasibility as investment properties rises and investors out-bid the owner-occupant competition.

Characteristics of Single-Family Properties in the Core Neighborhoods, by Ownership Status

| | Absentee-Owned | Owner-Occupied |
|---|----------------|----------------|
| Number of Properties | 1,202 | 6,366 |
| Average Size of Property (Square Feet) | 1,168 | 1,307 |
| Average Assessed Value, 2019 | \$153,063 | \$184,892 |
| Average Field Survey Score (lower score indicates better condition) | 2.94 | 2.46 |


Source: czb analysis of City of Fargo assessment data

Absentee ownership of single-family homes is concentrated near NDSU but on the rise in most areas of the core


More than 25% of single-family homes are absentee-owned in much of the Madison/Unicorn Park and Roosevelt neighborhoods, and in parts of Washington. Notable concentrations also exist in Hawthorne, Jefferson/Carl Ben, and Horace Mann.

Between 2011 and 2019, absentee-ownership rose to some extent in each neighborhood, though a few areas saw modest decreases.

Share of Single-Family Homes that are Absentee-Owned, 2018



Changes in Absentee-Ownership of Single-Family Homes, 2011-2019



Why does this issue matter, and how does it affect neighborhood planning?

A distressed or problematic rental property is very often a symptom of the same market forces that influence neglect at an owner-occupied property. But distressed rentals are often thought of as distinct issues in older neighborhoods for good reasons. They tend to be in highly visible locations along or near busier streets and are thus more noticeable and likely to have a bigger impact on internal and external impressions of a neighborhood than a typical house. They also happen to be businesses, which means that the owners have different motivations and responsibilities than a homeowner.

Understanding the motivations and responsibilities of rental property owners and investors is an important part of designing policy tools or programs that are likely to keep healthy rental properties healthy or turn around properties that are in trouble. This is true for an apartment complex with 20 units owned by a large management company—and for the single-family house being rented out by a smalltime landlord.



Safety, quality of life, and land use impacts of traffic and major roadways

How has this issue been expressed?

Concerns about the impacts of major roadways on neighborhood atmosphere and quality of life, on the walkability and bikeability of core neighborhoods, and on the marketability of properties along or near major roadways were raised by all three of the project's Sub-Area committees.


16% of respondents to an online survey described a combination of concerns about traffic and streets—speeding, noise, conditions—as neighborhood detractors, making it the third most common issue cited.

What is known?

All core neighborhoods are influenced in some way by busy, auto-oriented arterial roadways

The presence of busy, auto-oriented roadways are a fact of life for all core neighborhoods and help to make driving within and between parts of the core fast and efficient. For the most part, these roads follow auto-oriented highway-design principles from the late 20th century, which means that accommodations for neighborhood character or the experience of other users (pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit riders) is largely a secondary concern.

Arterials that carry at least 10,000 vehicles per day




Source: czb analysis of NDDOT traffic counts

Arterial roadways have an influence on residential property ownership and condition patterns

Just over 550 single-family homes front arterial roadways in the core neighborhoods. Among these properties, the absentee-ownership rate is 31%—nearly double the 16% rate for the core overall.

Additionally, recent average sale prices, average assessed values, and property condition scores for single-family homes are all lower, on average, along arterial roadways than in the core as a whole. Key exceptions include Broadway and University Drive South, where conditions, owner-occupancy rates, and values are relatively strong.

Absentee-ownership rate of single-family homes by proximity to arterials



Source: czb analysis of City of Fargo assessment data


Pedestrian safety issues exist throughout the core, especially as it relates to school walking routes

While pedestrian and bicycle safety and convenience have become more prominent issues in Fargo than they were in previous eras, substantial room for improvement remains.

A Safe Routes to School Plan completed for Fargo in 2020 found numerous opportunities to improve pedestrian safety in core neighborhoods—in areas adjacent to schools and along key streets leading to school facilities.

Safe Routes to Schools Opportunities

● Intersections or crossing that need attention — Sidewalks in need of attention or addition



Source: Fargo Safe Routes to School Plan

Reconstruction of Main Avenue is a model for arterial roadway redesign

The reconstruction of Main Avenue between University Drive and 2nd Street in 2020—enhancing the street's safety for all users and its visual appeal—serves as a model for arterial redesign in Fargo. Its presence is likely to influence public demand for similar work elsewhere in the core when opportunities arise for full reconstruction or more limited traffic-calming efforts.




Photo credit: Apex Engineering Group

Why does this issue matter, and how does it affect neighborhood planning?

The environment along major streets in Fargo's core is often at odds with the quieter, tree-lined atmosphere found on interior streets. This is unavoidable in some respects—after all, traffic volumes and noise will be higher on major streets, and arterials help to limit the number of cars that use local streets to get through a neighborhood.

It is also true, however, that busy streets can be designed in ways that enhance rather than detract from a neighborhood's general character, and that they and their adjacent land uses can be designed to feel safe to non-auto users.

Perhaps the most significant advantage that core neighborhoods have over other locations in the region is a strong sense of place. Everything that can be done to reinforce that sense—especially the design of well-traveled streets—is a step towards making the core more livable to current residents and more appealing to future residents.



Incompatible development and uncertainty in transitional areas

How has this issue been expressed?

Concerns about the design, density, and/or land use of infill development has been expressed in a number of ways by volunteer committee members and survey respondents in most of the core neighborhoods. Specific concerns have included:

The design of new homes, garages, or rental infill being out of step with established vernacular character

Higher density housing or mixed-use structures being developed in an ad hoc manner that is difficult to anticipate


What is known?

New construction has occurred in most core neighborhoods since 2015, but especially in areas adjacent to downtown and NDSU


Whenever infill development is proposed in established neighborhoods, concerns can arise if it represents a deviation from what is expected or desired by others who have a stake in the neighborhood. Those expectations might be formally expressed in plans or by the Land Development Code, or they might be informal preferences.

While new residential construction is not a widespread occurrence in Fargo's core neighborhoods, it has been happening with more frequency in recent years—especially in areas abutting downtown Fargo and NDSU—creating flashpoints around opposing visions for particular properties, blocks, or neighborhoods. Planned Unit Developments (PUDs) have been used as a tool to flexibly negotiate development details for many of the larger projects in the core, but this ad hoc approach often leaves disagreements over neighborhood vision unresolved.

New Residential Properties Built Since 2015




Permits Issued for Garage Construction, 2015-2019



Source: City of Fargo building permit data

Map of edges / transitional areas in the core



'Edges' in the core neighborhoods are the areas most likely to be transitional and contested

The boundaries between certain land use or development patterns—between single-family blocks and commercial or mixed-use blocks, for example—are the areas where new development is most likely to be contested. This is especially true if land use demands are changing and leading to proposals to redevelop underutilized land.

Almost every neighborhood in the core has edges that are either currently or could become areas where evolving land use demands might conflict with long-held or more recent expectations.

Fargo's Land Development Code (LDC)



Analysis of Fargo's Land Development Code (LDC) in 2020 has found it to be at odds in several important ways with goals expressed by the Go2030 comprehensive plan and other plans that have been developed since the last time the LDC was substantially revised in the 1990s.


Shortcomings include inadequate development standards, especially as they relate to urban form—or how new buildings relate to the physical fabric of a neighborhood or street.



Why does this issue matter, and how does it affect neighborhood planning?

Too much uncertainty about what can be built and what it will look like—especially in areas where land uses mix or transition from one use to another—is a bigger issue for some stakeholders than others. It matters to neighborhood vitality, though, if it causes enough existing owners to hesitate on making investments to their properties because they lack confidence in the direction of the neighborhood or their own willingness to remain there. It also matters if it steers away homebuyers who sense uncertainty and have plentiful options elsewhere that give them more confidence.

Incompatibility of development matters if new development has the effect of lowering standards in a given neighborhood or disrupting urban form in a way that detracts from a neighborhood's established physical character. Either change can have the effect of setting a tone for future investment that erodes certain advantages that core neighborhoods have over other parts of the Fargo-Moorhead region.



Uneven levels of resident leadership capacity and engagement

How has this issue been expressed?

The response to the call for volunteers to participate on committees for the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan largely reflected existing levels of resident leadership capacity and organization. Areas with a longer history of organized engagement had more residents expressing an interest to participate than those with less formal or visible resident leadership structures.

What is known?

Resident leadership capacity in the core appears to correlate with a combination of factors

The two neighborhoods with the most volunteers for the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan—Hawthorne and Horace Mann—are two that have longstanding and fairly active neighborhood associations. There may be a number of reasons for this, but a few key ones appear to be:

Rallying Points



These areas have some of the oldest housing in the city and have been dealing with cycles of disinvestment, reinvestment, and change for decades. Often, some precipitating event or issue is needed to compel people to become and stay engaged.

Identity



Like the presence of issues to rally around, a clear neighborhood identity is something that existing residents and newcomers alike can connect themselves to. Fargo's most engaged neighborhoods tend to have the clearest or strongest identities.

Income



Resident affluence and education can influence the degree to which neighbors feel comfortable interacting with City government and seek to actively manage change in their neighborhood. Higher incomes also frequently translate to concentrations of middle-aged homeowners who have been in the neighborhood for enough time to connect with others.

Why does this issue matter, and how does it affect neighborhood planning?

Resident leadership can seem like an abstract or intangible force in a neighborhood, but its absence can have very tangible consequences. If residents are not connected and organized in some way—either formally or informally—a neighborhood's capacity to address small issues before they become larger issues, and to effectively advocate for neighborhood interests, will be limited



Concerns about the long-term status of schools as neighborhood anchors

How has this issue been expressed?

Schools were singled-out as critical assets in almost all core neighborhoods—serving as physical and cultural anchors—during the planning process. But concerns were also raised that schools in the core have been falling behind newer schools in newer neighborhoods. If schools in the core, and the neighborhoods themselves, have a harder time competing for young families, there are fears that certain facilities may not be viable in the long-term.

What is known?

Elementary enrollment projections reflect growth expectations and trends in Fargo

Fargo's growth in recent decades has been concentrated in the city's southern end, where residential development and a growing population have been served by new and expanded school facilities. Over the next few years, elementary enrollments based on student residence show that core neighborhood enrollment will be flat if not declining while enrollment beyond the core will grow by almost 5%.

In terms of enrollment as a percentage of school capacity, core elementary schools are expected to be at 74% in 2023/2024, while non-core elementary schools will be at 82%.

Projected Elementary School Enrollment by Student Residence

| | 2020/ 2021 | 2023/ 2024 | % Change |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------|
| Core Elementary Schools | 2,004 | 1,979 | -1.2% |
| Non-core Elementary Schools | 3,333 | 3,491 | +4.7% |

Source: Fargo Public Schools Long Range Facility Plan (2019)

Why does this issue matter, and how does it affect neighborhood planning?

The symbiotic relationship between core neighborhoods and their schools—with each neighborhood reflecting and reinforcing the strength of its schools, and vice versa—make schools an important part of neighborhood planning. In Fargo, uneven growth patterns and their influence on planning for school facilities means that the proper scale for addressing this issue is citywide.



Crime and public safety—reality and perceptions

How has this issue been expressed?

In an online survey, crime and safety were identified as priority problems to address in Jefferson / Carl Ben and Madison / Unicorn Park. But it was also among a range of issues noted by participants in a few other neighborhoods, including Horace Mann and Roosevelt / NDSU.

In some cases, crime was raised during the planning process as an issue that impacts neighborhood quality of life. In other cases, it was raised as more of a perception problem, where the external image of crime does not align with reality.

What is known?

A greater density of incidents in and adjacent to downtown Fargo than in most other parts of the city

Crime data in Fargo is not yet reported in a manner conducive to detailed analysis and meaningful comparisons of neighborhood-level crime rates. However, the Fargo Police Department does use CityProtect as an online mapping tool to share incident reports with the public—allowing residents and neighborhood organizations to see the types of incidents that have been reported over recent periods on specific blocks or near specific intersections.

Mapping all reported incidents from the previous 12 months using CityProtect reveals a greater density of incidents in and adjacent to downtown Fargo than in most other parts of the city. This is to be expected given the relative density of population and activity in Fargo’s urban core, as well as levels of economic need that are higher than average (for Fargo). This concentration of incidents—however experienced or communicated—can influence how safe people feel about living in or visiting certain parts of Fargo’s core.



Source: CityProtect

Why does this issue matter, and how does it affect neighborhood planning?

Feeling unsafe—regardless of how that impression is generated—can lead some potential residents to look elsewhere and compel existing residents to withdraw from civic life or move someplace that feels safer. But it can also motivate neighbors to reach out, work together, and collaborate with City leaders to make a block feel more orderly, neighborly, and secure.



Housing costs and taxes

How has this issue been expressed?

While the affordability of the core neighborhoods was often mentioned during the planning process as a key asset, concerns about housing costs and taxes were also raised. Rather than concerns about prices or rents, those raising this issue tended to focus on the maintenance and repair costs related to older housing and Fargo’s practice of placing special assessments on properties to pay for infrastructure improvements.

What is known?

While Fargo’s housing market has been strong for years due to rapid growth, it remains broadly affordable.

For example, the median gross rent in Fargo in 2018 was well within the affordability range of the typical renting household, and the value of the median owner-occupied house was well within the purchasing range of typical Fargo homeowners.

The affordability of the housing stock—especially in the core—is often a reflection of age and condition. With nearly 80% of the residential properties in the core neighborhoods now 60-years-old or older, and there being a clear difference in average condition between older and newer properties according to the 2020 field survey of residential conditions, homes in the core present owners with financial decisions and challenges that are more complicated than paying more for a newer house in a newer neighborhood. When the costs of upgrading older infrastructure get added as special assessments, owning an older home in an older neighborhood becomes a particular challenge for households with low or limited incomes.

Why does this issue matter, and how does it affect neighborhood planning?

Affordability in the core neighborhoods can be a double-edged sword—providing a wide range of options for homeownership in a strong regional housing market, but putting a greater onus on owners to maintain and improve aging properties to prevent serious degradation. If a growing share of owners are unable to keep up with maintenance for financial reasons, or are unwilling to pay for costly and disruptive upgrades, housing quality and conditions eventually erode along with neighborhood quality of life and the City’s tax base.

Comparison of Median Incomes and Housing Costs, 2018

| | INCOME NEEDED | INCOME ACTUAL |
|-----------------|--|--|
| RENT | Income needed to afford median rent in Fargo \$31,840 | Actual median household income of renters in Fargo \$36,867 |
| FOR SALE | Income needed to affordably purchase a home priced at Fargo’s median home value \$66,000 | Actual median household income of homeowners in Fargo \$86,970 |

Comparison of Property Conditions in Core Neighborhoods by Age

| Year Built | Number of Core Residential Properties | Average Field Survey Score (lower score indicates better condition) | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---|------|------|------|---------------------|
| | | Least Healthy (4.75) | 3.75 | 3.25 | 2.75 | Most Healthy (2.25) |
| 1939 or Earlier | 3,667 | | | | | 2.63 |
| 1940 to 1959 | 3,354 | | | | | 2.56 |
| 1960 to 1979 | 1,444 | | | | | 2.44 |
| 1980 to 1999 | 222 | | | | | 2.45 |
| 2000 or Later | 154 | | | | | 2.00 |

Source: czb analysis of American Community Survey 2018 5-Year Estimates and 2020 field survey of residential conditions

How did we get here?

The priority issues in Fargo's core neighborhoods have not emerged overnight, and they are not isolated from each other or from other issues. They represent choices and processes long in the making that affect older neighborhoods across the country.

Identifying strategies to address these issues requires an understanding of where the issues come from, the problems that need solving, and the interconnectedness of problems and potential solutions.



Homes in need of repair or updating

When disrepair becomes visible at the scale now apparent in Fargo's core neighborhoods—one in every six residential properties—it is a sign that disinvesting in older housing makes more sense for many owners than investing and actively improving. Plentiful, newer, and generally affordable options on the edges of Fargo make it easier for households to look past the core when they decide where to put their housing dollars.

If the market doesn't respond to an aging and outdated house by substantially renovating it and making it competitive again to the broader homebuying market, the cost of the house will increasingly reflect its condition and it becomes a source of affordable housing. While this is an important role for the house to play and an asset for a community where low-wage workers have a declining range of affordable options, deferred maintenance is likely to mount over time without intervention. And if homes in disrepair become concentrated, poverty is also likely to become concentrated.



Condition and quality of life impacts of rental housing

Rental housing is a significant part of Fargo's housing supply (56% of all occupied units) and an essential part of a healthy housing market that provides access to the full spectrum of housing types. As with owner-occupied housing in Fargo, plentiful and largely affordable options—especially newer units—have contributed to disinvestment in some older rental properties. An older and outdated apartment rents for less than its more modern competitors, which makes them affordable—but it also limits reinvestment into the property and can lead to degradation over time if market or other forces fail to intervene.

The renting of single-family houses—generally not a viable business model—has become viable in areas where acquisition costs are especially low (due to declining condition or unfavorable location) or if the market can bear high rents (as in areas adjacent to NDSU). With thousands of new rental units having been built citywide in recent years, though, landlords of single-family homes may find it increasingly difficult to compete for renters. This has the potential to create affordable homebuying opportunities for some households, but it might also hasten disinvestment in the most marginal properties.



Safety, quality of life, and land use impacts of traffic and major roadways

When most of Fargo's core neighborhoods were built in the early-to-mid 20th century, Fargo was a much smaller city with far fewer cars. As the city and traffic volumes grew, major streets were re-engineered to better accommodate the efficient flow of cars—something that happened in every American community. Cities are now a full generation into rethinking these practices, and Fargo is no different. While some major roads have been thoughtfully redesigned, many in the core are still stuck in a mid-century model that feels unsafe to pedestrians and bicyclists and detracts from neighborhood character.

The recent reconstruction of Main Avenue has the potential to reset expectations and make it easier for similar redesigns to occur on other corridors. But the physical and behavioral adaptations that will make corridors throughout the core feel safer will take years, patience, and resources to accomplish.



Incompatible development and uncertainty in transitional areas

Cities and neighborhoods change—any effort to preserve a place in amber will inevitably be met with disappointment. While change cannot be prevented, it can be managed and guided in ways that reflect a general community consensus about the pace, nature, and geography of change, as well as the compromises that stakeholders are willing to acknowledge and make.

In parts of Fargo's core neighborhoods where change has been happening the fastest, efforts to fully recognize and reconcile competing goals have often been inadequate, resulting in feelings of frustration, distrust, and uncertainty. Decisions are being made on a regular basis where key stakeholders disagree about which principles and goals should be the basis for a decision—disagreements that go unresolved and carry over to future decisions.

What issues require attention?

Overcoming both a hesitancy and an inability to make large home improvements

Isolated examples of blight that threaten stable areas

Limited financial motivation to upgrade aging multi-family properties

Preservation of affordable opportunities currently provided by underimproved rental properties

Making single-family properties in decline less appealing targets for rental investors and more appealing to homebuyers

The design and function of major roadways (and of the overall network they form)

Uncertainty and disinvestment stemming from evolving land use demands along some corridors

Too much uncertainty about what can go where and what it will look like

Inadequate processes for mediating disagreements between competing interests and visions

PART 2

CRITICAL CONCEPTS FOR NEIGHBORHOOD PLANNING IN FARGO



To address issues that are emerging or longstanding in Fargo’s core neighborhoods, understanding the nature of those issues and how they connect to each other is an important starting point. But to arrive at a framework for making decisions and implementing tools that will make a difference, an understanding of critical concepts for good neighborhood planning is also needed.

The following pages present four interrelated concepts that are particularly relevant for the core neighborhood and provide a foundation for identifying the types of actions that are likely to yield outcomes in favor of vibrant and sustainable neighborhoods and actions that might undermine long-term neighborhood health.

Defining a healthy neighborhood

Every neighborhood wants to be “healthy,” but what does that really mean? In the context of neighborhood planning—especially when talking about revitalization or maintaining vitality—a healthy neighborhood refers to one that functions well on four specific factors: market, capacity, conditions, and image.

When a neighborhood is faring well on each of these factors, the primary work for neighborhood leaders and their public and non-profit partners is centered around maintaining these strengths and recognizing that a fall off on any one of the factors feeds a downward cycle. If resident capacity to manage problems begins to slide, for example, conditions are apt to slide in some way, which translates to a shakier image and a weaker market that begets further decline in capacity.

When a neighborhood is not strong on one or more of these factors, work should center on improving the weak points and recognizing that doing so will have ripple effects within the Healthy Neighborhoods cycle. If residents in a neighborhood organize to identify and manage small problems before they get out of hand, conditions are apt to improve, which influences a more positive image, which results in more people seeing the neighborhood as a desirable place to live.

While there are other goals a neighborhood may want to achieve besides these four factors of neighborhood health, being healthy puts a neighborhood in the driver’s seat of its own future.

MARKET

Who is living in the neighborhood and who would like to live in the neighborhood

The market is strong

Demand for housing is in balance with or exceeds the supply; prices are rising and keeping pace with inflation

CAPACITY

Ability and willingness of residents to manage home and neighborhood

Resident capacity is high

Residents actively manage neighborhood issues and engage each other and wider stakeholders in constructive ways

IMAGE

Perception of the neighborhood by non-residents; shaped in part by neighborhood’s self-image

Neighborhood image is positive

Signals sent by conditions communicate pride and instill confidence

CONDITIONS

Level of care and investment committed by residents of the neighborhood; level of infrastructure upkeep committed by public sector

Housing and neighborhood physical conditions are good

Residential blocks are appealing to potential buyers and renters from outside the neighborhood

© czbLLC



Distribution of housing opportunities matters

Fargo does not have an abnormally high level of households with low incomes. As of 2018, just under 22% of households (or 11,500) earned less than \$25,000, compared to 20% nationally. For these households, however, housing options are constrained by their ability to afford no more than \$625 per month without becoming overly burdened by housing costs.



The distribution of inexpensive housing heavily influences where many of these households settle, and the distribution in Fargo (as in most cities) is far from even. These households are disproportionately located in older neighborhoods—particularly in the core—where older housing serves as

an important source of affordable housing. And within the core, these households are disproportionately distributed in areas where housing is least expensive and rental opportunities are numerous.

Why are these very common patterns of household distribution noteworthy from a neighborhood planning standpoint? When efforts are not made to intentionally distribute affordable housing opportunities on a wider basis within a region, concentrations of poverty inevitably result over time in rising levels of disinvestment, declining conditions, limited commercial opportunities due to low discretionary spending capacity, and the wide range of social issues that arise when neighborhoods are sharply polarized by income.

In other words, how affordable housing opportunities are distributed within a region—whether they are part of every neighborhood’s housing fabric or relegated to a handful of areas—ultimately shapes just how healthy an older neighborhood with older and less expensive housing can be.

Share of Households Making \$25,000 or Less Compared to Expected Share Under “Fair Share” Scenario for City of Fargo



Source: czb analysis of household income distributions in the City of Fargo from the American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, 2018

Owner-occupancy and household stability affect neighborhood health

Just as neighborhood health becomes harder to build and sustain if a neighborhood has an outsized share of a region’s low-income households, neighborhood health is more difficult to achieve and maintain when owner-occupancy is a small or declining component of a neighborhood’s residential fabric.

As a rule of thumb, a 50% owner-occupancy rate for housing units in a neighborhood (or higher, if the housing stock is predominately single-family dwellings) is an important threshold to stay above, ensuring that a critical mass of residents have a significant personal stake in the neighborhood’s health and a strong incentive to invest both socially and financially. It is harder for an owner-occupant to get up and leave when neighborhood health is at risk, therefore giving them extra motivation to actively address issues as they arise in

collaboration with other residents (owners and renters).

Owner-occupancy is also correlated with household stability—as measured by characteristics such as length of tenure, earning potential, and education—and a critical mass of stable households is another contributor to neighborhood health for all residents.


Sustained neighborhood health derives from no single indicator. Strong neighborhoods have renters and homeowners across a range of proportions. What matters most is that owners—home owners or rental property owners—find long term-value in maintaining their properties to a high standard that signals pride of residency, and having a housing ladder that is balanced and well-distributed regionally, citywide, and at the neighborhood-level.

Neighborhood health in Fargo is served by having a balance of housing types and opportunities at all geographic scales

Housing types and opportunities



Geographic scales




The City's fiscal strength is imperative

An often overlooked but crucial objective of neighborhood planning is a neighborhood's contribution to the fiscal health of the City—especially when property taxes are an important source of municipal revenue as they are in Fargo. If the City's fiscal position erodes, investments in infrastructure and services are likely to fall behind desired levels, and the City's ability to help pay for a wide range of neighborhood goals will be reduced. Consequently, monitoring long-term changes in property values—and whether those values keep up with or fall behind inflation—is one important way to gauge how neighborhoods contribute to fiscal health.

Given Fargo's rapid growth in recent decades and rising demand for housing, the average assessed value of single-family homes has been able to outpace inflation in each of the core neighborhoods by a considerable margin. Though average values in all core neighborhoods remain well below the average value of newer housing stock outside the core, five of the nine core neighborhoods have experienced value growth since 2011 that exceeds the rate of growth in non-core neighborhoods.

These value trends, combined with the fact that blight is not yet at overwhelming levels in any core neighborhood, are an indication of Fargo's strong position to invest in ways that will foster and maintain healthy core neighborhoods.

Percent Change in Average Assessed Value of Single-Family Homes, 2011-2020, Compared to Inflation



Source: czb analysis of current and historical assessment data from City of Fargo

How should these concepts influence planning and policy for Fargo's neighborhoods?

What these four concepts reveal is a need to think expansively from a planning and policy standpoint on a few different levels—and to recognize that building or sustaining neighborhood health is not a one- or two-dimensional task that can be accomplished by focusing narrowly on the core neighborhoods themselves. Specifically:

Defining a healthy neighborhood

The four interrelated factors that drive neighborhood health all require different types of attention from neighborhood residents, City leaders, and other neighborhood stakeholders. Stimulating engagement between neighbors requires certain tools in the same way that encouraging home reinvestment requires specific resources and approaches. Good planning and policymaking must pay attention to all four factors.

Distribution of housing opportunities matters

Intentionally distributing affordable housing opportunities to avoid concentrations that would be harmful to low-income households and neighborhood health is not something that can be done by narrowly focusing on affordable housing in the core neighborhoods. City- and region-level action are necessary to make movement in a fairer direction possible.

Owner-occupancy and household stability affect neighborhood health

In the same way that well-distributed affordable housing opportunities requires at least a citywide policy perspective, achieving a healthy balance of owner-occupancy throughout the core neighborhoods requires a way of thinking about the integration of different housing types at the block scale, the neighborhood scale, and beyond.

The City's fiscal health is imperative

Making the City's long-term fiscal health part of decision-making and planning at the neighborhood level—and not just from the standpoint of whether the City can afford to pay for something at any given moment—forces planning to be mindful of the consequences that every action might have on a neighborhood's ability to compete for housing demand and maintain a property base that can strongly contribute to service delivery and infrastructure investments.

PART 3




VISION, VALUES, AND PLANNING PRINCIPLES FOR THE CORE NEIGHBORHOODS

Each of Fargo’s core neighborhoods has a vision for the future grounded in specific strengths and opportunities that residents wish to build from and challenges they seek to overcome. These visions—in the form of neighborhood brand statements and a set of measurable outcomes—can be found in the implementation briefs in Part 5.

Although each neighborhood has its own vision, the process behind the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan revealed many common themes in these visions and the specific outcomes being sought across the core—commonalities that speak to an overarching vision for the core and a set of shared values. When those values are combined with what is known about prominent issues in the core (Part 1) and concepts for neighborhood planning in Fargo (Part 2), a set of planning principles comes into focus.

Together, the shared vision, values, and planning principles presented here in Part 3 form the building blocks of a decision-making framework. As City officials and neighborhood leaders are confronted with choices that have the potential to influence core neighborhoods, this framework can serve as a basis for making decisions that consistently and thoughtfully align with what residents in the core value and want to achieve.



Fargo’s core neighborhoods all have a strong and distinct sense of place that reflects their history and their role in Fargo’s collective story.

Their homes, parks, schools, and trees are points of pride that contribute to a high and improving quality of life that attracts residents looking for character and community.

There is a strong commitment to investment and stewardship as well as equity and diversity, ensuring that desirable residential environments are neighborly and accessible.

Statement of Shared Vision for the Core Neighborhoods





We are committed to...

Character

Our neighborhoods have vernacular character that reflects where we've come from and who we are in Fargo—we value it and recognize that it contributes value to our neighborhoods. We believe in preserving local character whenever possible and being thoughtful about new additions to the built environment.

Sustainability

As a community, we are responsible with our resources and assets—this means we are careful about what we invest in as a community, but we are not cheap. We recognize that building and maintaining desirable places (public or private) requires investment, far-sightedness, and stewardship to achieve durability and lasting benefit.

Inclusion

While we have room to improve on matters of inclusion, a sense of fairness and common interest have long shaped our civic culture. We recognize that moving forward as a community is something that happens when all feel included as contributors to and beneficiaries of our success.



Housing is balanced, diverse, and contributes to neighborhood character

Having a wide range of housing types and price points—from a strong and well-preserved single-family stock to a range of appealing rental options—will keep our housing market resilient and responsive to housing demand and need. We will strive to ensure that the core neighborhoods collectively provide this range of opportunities and that housing diversity is thoughtfully integrated within individual neighborhoods.

Neighborhoods are amenity-rich

High-quality parks and other amenities contribute to quality of life for all residents and the desirability of our core neighborhoods. We will preserve, invest responsibly in, and add strategically to the amenities we have. Whenever possible, we will make an extra effort to turn underutilized or one-dimensional public spaces—including streets—into stronger quality of life assets.

Settlement patterns do not inhibit economic mobility

Our actions promote the maintenance and emergence of balanced, mixed-income neighborhoods citywide and in the core. If a project or policy is likely to directly or indirectly concentrate poverty and disinvestment into small areas or specific neighborhoods, we will reject or rethink it.

Growth pays for itself (including negative externalities)

Growth comes with direct costs, such as infrastructure construction and expansion. But it also comes with externalities, such as diminished demand for older neighborhoods and older public facilities. In addition to paying its own way, growth must contribute to solving its indirect consequences.

How would this work as a decision-making framework?

Gauging whether a decision aligns with a value or planning principle will not always be straightforward—such a framework requires a willingness by the community to openly interpret and discuss what the values and principles mean and how they apply to the decision at hand. At a basic level, however, there are some clear “dos” and “don’ts” that go with the values and planning principles presented in this plan.

DO

- Use the City’s Land Development Code to require adherence to basic principles of good urban form in order to strengthen the physical fabric of core neighborhoods
- Support a mixture of housing opportunities in each neighborhood, including multi-family housing along corridors where higher densities make the most sense
- Encourage preservation of and reinvestment in the core’s single-family housing supply

- Invest in high-quality park and public space improvements—including connections between improvements—to bolster quality of life in core neighborhoods and position them as neighborhoods of choice in the region
- Involve neighborhoods in planning amenities to create a sense of ownership and confidence that translates to parallel investments by private homeowners

- Use City incentives to encourage and support residential projects that help the City and its neighborhoods achieve mixed-income results
- Use federal and state housing resources in ways that create durable housing opportunities for low-income households in mixed-income settings near job centers, services, and amenities

- Ensure that new development on Fargo’s edges is both covering the cost of new infrastructure and services AND helping to pay for reinvestment in the City’s core
- Plan for growth in a more comprehensive manner that takes ramifications for schools, parks, and existing neighborhoods into full account
- Put an onus on core neighborhoods to absorb a share of Fargo’s future population growth—the most sustainable way for Fargo to grow

DON'T

- Allow infill development to compromise the quality of existing neighborhood form (but, at the same time, don’t be overly prescriptive about style and design)
- Allow redevelopment patterns to emerge that (1) compromise confidence and continuity on stable, single-family blocks or that (2) result in mixed-use, higher density areas that feel unplanned or haphazard

- Skimp or underinvest in neighborhood amenities and expect core neighborhoods to successfully compete for residents and investment in the long-run
- Plan improvements to neighborhood amenities without considering how they connect to and support other amenities and neighborhood goals

- Use City incentives to encourage or support projects that will reinforce concentrations of poverty or exclusive development patterns
- Use federal or state housing resources in ways that steer new affordable units to areas with the lowest land costs or areas isolated from economic opportunities or amenities


- Assume that having new development pay for new infrastructure and service costs alone is sufficient to cover the full fiscal impact on Fargo
- Mistake preservation goals in core neighborhoods as a rationale for not absorbing growth in the core

PART 4



CORE NEIGHBORHOODS TOOLKIT

The process behind the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan revealed a comprehensive range of issues to address and principles to apply that will require a citywide vantage point. Consequently, a toolkit for the core neighborhoods focused narrowly on a few localized programs or policy tools would have been inadequate to the task of achieving the shared and individual visions of the nine neighborhoods.



The toolkit outlined here responds to the complexity of these neighborhoods and the issues to address by identifying five general categories of intervention tools that form a comprehensive toolkit for a diverse collection of older neighborhoods.

For each tool category, the following information is presented:


PROBLEMS TO SOLVE CURRENT PRACTICES AND CONDITIONS CHANGES TO MAKE

Problems to Solve in the core neighborhoods that can be addressed in some form by the tool category.

Current Practices and Conditions, outlining how the City of Fargo and its partners currently utilize tools within the category.

Changes to Make, covering recommended actions that would bring policies and programming in Fargo into better alignment with the issues that need to be addressed and the planning concepts and principles outlined in this plan.

Part 4 concludes by proposing a realistic sequencing of implementation steps and highlighting the most critical steps to achieve in the near-term to put implementation on a positive course.



1

Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



PROBLEMS TO SOLVE CURRENT PRACTICES AND CONDITIONS CHANGES TO MAKE

What are the core neighborhood problems that can be addressed by this category of tools?

Too much **uncertainty about what can go where and what infill development will look like**, which can contribute to current or potential owners hesitating to invest in existing properties.

Uneven distribution of affordable housing options across Fargo, with higher-than-optimal concentrations of low-cost options (and therefore poverty) in some core neighborhood areas where the housing stock is older and outdated.

How are these tools currently configured or used?

A Land Development Code that, according to a separate LDC Diagnostics project, is outdated and not a good reflection of goals stated in the Go2030 comprehensive plan or other, more recent, plans. This includes the absence of design standards in base zoning districts as well as zones close to downtown that permit a broad range of uses and densities (perhaps too broad given existing, desired, or foreseeable land use patterns).

A Go2030 comprehensive plan that does not acknowledge certain ambitions that are important to the health of core neighborhoods, such as an ambition that all neighborhoods be healthy or that affordable housing be addressed in a manner that does not concentrate poverty in a few poorer neighborhoods.

Routine use of Planned Unit Developments (PUDs) by developers to negotiate the details of core neighborhood infill projects, including use and density—which leads to decisions that can seem ad hoc and unpredictable to neighborhood stakeholders.

Aging housing stock in the core neighborhoods serving as Fargo’s default supply of affordable housing, with no policies in place to require or encourage a more even and equitable distribution of affordable housing.

A system of development incentives, including tax exemptions, tax increment financing (TIFs), and payment in lieu of tax agreements (PILOTs) with policies and guidelines that are regularly reviewed to ensure they are aligned with City objectives and plans.

What changes to current practices substantially address the “Problems to Solve?”

1. Update the Land Development Code (LDC) to reflect principles and goals expressed in this Core Neighborhoods Master Plan.




A successful update of the LDC will take a wide range of planning and policy goals into account for the entire city. Special attention, though, should be given to outcomes sought in the core neighborhoods and the ways that the LDC can assist in achieving those outcomes.


ACTION

Use the following resources and recommendations to guide the LDC update:

- ✓ **Future Land Use Map for the core neighborhoods, which has been created to express land use and development goals in the core neighborhoods.**
- ✓ **Integrate development standards into a revised Land Development Code as a commitment to the “Character” value in this plan. Development standards are a set of design requirements that are used to establish a minimum level of design quality and address the use of materials, transparency, overall building articulation, and other basic elements of urban form.**

| Who | Cost |
|---------------|--|
| City of Fargo | No direct cost in addition to what is expended on the LDC update |





Future Land Use Map (FLUM)

What is a FLUM?


The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) is the community's visual guide to future planning efforts and identifies recommended locations for specific land uses. In the case of the Fargo's core neighborhoods, many land uses have been in place for decades and the existing land uses are the same as the proposed future land uses. The challenge is to clearly identify those locations, often at the block level, that are transitional and recommended to be something different than they are today. The FLUM is a map of what the community wants to have happen; it is not a prediction.

How is it used?

The FLUM provides a generalized view of how land in the core neighborhoods is intended to be used. It does not necessarily show land uses as they exist today nor does it illustrate zoning information; it is not an official City map. Rather it should be used to inform any updates for a new zoning map associated with revisions to the Land Development Code (LDC). If the FLUM depicts a land use differently than what actually exists on the ground it does not mean the existing use (technically defined as a "nonconforming use") must be removed or cease operation. In this case, the standards for continued operation included in Article 20-10, Section 20-1002, Nonconforming Uses of the Land Development Code apply.

What land use designations are illustrated on the FLUM?

- Single-Family Residential**
 Single-family housing is the primary building typology recommended within this land use designation, a designation that could also be considered a de facto preservation area for the single-family building fabric that dominates these areas.
- Industrial/Warehousing**
 Industrial/warehousing facilities tend to be concentrated in specific areas as a result of delivery/pick-up and transportation needs (as well as the size of buildings needed for fabrication of materials) and should be limited to specific sites within the core neighborhoods as noted. Landscaping and screening requirements should be strongly implemented and enforced in these areas.
- Park, Open Space and Trails**
 In addition to parks, playgrounds, open spaces, and trails this land use designation also includes environmentally sensitive areas.
- Commercial**
 While a variety of commercial uses make up the majority of these areas as designated on the map, these areas should increasingly be considered for mixed-use development. Residential development and/or offices on the upper floors of retail establishments are encouraged within this land use designation. Residential development should be designed at higher densities in these areas.
- Mixed Use Neighborhood**
 Neighborhood commercial opportunities such as restaurants, local retail establishments and community gathering spaces with residential uses incorporated into the development are the primary components of this land use designation. Unlike the Commercial designation, mixed-use development requires the inclusion of a residential component to ensure 24-hour viability. Additionally, walking and cycling access must be fully integrated into these developments.
- Institutional**
 Schools, churches, nonprofit centers, community centers, hospitals, medical centers, and public facilities make up the majority of uses within the institutional land use designation.
- Schools with recreational amenities**



Inclusion Priority Areas

- Non-Core Housing Inclusion
- Core Housing Inclusion

This map of inclusion priority areas identifies blocks that have well-below average shares of Fargo's low-income households AND housing market demand conditions that are above average for Fargo. If a residential project in these areas is to receive any form of incentive from the City of Fargo, it is recommended that the incentive be granted only if the project has an affordable component of at least 10% of developed units.

© czbLLC

2. Revise development incentives and subsidies to reflect goals and planning principles of this plan



Just as the Land Development Code needs to be updated to reflect recent plans, the same applies to development incentives and subsidies (from local, state, or federal sources) that are used by the City to aid development of certain types and in certain locations. The City has a process in place for periodic reviews and updates to these tools.

ACTION

During Fargo's next review of policies governing development incentives and subsidies, integrate changes that reflect the planning principles and goals of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan. These may include:

- ✓ **Mixed-use incentives in areas designated on the FLUM (and codified in the LDC) as emerging neighborhood centers**
- ✓ **Mixed-income, inclusionary housing requirements** for:
 - Any project with a residential component that seeks assistance through TIF or PILOT
 - Any multi-family residential project seeking a remodeling exemption
- ✓ **Withholding City-controlled incentives or support for any project that contributes to or reinforces concentrated poverty, such as:**
 - Any project with a substantial affordable housing component that is also in a Census block group with 20% or higher poverty

| Who | Cost |
|---------------|--|
| City of Fargo | Value of potential tax revenues forgiven to achieve core neighborhood goals (potentially offset by tax revenues not forgiven for mis-aligned projects) |

3. Create a regional housing trust fund to support inclusive housing development at a regional level



While the City of Fargo can actively pursue a wider distribution of affordable housing opportunities through modifications to development incentives, a truly fair distribution of affordable housing opportunities requires action at the regional level. Without a regional approach to this issue, default concentrations of affordable housing in the least expensive communities will remain an issue and undermine the long-term health of older neighborhoods across Fargo-Moorhead.


ACTION

- ✓ **Through inter-jurisdictional agreements, create a consortium of communities** that agree to pay annually into a housing trust fund based on a combination of population and recent volume of market-rate development, among other possible criteria. Use allocated funds to assist financing of low-income and mixed-income housing development in a manner that does not contribute to or reinforce concentrations of poverty.

| Who | Cost |
|---|---|
| Fargo-Moorhead Council of Governments (Metro COG) as the potential convener or coordinator of participating communities; the new Cass County Land Trust as the potential home and manager of a housing trust fund | Annual cost to participating communities dependent on long-term production goals tied to regional housing needs |

2

Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



PROBLEMS TO SOLVE CURRENT PRACTICES AND CONDITIONS CHANGES TO MAKE

What are the core neighborhood problems that can be addressed by this category of tools?

Cultivating greater resident leadership capacity where it is low and maintaining it where it is high in order to successfully manage issues at the neighborhood level.

How are these tools currently configured or used?

A few core neighborhoods have well-established neighborhood associations that interact with residents and City officials, though maintaining and growing their volunteer base is an ongoing challenge. Most areas in the core neighborhoods do not have anything so formal, and any informal leadership structure that exists is often hard for the City to interact with routinely.

The City of Fargo used to employ an individual who helped to facilitate the development and operation of neighborhood associations and the cultivation of neighborhood leaders, but such a position has not existed for at least a decade.

The Fargo Neighborhood Coalition exists to connect neighborhood leaders and amplify neighborhood issues but has limited, volunteer-driven capacity.

What changes to current practices substantially address the “Problems to Solve?”

1. Create capacity to cultivate and connect residential leaders and to oversee programming that stimulates neighbor-to-neighbor engagement.



Having dedicated staff to work with neighborhood associations and emerging neighborhood groups was critical to past achievements on the issue of residential leadership development. Such a capacity is needed again, and over a sustained period, to boost the capacity of neighborhoods to manage issues and interact effectively with City Hall and other entities.

ACTION

- Establish a “Neighborhood Coordinator” position.** Position the coordinator as primarily responsible for designing and executing programming related to neighborhood leadership and engagement, and as a key liaison between neighborhood groups and City departments.

| Who | Cost |
|---|---|
| City of Fargo as lead financial sponsor of the position; position would ideally be housed at the same entity responsible for new housing reinvestment programs identified in this plan (see Housing Reinvestment Tools) | Salary and benefits of coordinator position |

2. Develop and implement programs designed to identify emerging leaders, support the work of emerging or established neighborhood groups, and to connect neighborhood leaders to information and each other.



A number of simple and time-tested approaches can be used to empower residents who want to engage and organize their neighbors and to create locally-driven momentum that builds confidence and a sense of ownership for neighborhood conditions.

ACTION

Design and execute programs, such as:

- Block activities and small beautification/improvement projects for motivated groups of neighbors (using small matching grants and providing facilitation support)**
- Annual ‘neighborhood improvement summit’ that brings neighborhood leaders together to engage with City departments and each other, supplemented by routine, topical workshops.**
- Technical assistance and/or small grants to aid in the implementation of activities that reinforce neighborhood brand and vision (as identified through the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan process).**

| Who | Cost |
|---|--|
| Programs administered by Neighborhood Coordinator | Resources for small matching grants, organizing the annual summit and routine workshops (estimated range: \$15,000 to \$30,000 per year) |

3

Housing Reinvestment Tools



PROBLEMS TO SOLVE CURRENT PRACTICES AND CONDITIONS CHANGES TO MAKE

What are the core neighborhood problems that can be addressed by this category of tools?

How are these tools currently configured or used?

What changes to current practices substantially address the “Problems to Solve?”

Overcoming owner hesitancy and/or inability to make large improvements to older homes that are in need of repairs/updates to maintain the health and marketability of core neighborhood housing stock.

Addressing isolated examples of blight that threaten stable areas.

Limited financial motivation to upgrade aging apartment complexes that also serve as a source of affordable housing.

Making single-family homes that are currently slipping less appealing targets for rental investors and more appealing for potential homeowners.

The City of Fargo has two longstanding Community Development programs in this category of tool that are too limited in size and impact to address these problems at the proper scale.

Housing Rehabilitation Program: A program funded with federal dollars for income-eligible homeowners that currently rehabs 5 to 7 properties per year due to limited resource availability and limited contractor capacity to do the rehab work (due in part to regulations tied to the funding source).

Additional federal resources from the Community Development Block Grant program and H.O.M.E. are dedicated to a variety of programs, especially those that serve the housing needs of the homeless and very low-income households.

Neighborhood Revitalization Program: The City of Fargo and Gate City Bank partner on a low-interest loan program that serves approximately 10 homeowners per year, on average; approximately \$2 million in loan capital is allocated annually; the program provides an attractive home improvement financing option for those who use it, but it does not appear to stimulate work that would not have happened otherwise.

The City of Fargo also has tax incentives aimed at reinvestment in both commercial and residential real estate. This includes the remodeling property tax exemption that exempts the value added by remodeling for five years.

The City’s Historic Overlay Districts—of which there are seven in the core neighborhoods—do not provide resources or incentives to assist owners with property improvements. But they do provide a measure of predictability, and therefore confidence to owners who make investments in historic homes, by ensuring that exterior modifications in the districts are reviewed for architectural sensitivity.

- Continue to allocate Fargo’s federal housing and neighborhood resources in a manner that serves the greatest housing needs, but look for opportunities to serve goals of this plan.**




Existing federally-supported housing and neighborhood programs managed by the Division of Community Development have shortcomings that limit their reach and impact, and this is largely a consequence of resource limitations and restrictions on how they can be used. Nevertheless, they help support vital services for the city’s most vulnerable populations.

ACTION

Continue the City’s emphasis on using federal housing and neighborhood resources to address the immediate and long-term shelter needs of households and individuals at 30% of area median income and below, including services to prevent homelessness. Whenever and wherever possible, however, use these resources to support the following activities:

- Blight reduction** on core neighborhood blocks (in eligible Census tracts) where distressed housing conditions are an impediment to neighborhood stability
- Infrastructure improvements** that reduce the cost of mixed-income housing developments in the core neighborhoods

| Who | Cost |
|--|--|
| City of Fargo Division of Community Development | Allocation of existing federal funding streams |



Promising Areas for Reinvestment Resources

The blocks identified on this map are especially promising targets for strategic housing reinvestment resources.

Core Reinvestment "A" Blocks
 "A" blocks represent blocks that are largely stable with modest levels of deferred maintenance. Strategic investments have a high probability of making them stronger and spurring reinvestment by neighbors.

Core Reinvestment "B" Blocks
 "B" blocks have higher levels of deferred maintenance but still retain a number of healthy properties. Larger investments are needed here to bolster confidence, but there are identifiable strengths to build from.

2. Create new housing reinvestment capacity to flexibly and proactively intervene with approximately 225 homes and 10 apartment buildings over a ten-year period.

- Based on the existing scale of residential disinvestment in Fargo's core, it is estimated that roughly 235 interventions over the next decade—of the right types and in the right locations—will do much to raise standards, bolster confidence, and prevent blight and disinvestment from becoming a more significant issue in 15 or 20 years.
- The recommended volume of intervention is based on the Pareto principle, also known as the 80/20 rule. Experience elsewhere has shown that by focusing directly and strategically on a modest number of disinvested properties, behaviors can be shifted in a manner that influences investment patterns neighborhood-wide.
- Given the important link between neighborhood improvement and high levels of resident capacity, marrying reinvestment programming with leadership and engagement programming may be advantageous.

ACTION

Establish a new entity (or reposition an existing one) that would be charged with designing, promoting, and managing a series of residential reinvestment programs aligned with this plan, as well as cultivating resident leadership. These programs may include:

✓ Owner-Occupant Home Improvement Program

Target: 125 houses over 10 years

Partner with existing owner-occupants on significant home improvement projects that would elevate home marketability and neighborhood standards.

✓ Home Turnaround Program – Acquisition and Renovation

Target: 50 houses over 10 years

Proactively acquire and renovate troubled houses in strategic locations and sell to qualified owner-occupants.

✓ Home Turnaround Program – Acquisition and Demolition

Target: 50 houses over 10 years

Acquire and demolish troubled properties that are unsalvageable. Sell the resulting lot to a non-profit or for-profit buyer with capacity to rebuild in a manner sensitive to neighborhood goals, or undertake sensitive infill without partners.

✓ Apartment Revitalization and Affordability Preservation Program

Target: 10 buildings over 10 years (with roughly 80 units total)

Partner with property owners on significant rehabilitation of outdated and declining apartment buildings. Require preservation of affordable rents on a share of rehabbed units.

✓ Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Program

See description of leadership cultivation and engagement capacity described under Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools.

✓ Small Grants for Clustered Curb Appeal Improvements

Use small matching grants for exterior home improvements as a way to encourage groups of at least 10 neighbors to make simultaneous and visible upgrades to their block in order to build confidence and stimulate neighbor-to-neighbor engagement.

Who

City of Fargo as lead sponsor with numerous other financial and strategic partners

Cost

Capital: Ideally, the costs of housing reinvestment projects will be covered by a combination of owner capital and debt, capital supplied by the City of Fargo, and state or federal tax credits (where applicable). Public resources would cover revolving capital needs as well as subsidies to pay for appraisal gaps and affordability gaps. It is estimated that the City of Fargo would need to commit approximately \$4 million over 10 years to support the target of intervening with 235 properties across the programs here.

Operations: Estimated need for administrative and operating funding to target, plan, and manage 20 to 25 projects per year, as well as neighborhood engagement capacity.

Return on Investment:

When a number of conditions are met, capital committed to this activity by the City of Fargo should be expected to result in at least a dollar-for-dollar increase in the taxable assessed value of properties that are improved, as well as indirect value gains elsewhere on affected blocks. Maximizing ROI will require (1) that improvements noticeably and meaningfully increase marketability of the property by virtue of quality construction and use of quality materials, (2) improvements to the exterior of properties and to landscaping are prioritized, (3) delayed/deferred capital improvements to systems (foundation, roofing, heat/cooling, plumbing, electrical, weatherization) occur, and (4) that complementary public and private improvements be made or encouraged in close proximity.

4

Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



PROBLEMS TO SOLVE CURRENT PRACTICES AND CONDITIONS CHANGES TO MAKE

What are the core neighborhood problems that can be addressed by this category of tools?

Streets

The design and function of arterial streets, most of which reflect an outdated auto-centric approach to street design.

Speeding on residential side streets.

Overparking on residential streets near busy institutions (namely NDSU).

Noise from Interstates is a problem to solve in some areas.

Trees

Trees are a recognized asset that need continued stewardship and investment to remain so, especially as mature trees die off and need replacement.

Parks

Parks are a recognized asset and have the potential to be greater quality of life assets.

Schools

Schools are a recognized asset, but some core neighborhood stakeholders fear that facilities and programming is falling behind newer schools in newer neighborhoods.

How are these tools currently configured or used?

Streets

The City of Fargo has already implemented 'low-hanging fruit' bike infrastructure throughout much of the core.

Main Avenue was rebuilt in 2020 between the river and University Drive in a manner that serves as a model for 'complete streets' design.

Previous efforts to redesign streets to better accommodate all users have run into opposition from property owners, especially when on-street parking spaces are threatened.

A system of sound barriers exists along parts of the Interstates bordering the core neighborhoods, but it is incomplete.

A Safe Routes to School study was completed in 2020 and identified areas that pose the greatest risks for pedestrians in general and children specifically.

Trees

Fargo has a well-established Forestry Department that tracks the health and size of 57,000 trees in public rights-of-way and oversees maintenance and replanting efforts.

Parks

Fargo parks are managed by a special-purpose government (the Fargo Park District) that collects revenue through a tax levy, facility fees, and a fundraising foundation. Matching parks planning and neighborhood interests/needs is a continuous challenge, and long-range planning for the overall system of spaces within the core (and citywide) could be stronger and more closely tied to neighborhood visions and goals. The Park District will start work soon on a comprehensive strategic plan, which will provide an opportunity to connect neighborhood and park strategies.

Schools

Development of new housing in the City of Fargo and school facility planning are not currently coordinated. Impacts on the latter are figured-out in the aftermath of the former through redistricting and facility expansion that tries to balance a range of competing interests. Currently, many facilities in the core are well under capacity while facilities in fast-growing southern areas of Fargo are facing pressures to expand.

What changes to current practices substantially address the "Problems to Solve?"

1. Use rising levels of neighborhood leadership and engagement to consistently inform infrastructure planning and investments in the core neighborhoods



Planning for infrastructure investments can have a tendency to solicit public input in ways that reinvent the wheel for each project rather than building on priorities and goals that have been memorialized by previous efforts. This can create an environment where projects and investments are fragmented rather than combining with others to realize long-term community outcomes.

ACTION

✓ **Through newly created neighborhood coordination capacity (see Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools), leverage engaged residents to routinely inform planning efforts** related to specific types of infrastructure in the core neighborhoods through the lens of established neighborhood priorities and goals. Use the continuity of this engagement to ensure that new investments in parks, streets, trees, schools, and other infrastructure builds on existing momentum.

| Who | Cost |
|---|---|
| Neighborhood Coordinator working with residents and departments/agencies overseeing infrastructure planning & investments | Improved coordination of existing public engagement resources |

2. Develop a strategy to implement "complete streets" principles and character-enhancing improvements on all major corridors in the core neighborhoods, as well as traffic calming measures on busy side streets



Turning from an auto-focused orientation of major streets in the core to a more balanced consideration of multiple users (which has already begun on some streets, including Main Avenue) is a long-term process that requires thoughtful phasing and planning to achieve the right design for each street. The same goes for any effort to use street infrastructure to express and reinforce neighborhood character.

ACTION

✓ **As part of the upcoming Fargo Transportation Study, identify a process for gradually implementing complete streets principles along major corridors in core neighborhoods**, taking into consideration reconstruction schedules and the potential for inexpensive short-term modifications to test concepts. Include neighborhood associations, Fargo Public Schools, the Park District, and Forestry as key partners in the planning process.

| Who | Cost |
|--|------------------|
| Metro COG, City of Fargo, NDDOT, and USDOT, along with other relevant partners | To be determined |

3. Explore modifications to traffic patterns along University Drive and 10th Street corridors



University Drive and 10th Street became tandem one-way arterials decades ago, before I-29 and I-94 were built. Stakeholders from neighborhoods along these corridors (north and south of downtown) have asked if the streets can return to two-way traffic—a practice that many cities and highway departments have adopted in recent years (including Fargo, with Northern Pacific and 1st Avenue).

Below 13th Avenue South, the current two-way configuration of South University Drive is not pedestrian or bicycle friendly and hinders the potential for the street to become a mixed-use neighborhood corridor.

ACTION

- Request NDDOT evaluation of the conversion of these corridors from one-way to two-way traffic, and of “complete street” options for South University Drive.

| Who | Cost |
|---|------------------|
| Metro COG, through its Metropolitan Transportation Planning processes | To be determined |

4. Implement residential parking permits in areas of demonstrated need and at residents’ request



Concerns about overparking—particularly in the Roosevelt neighborhood due to parking demand by NDSU students and the conversion of single-family homes into rentals—have been raised as a quality of life and traffic safety issue.

ACTION

- Utilize the Residential Parking Permit District mechanism established under the City’s Code of Ordinances. There is currently one such district in the city (downtown). The process for designating a district involves a petition submitted to the City Engineer by a resident, with signatures from at least 50% of property owners in the proposed district.

| Who | Cost |
|--|---|
| Neighborhood association or group of residents demonstrating support for a parking district and reasoning for the district’s establishment | Nominal permit issuance and renewal fees to offset administrative and enforcement costs |

5. Expand system of sound barriers between Interstate highways and core neighborhoods where they are missing and deemed necessary



While a system of sound barriers protects several parts of the core neighborhoods from Interstate highway noise, other areas have no such protection. The area between South University Drive and 25th Street South, in particular, has been noted for the impact of highway noise on neighborhood quality of life and marketability.

ACTION

- Include core neighborhood noise impact and mitigation analysis in Metro COG’s upcoming Interstate Operations Study and introduce recommended mitigation measures into Metro COG’s Long-Range Transportation Plan processes. Build on analysis performed and feedback received during recent planning efforts and work collaboratively with neighborhood leaders on understanding impacts and solutions.

| Who | Cost |
|---------------------|--|
| Metro COG and NDDOT | Dependent on determination of impact and form of mitigation chosen |

6. Maintain strong commitment to tree maintenance and replanting



It would be a mistake to take the high caliber of Fargo’s forestry management for granted, or to assume that trees can take care of themselves. What Fargo has today is the result of wise stewardship that requires resources and support to continue.

ACTION

- Maintain or increase current levels of investment in Fargo’s Forestry Department to ensure that trees remain a primary asset of the core neighborhoods. Doing so can ensure the proper pace of replanting as mature elms die off and contribute to neighborhood character-enhancing changes to major corridors.

| Who | Cost |
|---------------|---|
| City of Fargo | At minimum, keep Forestry Department funding (currently at \$2.3 million per year) paced with inflation |

7. Develop a comprehensive strategy for improving and maintaining public spaces as critical neighborhood assets



Public spaces in the core neighborhoods are owned and managed by the Park District, Fargo Public Schools (school yards, athletic fields, and playgrounds), and the City. Currently, there is no process for thinking about these spaces as a cohesive network of connected spaces—but there should be to make the most of these spaces as neighborhood assets and to ensure that a long-term vision melds with neighborhood needs and aspirations.

ACTION

- ✓ **Develop a ‘Fargo Parks and Public Space Master Plan’ through a partnership between the Park District, City of Fargo, Fargo Public Schools, and other relevant stakeholders.** Use this core neighborhoods plan and other plans to guide the process, which would lay out a long-term, network-wide vision and investment strategy for public spaces in Fargo. Use the Park District’s upcoming strategic planning efforts as a starting point for this work.

| Who | Cost |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Park District, City of Fargo, and Fargo Public Schools | Estimated range: \$100,000+ |

8. Strengthen the long-term planning relationship between the City and Fargo Public Schools with a new focus on recognizing and addressing the negative externalities of growth



The City of Fargo and Fargo Public Schools have a good working relationship when it comes to monitoring housing development and demographic changes that will impact school enrollment. But Fargo currently lacks a long-term vision for development and growth that takes into account the effect that new development on the periphery has on core neighborhoods and school enrollment patterns.

ACTION

- ✓ **Update Fargo’s 2007 Growth Plan and the Go2030 Comprehensive Plan** to provide a long-term vision for growth that more adequately accounts for the costs and benefits of how and where growth happens. Use this as a basis for an updated policy framework that may include impact fees and other tools to ensure that new development (wherever it happens) contributes to a strengthening of Fargo’s core.

| Who | Cost |
|---------------|------------------|
| City of Fargo | To be determined |

5

Public Health and Safety Tools



PROBLEMS TO SOLVE CURRENT PRACTICES AND CONDITIONS

What are the core neighborhood problems that can be addressed by this category of tools?

How are these tools currently configured or used?

Overcoming owner-occupant hesitancy to make large improvements to older homes that are in need of repairs/ updates— especially hesitancy stemming from lack of confidence in neighborhood direction.

Overcoming owner inability (financial, physical, or otherwise) to make basic repairs to bring their properties up to code.

Making single-family homes that are currently slipping less appealing targets for rental investors and more appealing for potential homeowners.

Alleys that are unkempt and detract from neighborhood character and confidence (road condition, presence of junk/debris, condition of adjoining private property and yards).

Fargo’s Inspections Department interacts with residential property conditions in the core neighborhoods in two primary ways:

Complaint-based enforcement of the property maintenance code, which is the traditional method for most communities. Based on conversations with core neighborhood stakeholders, this approach is not well understood by many residents who assume that code enforcement should actively seek out code violations.

Active public safety inspections of rental properties through a rental inspection program. In its current form, the program is not communicated clearly to the wider public and gives considerable discretion to code inspectors to determine when inspections are needed and when to follow-up.

A Code Enforcement Task Force exists that brings together Inspections, Law, Planning, Fire, and Police for coordination. However, an integrated problem-solving approach that addresses physical disorder before it becomes a more serious social problem does not yet exist.

Rebuilding Together has an active Fargo/Moorhead chapter that assists homeowners in need with critical home repairs and improvements, including abatement of code violations.

The City has limited resources to assist low-income owners with acute “Code Compliance Assistance” activities.

CHANGES TO MAKE

What changes to current practices substantially address the “Problems to Solve?”

1. Strengthen existing complaint-based code enforcement by expanding communication/outreach with neighborhoods and property owners



Complaint-based code enforcement is most effective when the public understands how it works, their role in communicating issues to the City, and the limitations of code enforcement (what it can and cannot address).

ACTION

- ✔ **Continue this model of code enforcement, but expand outreach** (in collaboration with a new Neighborhood Coordinator position described elsewhere in the plan toolkit) to better inform residents and neighborhood groups about their role in this partnership.

| Who | Cost |
|--|---|
| City of Fargo Building Inspections, in collaboration with Communications and Governmental Affairs and Neighborhood Coordinator | Potentially nominal through enhanced coordination between Building Inspections, Communications and Governmental Affairs, Neighborhood Coordinator, and neighborhood organizations |

2. Supplement the basic complaint-based code enforcement method with periodic sweeps of core neighborhoods



Targeted code enforcement activities run the risk of unfairly focusing on specific neighborhoods and populations. The key to active but fair code enforcement is to have a systematic approach, such as a process for regular sweeps.

Comprehensive sweeps offer significant opportunities to communicate with property owners about the purpose of code enforcement, about programs to assist those who need help to remedy violations, and about programs that exist to stimulate property improvements and rehab.

ACTION

- ✔ **Institute a system of code enforcement sweeps that divides the core neighborhoods into a series of zones that receive a block-by-block sweep for code violations on a regular basis.** For example, the core could be divided into four zones, each with a similar number of properties. Starting in Year 1, Zone #1 would be the focus of a strategic code enforcement sweep, followed by Zone #2 in Year 2. Properties in Zone #1 would be subject to another sweep in Year 5 when the cycle starts again.

Alternatively, a system of city-wide sweeps could be instituted that goes north-to-south and covers the core neighborhoods early on in a multi-year cycle. Or, sweeps can be designed to focus only on certain violations that pose the greatest risk to public safety and neighborhood quality of life.

| Who | Cost |
|------------------------------------|--|
| City of Fargo Building Inspections | Additional full-time staff at Building Inspections will be needed, with exact numbers dependent on the chosen approach and resulting work load |

3. Upgrade the existing rental inspection program into a license and inspection system



The current rental inspection program is an excellent start to regulating the rental housing business to ensure safe and healthy housing conditions for renters and a level playing field for good landlords. But there are practices to adopt that would make for a more comprehensive and transparent system, such as a system that ties inspections to rental unit licensing.

ACTION

- ✔ **Require all rental units in the City to operate with a license that is obtained and maintained by passing a basic health and safety inspection.** Exemptions can be granted to properties that are the lowest risks, including relatively new properties, rental buildings where the owner lives on site, rental units occupied by close family of the owner, and other sensible exclusions.

All properties would begin with a provisional, or automatic, license. Then, the City would begin a process of inspecting a certain percentage of all rental units each year, granting a full license if the property passes inspection—a license that would be good until the time comes for the next routine inspection. If the City made each license good for five years, this would require execution of a repeating five-year inspection cycle.

If properties fail an inspection, additional inspections would be required until all violations are abated. And if a property is a source of repeat complaints and problems, or if the owner has a problematic track record, the term of the license can be shortened to ensure that inspections are more frequent.

To pay for administration of this system, charge a fee for all inspections to cover program costs. This effectively penalizes property owners who require repeated follow-up inspections and rewards those who require fewer inspections. Moorhead currently has a similar fee-based rental registration system that is worth study.

| Who | Cost |
|------------------------------------|---|
| City of Fargo Building Inspections | Additional full-time staff will be needed at Building Inspections, with costs offset by inspection fees |

4. Build on the Code Enforcement Task Force currently in place to create a closer working relationship between Inspections, Community Development, the Police Department, Municipal Court, social service providers, and other relevant partners



Collaboration between multiple departments enhances the City’s ability to identify root problems behind physical disorder to inform the application of appropriate tools (such as compliance assistance for matters of financial need, community policing where disorder is affecting safety and quality of life, acquisition of problem properties in strategic locations, etc.).

ACTION

- ✔ **Formalize the Code Enforcement Task Force via direction from the City Commission that prescribes participating departments and the Task Force’s mission.** Broaden the range of collaborators to include relevant non-City agencies and devise a system of “field teams” that would prioritize subject properties, investigate circumstances, identify probable solutions, and put the solutions into motion.

| Who | Cost |
|--|--|
| Building Inspections as potential lead partner on Task Force | Potentially nominal through enhanced coordination of partnering agencies |

5. Create a “Compliance Assistance” partnership between Community Development, Building Inspections, and relevant private sector partners



Having labor and financial resources to coordinate and deploy as needed to assist with code compliance for homeowners unable to abate violations is a critical part of achieving the desired outcomes of code enforcement.

ACTION

- ✓ **Identify a pool of resources (financial and labor) from City departments and non-profit partners that can be used to assist eligible homeowners with emergency repairs and other forms of basic code compliance.**

| Who | Cost |
|--|---|
| Community Development, Building Inspections, and Rebuilding Together, among other potential partners | Allocate small pool of City resources to help cover compliance assistance costs and match with resources from Rebuilding Together and other non-profit partners |

6. Use alley maintenance and cleanup efforts as a neighborhood improvement and engagement tool



Alleys are an important part of the infrastructure in several core neighborhoods, but their status as shared space can lead to neglect and accumulation of junk and debris.

ACTION

- ✓ **Create a partnership between Public Works, Community Development, Solid Waste, Forestry, neighborhood associations, and other partners that treats alley cleanup efforts as an opportunity to connect neighbors with each other and to create a firmer sense of local ownership for alley conditions.**
Beyond alleys, identify other activities involving the same partners to improve resident engagement while improving neighborhood conditions.

| Who | Cost |
|--|--|
| Neighborhood Coordinator in partnership with relevant City departments and neighborhood associations | Refocus existing resource and capacity |

Timing and Prioritization

The actions identified in this Core Neighborhoods Toolkit—all of which would build from or modify Fargo’s existing approach to these five types of tools—are ambitious and will collectively require a patient, long-term commitment of resources and energy from the City and a variety of neighborhood stakeholders and partners to achieve results.

As a comprehensive package, the actions identified in this plan would be impossible and inappropriate to implement all at once, or even over the span of a few years. There are some actions that are foundational in nature and require attention in the first 12 to 24 months after plan adoption to put systems and policies in place that will lay the groundwork for activities and decision-making over the coming decade—and ensure that the table has been set for additional work to be done.

| | | Foundations to Lay in the First 24 Months | Building on Foundations in Months 12-36 | Longer-term Momentum-building Actions |
|---|---|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Development Regulation and Incentive Tools | Update the Land Development Code (LDC) to reflect principles and goals expressed in this Core Neighborhoods Master Plan | ✓ | | |
| | Revise development incentives and tax exemptions to reflect goals and planning principles of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan | | ✓ | |
| | Create a regional housing trust fund to support inclusive housing development at a regional level | | | ✓ |
| Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools | Create capacity to cultivate and connect residential leaders, and to oversee programming that stimulates neighbor-to-neighbor engagement | ✓ | | |
| | Develop and implement programs designed to identify emerging leaders, support the work of emerging or established neighborhood groups, and to connect neighborhood leaders to information and each other. | | ✓ | |
| Housing Reinvestment Tools | Create new housing reinvestment capacity to flexibly and proactively intervene with approximately 225 homes and 10 apartment buildings over a ten-year period | ✓ | | |
| | Continue to allocate Fargo’s federal housing and neighborhood resources in a manner that serves the greatest housing needs, but look for opportunities to serve goals of this plan | | ✓ | |
| Public Infrastructure Investment Tools | Create capacity to continuously link neighborhood residents and leaders to infrastructure investment planning and decisions | ✓ | | |
| | Develop strategy to implement “complete streets” principles and character-enhancing improvements on all major corridors in the core neighborhoods, as well as traffic calming measures on busy side streets | | ✓ | |
| | Explore modifications to traffic patterns along University Drive and 10th Street corridors | | ✓ | |
| | Implement residential parking permits in areas of demonstrated need and at residents’ request | | ✓ | |
| | Expand system of sound barriers between Interstate highways and core neighborhoods where they are missing but may be required by current federal guidelines | | | ✓ |
| | Maintain strong commitment to tree maintenance and replanting | ✓ | | |
| | Develop comprehensive strategy for improving and maintaining public spaces as critical neighborhood assets | | ✓ | |
| Public Health & Safety Tools | Strengthen existing complaint-based code enforcement efforts by expanding communication/outreach with neighborhoods and property owners | ✓ | | |
| | Supplement the basic complaint-based code enforcement method with periodic sweeps of core neighborhoods | | ✓ | |
| | Upgrade the existing rental inspection program into a license and inspection system | | | ✓ |
| | Build on the Code Enforcement Task Force model currently in place to create a closer working relationship between Inspections, Community Development, the Police Department, Municipal Court, social service providers, and other relevant partners | ✓ | | |
| | Create a “Compliance Assistance” partnership between Community Development, Rebuilding Together, and other relevant partners | ✓ | | |
| | Use alley maintenance and cleanup efforts as a neighborhood improvement and engagement tool | | ✓ | |

Top Five Implementation Steps

To get plan implementation underway in a manner that builds confidence and momentum for other plan activities, the five utmost priorities for the first 24 months of implementation are listed below.

Number one on the list is not a tool identified in this plan—rather, it is the recognition that a plan of this complexity needs to have a committee or person clearly designated as the coordinator tasked with overseeing an implementation planning process, connecting involved stakeholders, and tracking progress on all policy and program fronts.



#1

Designate an implementation coordinator or coordinating body

The coordinator could be an individual based in City Hall, or it could be a newly established or repurposed committee assisted by City staff. Developing and overseeing annual action plans will be an important part of this work. 2021 will be a year dominated by detailed implementation planning, policy work, and the identification of resources to allocate in 2022 and future budgets.



#2

Update the Land Development Code (LDC) to reflect principles and goals expressed in the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan

The update to the LDC is expected to begin in 2021 and will help lay policy groundwork to activate principles and realize goals from this and other plans.



#3

Create capacity to cultivate and connect residential leaders and to oversee programming to simulate neighbor-to-neighbor engagement

Establishing and filling the Neighborhood Coordinator position in 2022 (a distinct role from that listed as priority #1) will be an important part of building on the momentum generated by resident volunteers during this planning process. Part of that individual's early work would be the development of programming and projects to cultivate neighborhood leadership capacity.



#4

Create new housing reinvestment capacity to flexibly and proactively intervene with approximately 225 homes and 10 apartment buildings over a ten-year period

This decade-long process of strategically reinvesting in the housing stock of core neighborhoods in order to bolster market strength is the biggest single departure from current practices and will take time to put into place. At least 18 months will be needed to create a new entity or repurpose an existing entity, secure capital commitments from the City of Fargo and other sources, build administrative capacity, and design financial products/programs before money can start flowing into worthy projects.



#5

Strengthen existing complaint-based code enforcement efforts by expanding communication/outreach with neighborhoods and property owners


Expanding code enforcement efforts in Fargo will require a process of building a better understanding in the community of the purpose of property maintenance codes and roles that the City, neighbors, and property owners play in keeping neighborhoods safe, healthy, and attractive. This begins with building partnerships and understanding around the current, complaint-based approach to enforcement.

PART 5



NEIGHBORHOOD IMPLEMENTATION BRIEFS


Although the process behind the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan revealed much that the nine neighborhoods have in common, it did not obscure the reality that there are important differences in the conditions, trends, aspirations, and issues across Fargo’s core. And while planning at the core-wide level ensures a unified front to address complex policy and resource questions, implementation of the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit—be it the enforcement of policies, the execution of programs, or the daily work of resident leaders—will ultimately meet the ground at the neighborhood and block levels.



Nine Neighborhood Briefs

- p 68 **CLARA BARTON**
- p 82 **HAWTHORNE**
- p 96 **HORACE MANN**
- p 110 **JEFFERSON / CARL BEN**
- p 124 **LEWIS & CLARK**
- p 138 **MADISON / UNICORN PARK**
- p 152 **ROOSEVELT / NDSU**
- p 166 **SOUTH HIGH**
- p 180 **WASHINGTON**

These Implementation Briefs have been developed to express the distinct characteristics of each neighborhood and the visions that residents are seeking to achieve. They have also been developed to demonstrate how the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit applies at a localized level and considerations that should influence the actions of the City, residents, and other stakeholders that will be working to realize neighborhood outcomes.



Every implementation brief in Part 5 features the following content and structure:

Assets and Issues



Strengths the neighborhood is building upon and issues expressed by residents

Conditions and Trends



Analysis of conditions and trends that influence neighborhood health

Vision and Outcomes



Neighborhood attributes and likely target markets that serve as building blocks of the neighborhood’s vision for the future

Implementation Guidance



Local context and guidance for the implementation of Fargo’s Core Neighborhoods Toolkit





NEIGHBORHOOD IMPLEMENTATION BRIEF

CLARA BARTON

What are Clara Barton's strongest assets? Its most important trends? The vision that residents have for its future? The characteristics that will influence how the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit is implemented at the local level?

This Implementation Brief provides answers and guidance to these and other questions based on community feedback and neighborhood analysis from the 2020 core neighborhoods planning process.

Welcome to the
CLARA BARTON
— NEIGHBORHOOD —

Assets to Build Upon

A range of assets in the Clara Barton neighborhood were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **working well** in the neighborhood today?




What are the neighborhood's **top selling points** to potential residents?

CLARA BARTON



These assets represent strengths to preserve and to build a vision for the future around.

- Homes are well-maintained and express pride
- Young families moving in
- Clara Barton Elementary School
- People care about gardens and landscaping
- Tree canopy
- Access to great parks and trails along the Red River
- Homes with architectural character
- Convenient location



Issues to Address

Issues or problems to address in Clara Barton were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **not working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **turn-offs** to potential residents?

CLARA BARTON



These issues should be addressed to reinforce the neighborhood's assets and promote the quality of life of existing and future residents.

- Major roads could be safer and more walkable, especially 13th Avenue and University Drive
- Some infill and new garages clash with neighborhood form and character
- Charming but distressed properties found in a few areas
- Smaller houses tend to be in rougher shape

CLARA BARTON NEIGHBORHOOD

Conditions and Trends

A demographic transition is underway

Clara Barton has above-average concentrations of children under 18 as well as residents over 65—evidence that a large cohort of older homeowners is in the middle of transitioning over to young families.

This transition is likely an indication of two positive dynamics for the neighborhood: (1) older residents choose to stay in the neighborhood well into their empty nest years and (2) when they sell there is demand from young families to take their place.

Share of residents under 18



Share of residents 65 and over



Source: 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Homeowners and families predominate

The housing stock of Clara Barton is comprised almost exclusively of single-family homes. This is reflected in a neighborhood-wide homeownership rate that is higher than any other core neighborhood and more than double the City's average. It is also reflected in the presence of families—or households with at least two related individuals—which account for well over half of all households.

Share of Homeowning Households



Share of Family Households




Source: 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Homes tend to be larger, though smaller and more affordable opportunities exist

Compared to many other core neighborhoods, Clara Barton's single-family housing stock is dominated by larger homes with at least two bathrooms that have sold in recent years for \$200,000 or more, on average. Many smaller homes—such as 2 bed/1 bath configurations—can also be found and at much lower prices on account of both size and condition.

Dominant Home Configurations



| | 3 beds / 2 baths | 2 beds / 2 baths | 2 beds / 1 bath | 3 beds / 1 bath | 3 beds / 3 baths |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| # of Properties | 215 | 133 | 113 | 80 | 69 |
| % Owner-Occupied | 91% | 88% | 82% | 88% | 96% |
| Average Field Survey Condition Score | 2.26 | 2.34 | 2.45 | 2.48 | 2.11 |
| Average Assessed Value, 2019 | \$210,701 | \$197,046 | \$139,540 | \$172,575 | \$288,304 |
| Average Sales Price, 2017-2019 | \$213,390 | \$197,046 | \$164,555 | \$175,647 | \$282,967 |
| Total Sales, 2017-2019 | 36 | 24 | 10 | 15 | 6 |



Properties are well-maintained, though vulnerabilities are present

A slight majority of homes in Clara Barton were categorized in excellent or good condition during the 2020 field survey of residential condition—designations given to properties with no overt signs of deferred maintenance and evident pride of ownership. Less than 5% of homes had visible signs of deferred maintenance. A vulnerability exists, however, in the more than 40% of homes that were deemed “average” and could easily slip in the coming decade without more proactive levels of investment by owners.

Exterior Condition Survey of Homes (Field Survey)





CLARA BARTON NEIGHBORHOOD

Vision for Clara Barton

A vision for Clara Barton emerged during the planning process in the form of a brand statement based (1) on the characteristics or attributes that are at the core of the neighborhood's image and (2) on the target homebuyer markets that are most likely to find the neighborhood's attributes and housing stock appealing.

The result is a statement describing the neighborhood in 2030 as a place that has reinforced its intrinsic assets and is a neighborhood of choice for its target markets. The statement and its components can be used in a variety of ways, such as guiding the development of events and activities that express this vision, as the basis for developing marketing materials, and to clarify the mission of existing or new neighborhood organizations.

Core Neighborhood Attributes

Neighborhood assets were distilled and prioritized into a set of core attributes that are most important to the Clara Barton brand.



Architectural Style

Nice range of styles from older historic to mid-century modern, and price ranges from starter homes with DIY opportunities to move-up homes for households looking to live near downtown. Many households "house-hop" within Clara Barton, choosing larger or smaller houses depending on their life stage.



Kid-Friendly

Kids walk and ride their bikes to school on abundant sidewalks. Our kids can be a little more free-range because of our safe streets and wonderful amenities, and lots of friendly neighbors looking out for them. Our compact grid layout makes it easy for kids to navigate and stay safe.



Great Location

Walk to street fairs, Farmer's Market, restaurants and locally owned coffee shops, library, post office, Art Museum, gyms and shopping of all kinds. Many neighbors bike or walk to work downtown. In the nearby Midtown Business District, you can get groceries, go to other restaurants, coffee, a bottle shop and more. This business district is taking off with new and interesting, locally-owned businesses. Also easy access to interstate.



Outdoor Life

Outdoor life is very accessible, with Lindenwood and Island Parks and our Red River boundary providing lots of fun: cycling and running trails, fishing, picnicking, kayaking, cross-country skiing and snowshoeing. The pedestrian bridge to Moorhead takes only minutes to get to single-track mountain biking trails.

Target Markets

The neighborhood attributes and existing housing stock were used to identify target homebuyer markets that are primed to see the neighborhood as a good fit.

Profile 1

Move-Up Homes



Young households with kids – couple or singles. Empty nesters or mid-to-late-career households looking to live near downtown

Professional occupations such as medical, law, software development, education

May be attracted to downtown and walking/biking lifestyle

Profile 2

Starter Homes



Willing to take on more affordable, smaller homes and/or homes that need some fixing up

Younger households starting out, perhaps newlyweds who plan to start families

Single income households with or without kids

Gig economy workers with more than one part time job or business

Vision and Brand Statement

Clara Barton neighbors of all ages celebrate its walkability, good schools, and a wide variety of fun outdoor activities. The neighborhood offers a range of home styles from older historic to mid-century modern, and price ranges from DIY-friendly starter homes to move-up, move-in-ready homes.

We bike or walk downtown to street fairs, Farmer's Market, restaurants and locally-owned coffee shops, the library, post office, art museum, gyms and shopping of all kinds. The nearby Midtown Business District is taking off with new, interesting, locally-owned businesses, and a grocery store.

Outdoor life is easy in Clara Barton, with Lindenwood and Island Parks and the Red River providing lots of fun: cycling and running trails, fishing, kayaking, cross-country skiing and snowshoeing. Clara Barton is so beloved by neighbors, it is not uncommon for people to "house-hop" within the neighborhood, choosing smaller or larger homes depending on their current lifestyle.

Welcome to the
CLARA BARTON
— NEIGHBORHOOD —

CLARA BARTON NEIGHBORHOOD


Outcomes to Strive For

To complement the vision and brand statement and provide a basis for measuring progress toward neighborhood goals, specific neighborhood outcomes have been identified and translated into metrics for neighborhood stakeholder to track over time.


Homes and public areas show pride and help promote a positive image for neighborhoods




Strong buyers choose to live here, including families with children




Neighbors enjoy a walkable community



Schools are thriving, and schools and residential areas reinforce each other's success




Neighborhoods are known for being neighborly and people feel safe




Share of residents who indicate "safety" or "crime" as a priority in a survey of priority issues



There are a wide variety of people and housing types/price ranges



Share of homes priced below citywide average



CLARA BARTON NEIGHBORHOOD

Implementation Guidance

The Core Neighborhoods Toolkit outlined in Part 4 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan contains five components with recommendations that are collectively designed to address issues and seize opportunities that surfaced during the planning process core-wide. The following pages of this brief provide guidance on the implementation of each component at the neighborhood-level.

1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



3 Housing Reinvestment Tools



4 Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



5 Public Health & Safety Tools



1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) is the neighborhood's visual guide to future planning efforts and illustrates how land is intended to be used—what the neighborhood wants to have happen. It is not an official City map nor is it a zoning map; rather it should be used to inform any updates to a new zoning map with associated revisions to the Land Development Code (LDC).

The FLUM portrayed on the following page generally depicts land uses that currently exist in the well-established Clara Barton neighborhood but also includes recommended changes to areas that demonstrate locational or design characteristics representing opportunities to evolve and become something that better serves the nearby residents in the future. The following list of recommended land uses includes those areas that should be considered for possible rezoning and/or economic incentives that would allow them to transform over the next decade and become enhanced neighborhood assets. Beyond those areas recommended for change, the FLUM also visually represents land uses that should be preserved to protect existing neighborhood character, specifically the single-family residential land use designation.


- The majority of the Clara Barton neighborhood is comprised of a single-family development pattern and should be protected as such.
- The northeast corner of 17th Avenue South and South University Drive offers an opportunity for a mixed-use development that would serve both the Clara Barton residents as well as the Essentia Health Clinic located just across the street to the south. This mixed-use opportunity could take the form of a neighborhood commercial center and/or a multi-family development that provides a higher density housing option not currently available in the neighborhood and one that might serve some of the housing needs for the clinic.
- See the Lewis & Clark FLUM for the following changes on the west side of South University Drive that would impact Clara Barton: The blocks from 13th Avenue South to 17th Avenue South and between South University Drive and 13½ Street South are proposed as mixed-use development opportunities. The existing structures in this area are primarily commercial uses that are set back from South University Drive behind large parking lots. The opportunity for redevelopment in this area is noteworthy and the City should consider rezoning these blocks to accommodate development that serves the needs of the neighborhood as much as it currently favors the traffic along South University Drive.



CLARA BARTON FUTURE LAND USE MAP

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Single-Family Residential | Industrial/Warehousing |
| Multi-Family Residential | Park, Open Space and Trails |
| Institutional | Commercial |
| Schools with recreational amenities | Mixed Use Neighborhood Commercial |

For full descriptions of these land use categories, see page 45 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan





CLARA BARTON NEIGHBORHOOD

2

Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



CORE BRAND ATTRIBUTE



Architectural Style



Community



Kid-Friendly



Great Location



Outdoor Life

ACTIVITY

| ACTIVITY | Architectural Style | Community | Kid-Friendly | Great Location | Outdoor Life |
|---|---------------------|-----------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| Install one or more bike repair stations in the neighborhood. | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Traffic calming measures around the school. | | | ✓ | | |
| Historic home tour on off years from Hawthorne. | ✓ | | | ✓ | |
| Annual spring concert and food truck. | | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Annual fishing, biking, 5K run event. | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |

Clara Barton has a well-established neighborhood association that meets regularly and can serve as an excellent starting point for building greater levels of resident engagement and leadership. Such efforts should consider the list of potential activities developed during the planning process to reinforce Clara Barton's core attributes.

In 2021 and 2022, association members should identify and implement at least one activity that will capture the interest of residents not currently involved in the neighborhood association. Bringing new residential volunteers into the fold will ensure that diverse representatives are not only engaged but that they clearly understand the neighborhood's vision for the future.

3

Housing Reinvestment Tools



Promising Areas for Reinvestment Resources

Core Reinvestment "A" Blocks

The new housing reinvestment tools proposed in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit are intended to meet a need for programs that proactively target and turn around troubled properties, as well as programs that partner with owners who are able to invest in improvements and updates but are hesitating due to uncertainty about the neighborhood or their return on investment.


Potential target areas for these programs have been identified for planning purposes. Blocks with a combination of strong properties and some weaker properties are prioritized as areas where targeting resources is likely to bolster confidence and stimulate similar investments by other owners. Several blocks across Clara Barton have been identified as "A" blocks, making them strong candidates for reinvestment resources.

For maximum impact, new reinvestment programs should be actively promoted and paired with existing incentives, such as the remodeling tax exemption.

CLARA BARTON NEIGHBORHOOD

4

Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



SCHOOLS & PARKS

Collaborate closely with neighborhood stakeholders on the new master plan for Lindenwood Park. Plans for that park, as well as future investments in park facilities along the Red River, should focus on providing high-quality amenities that promote residential reinvestment in Clara Barton.

Invest in Clara Barton Elementary School, Carl Ben Eielson Middle School, and South High School to keep them on-par and competitive with facilities in other parts of Fargo.

Neighborhood residents: Use parks and schools as locations for neighborhood activities and as selling points in efforts to encourage current and potential residents to invest in the neighborhood.

- A** Clara Barton Elementary School
- B** Burdick Park and Red River Trail
- C** Lindenwood Park

CORRIDORS

Include highlighted corridors in efforts to plan and implement “complete street” designs that better serve all modes of transportation. This includes the evaluation of options for S. University Drive’s transition from an auto-centric street to one conducive for mixed-use, neighborhood-friendly redevelopment.

View all future investments along corridors as opportunities to strengthen neighborhood character and identity.


- S University Dr
- 13th Ave S
- 17th Ave S

TREES

The tree canopy contributes significantly to the neighborhood’s appeal and quality of life, especially west of 5th St S.

- Continue strong management of existing public trees on streets and in parks
- Replant aggressively as older trees come down
- Cultivate a stronger canopy on streets east of 5th St S.

Public Trees by Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) in inches



5

Public Health & Safety Tools

More so than with other tools in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit, partnerships between the City and neighborhood residents will be critical to the success of tools that seek that to uphold basic standards of property maintenance.

The resident side of this equation should include:



Active participation in complaint-based code enforcement

Complaint-based code enforcement is now and will remain a central tool for enforcing Fargo’s property maintenance code. For this to work, it is important that residents understand how to submit complaints (online or by phone) and the process the City follows to verify and abate code violations. Stepped-up communications by the City will play a role, but so must proactive communication by groups of residents.



Help neighbors in need with compliance assistance

While partnerships between the City and non-profits can play a role in helping property owners who lack the financial or physical ability to fix basic code issues, neighborhood residents and faith-based organizations have the potential to play the leading role in a manner that also builds the neighborhood’s capacity to organize and act.



Organize alley clean-ups and maintenance

Identify opportunities to partner with the City on special clean-up events in neighborhood alleys to improve conditions and engage residents in a manner that builds connections and capacity to solve problems.



NEIGHBORHOOD IMPLEMENTATION BRIEF

HAWTHORNE

What are Hawthorne's strongest assets? Its most important trends? The vision that residents have for its future? The characteristics that will influence how the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit is implemented at the local level?

This Implementation Brief provides answers and guidance to these and other questions based on community feedback and neighborhood analysis from the 2020 core neighborhoods planning process.

Welcome to the
HAWTHORNE
— NEIGHBORHOOD —

Assets to Build Upon

A range of assets in the Hawthorne neighborhood were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **working well** in the neighborhood today?




What are the neighborhood's **top selling points** to potential residents?

HAWTHORNE



These assets represent strengths to preserve and to build a vision for the future around.

- Tree-lined streets
- High-quality recreational spaces, such as Island Park, Dill Hill, and neighborhood playgrounds
- Sense of identity, typified by welcome signs
- Sidewalk network, walkability, and bikability
- Variety of architectural styles and housing types
- Recent investments in infill housing
- 8th Street commercial district and proximity to downtown



Issues to Address

Issues or problems to address in Hawthorne were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **not working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **turn-offs** to potential residents?

HAWTHORNE



These issues should be addressed to reinforce the neighborhood's assets and promote the quality of life of existing and future residents.



- Spotty maintenance on some older homes
- Poorly managed rentals
- Difficulty finding qualified contractors to work on home renovations
- Traffic and noise on busy streets
- Winter parking problems
- Underused parking lots near Prairie St. John's

HAWTHORNE NEIGHBORHOOD

Conditions and Trends

Hawthorne households have relatively high earning capacity

Adults with at least a four-year college degree make up close to half or more of the adult population in much of Hawthorne—a rate that outpaces Fargo as a whole and accounts for relatively high family incomes. This means that most Hawthorne families have the financial capacity to live almost anywhere in the region and have chosen Hawthorne for its unique characteristics and assets.




A diverse housing stock supports a range of opportunities

While many of the dominant single-family home configurations in Hawthorne are larger homes that have been selling, on average, for over \$200,000 in recent years, there are numerous smaller homes that are priced within reach of Fargo's median household. Those smaller homes, however, have a higher incidence of absentee-ownership and deferred maintenance.



Dominant Home Configurations

| | 3 beds / 2 baths | 3 beds / 1 bath | 2 beds / 2 baths | 3 beds / 1.5 baths | 4 beds / 2 baths |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| # of Properties | 103 | 57 | 50 | 46 | 45 |
| % Owner-Occupied | 89% | 75% | 78% | 93% | 87% |
| Average Field Survey Condition Score | 2.24 | 2.54 | 2.45 | 2.27 | 2.14 |
| Average Assessed Value, 2019 | \$187,602 | \$162,311 | \$149,376 | \$192,926 | \$192,458 |
| Average Sales Price, 2017-2019 | \$205,869 | \$170,425 | \$146,575 | \$205,784 | \$210,533 |
| Total Sales, 2017-2019 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 11 | 12 |




Residential conditions are healthy, but there are causes for concern in some areas

More than half of the residential properties in Hawthorne were rated in excellent or good condition by the 2020 field survey of residential conditions, which means they display some level of pride and have no visible signs of deferred maintenance. Conditions drop off, however, on blocks adjacent to South University Drive and in a few other locations where the typical house is smaller and disinvestment has been accruing for years.



Reinvestment in the housing stock is occurring, especially near downtown

Some of the blocks in Hawthorne that show signs of vulnerability have witnessed a considerable level of reinvestment in the past few years—with 20% to 30% of residential properties in the northwestern corner of the neighborhood being issued permits for remodeling or renovation work since 2015. This is a positive sign that demand is healthy enough in parts of Hawthorne to spur investment in the neighborhood's historic housing stock



Source: czb analysis of City of Fargo building permit database

HAWTHORNE NEIGHBORHOOD

Vision for Hawthorne

A vision for Hawthorne emerged during the planning process in the form of a brand statement based (1) on the characteristics or attributes that are at the core of the neighborhood's image and (2) on the target homebuyer markets that are most likely to find the neighborhood's attributes and housing stock appealing.

The result is a statement describing the neighborhood in 2030 as a place that has reinforced its intrinsic assets and is a neighborhood of choice for its target markets. The statement and its components can be used in a variety of ways, such as guiding the development of events and activities that express this vision, as the basis for developing marketing materials, and to clarify the mission of existing or new neighborhood organizations.

Core Neighborhood Attributes

Neighborhood assets were distilled and prioritized into a set of core attributes that are most important to the Hawthorne brand.



Historic



Walkable, Downtown Neighborhood



Welcoming Personality

Part of Fargo's original townsite. Historic 8th Street, with its noteworthy street lighting, commerce, homes, and trees, is the heart of the neighborhood and makes up the core of the National Register Southside Historic District.

Walk to downtown events and Justice/Island Park. The Red River makes up the eastern border, which offers access to both the riverside trails and parks. Many residents don't need a car to get to work, entertainment and shopping, while kids can walk or bike to Hawthorne Elementary.

Hawthorne neighbors take pride in welcoming diverse people. Island Park has been embraced as Justice Park by participants of Black Lives Matter, and is the launch location of social justice events, including Pride Month. St. Mark's Lutheran Church shares space with Temple Beth El. Only legislative district in state that has a fully female delegation, all of whom live in Hawthorne.

Target Markets

The neighborhood attributes and existing housing stock were used to identify target homebuyer markets that are primed to see the neighborhood as a good fit.

Profile 1

Young couples without kids; young families



Professional households moving back to Fargo

In-moving tech workers

Households with kids and dogs

University faculty and managers

Younger families willing and able to maintain older homes

Profile 2

Older singles



Elementary, middle and high school teachers

In-moving tech workers

People employed in the trades who can take on property that needs a fair amount of work

Appreciate simplifying life by living so close to school and work, no car needed

Motivated by low transportation costs, making Hawthorne more affordable

Vision and Brand Statement

The Historic Hawthorne Neighborhood is part of Fargo's original townsite. Our beautiful, walkable streetscapes make walking to downtown events and businesses a pleasure. Cars are optional for work, entertainment, and shopping, while kids can walk or bike to Hawthorne Elementary. Outdoor recreation is right on our eastern border, among the Red River trails and parks.

We are well-known for historic 8th Street, with its noteworthy street lighting, commerce, homes, and trees—it is the physical heart of the neighborhood and the core of the National Register Southside Historic District.

Best of all is our welcoming personality. Hawthorne neighbors take pride in welcoming diverse people as neighbors and visitors. Island Park has been embraced as "Justice Park" by participants of Black Lives Matter and is the launch location of many social justice events, including Pride Month activities. St. Mark's Lutheran Church shares space with Temple Beth El. Ours is the only legislative district in North Dakota that has a fully female delegation, all of whom live in Hawthorne.

Welcome to the
HAWTHORNE
— NEIGHBORHOOD —

HAWTHORNE NEIGHBORHOOD

Outcomes to Strive For

To complement the vision and brand statement and provide a basis for measuring progress toward neighborhood goals, specific neighborhood outcomes have been identified and translated into metrics for neighborhood stakeholder to track over time.

Households of a variety of incomes and backgrounds want to live here and are safe and welcome

MEASUREMENT

Share of population that is non-white compared to city average



BASELINE → TARGET

In 2018, between 10% and 15% of residents in northern and eastern Hawthorne were non-white compared to 16% citywide. In the southwest corner of the neighborhood, the share was between 5% and 10%.

Over time, the share of non-white residents in Hawthorne moves closer to equaling or exceeding the citywide average.

MEASUREMENT

Share of households making less than Fargo's median income



BASELINE → TARGET

In 2018, more than a third of households in most parts of Hawthorne made less than the city's median household income (around \$50,000). In the northwest corner of the neighborhood, more than 50% made less than that amount.

Existing levels of income diversity are maintained.

Outdoor activities and lifestyle are easy and safe

MEASUREMENT

Number of outdoor activities scheduled by neighborhood association



BASELINE → TARGET

4 activities in 2019 that reflected the 'Walkable Downtown Neighborhood' core brand attribute

up to 7 activities by 2025 (including baseline activities) that reflect the 'Walkable Downtown Neighborhood' core brand attribute

The entire neighborhood is an attractive destination for homebuyers, visitors, and others

MEASUREMENT

Average time on market for homes sold in neighborhood



BASELINE → TARGET

98 days in 2017-19

Below 90 days by 2024

Public and private property exhibits pride and contributes to a positive image that attracts and keeps good neighbors

MEASUREMENT

Share of properties in excellent or good condition



BASELINE → TARGET

61% in 2020

at least 66% in 2030

The historic, tree-lined integrity of Hawthorne is maintained and celebrated

MEASUREMENT

Number of scheduled activities that celebrate Hawthorne's historic physical fabric and character



BASELINE → TARGET

2 activities in 2019 that reflected the 'Historic' core brand attribute (home tour and carriage rides)

up to 5 activities by 2025 (including baseline activities) that reinforce the 'Historic' core brand attribute and bring awareness to the importance of maintaining it

City government provides top-quality services in a timely, transparent, trustworthy way

MEASUREMENT

Presence of a routine dialogue with City government about service expectations and needs



TARGET

Schedule annual 'check-ins' between the neighborhood association and City officials and staff to discuss issues relating to public services. Focus on identifying how problems can be addressed via City-neighborhood partnerships.

People feel safe

MEASUREMENT

Share of residents who indicate "safety" or "crime" as a priority in a survey of priority issues



BASELINE → TARGET

5% of respondents to a 2020 survey identified crime or safety as a priority problem to address

Indications of crime or safety as a priority problem remain low on future surveys

Business districts and properties integrate well and contribute to the desirability of the neighborhood

MEASUREMENT

Presence and application of design guidelines to ensure good urban form and sensitive redevelopment



BASELINE → TARGET

Through multiple Historic Overlay Districts and the southward reach of the Downtown Mixed Use zoning district, Hawthorne has more protections in place to ensure good urban form than any other core neighborhood.

The geographic coverage of design guidelines—and their clarity and effectiveness—is enhanced through updates to the Land Development Code by 2023.

HAWTHORNE NEIGHBORHOOD

Implementation Guidance



The Core Neighborhoods Toolkit outlined in Part 4 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan contains five components with recommendations that are collectively designed to address issues and seize opportunities that surfaced during the planning process core-wide. The following pages of this brief provide guidance on the implementation of each component at the neighborhood-level.

1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



3 Housing Reinvestment Tools



4 Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



5 Public Health & Safety Tools



1


Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) is the neighborhood's visual guide to future planning efforts and illustrates how land is intended to be used—what the neighborhood wants to have happen. It is not an official City map nor is it a zoning map; rather it should be used to inform any updates to a new zoning map with associated revisions to the Land Development Code (LDC).

The FLUM portrayed on the following page generally depicts land uses that currently exist in the well-established Hawthorne neighborhood but also includes recommended changes to areas that demonstrate locational or design characteristics representing opportunities to evolve and become something that better serves the nearby residents in the future. The following list of recommended land uses includes those areas that should be considered for possible rezoning and/or economic incentives that would allow them to transform over the next decade and become enhanced neighborhood assets. Beyond those areas recommended for change, the FLUM also visually represents land uses that should be preserved to protect existing neighborhood character, specifically the single-family residential land use designation.


- The single-family fabric that generally exists south of 5th Avenue South should be protected from incremental multi-family or office development that might encroach from the north (the southern edge of the Downtown).
- The RDO Equipment site, located just west of the Hawthorne Elementary School, has the potential to evolve into a mixed-use opportunity in the future. This could take the form of a local neighborhood coffee shop, restaurant or similar, offering nearby residents a 'third place' to gather.



HAWTHORNE FUTURE LAND USE MAP

- Single-Family Residential
- Multi-Family Residential
- Institutional
- Schools with recreational amenities
- Industrial/Warehousing
- Park, Open Space and Trails
- Commercial
- Mixed Use Neighborhood Commercial

For full descriptions of these land use categories, see page 45 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan



HAWTHORNE NEIGHBORHOOD

2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



The Hawthorne Neighborhood Association has been an active part of the neighborhood for a number of years and serves as an excellent starting point for building greater levels of resident engagement and leadership. Over the next few years, the neighborhood brand statement and list of potential activities generated during the planning process can be used by the neighborhood association to build a larger volunteer base and express the neighborhood's vision for its future to the wider community.

The Hawthorne neighborhood has a relatively even mix of homeowner and rental households—a diversity that the neighborhood association should capitalize upon as it endeavors to build a larger volunteer base. These efforts to engage new residents should commence in 2021 and can be organized over time with assistance from the proposed Neighborhood Coordinator.

CORE BRAND ATTRIBUTE



Historic



Walkable, Downtown Neighborhood




Welcoming Personality

| ACTIVITY | Historic | Walkable, Downtown Neighborhood | Welcoming Personality |
|--|----------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Historic Home Tour | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| National Night Out (August) | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Active Neighborhood Association | | | ✓ |
| Winter horse carriage rides on 8th Street | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Fargo Marathon routes through Hawthorne | | ✓ | |
| @ Neighborhood logo house flag: sell at a small profit to raise money for other activities (or sell at cost) and present as a welcome gift to new neighbors; encourage everyone to fly their flags during events that bring visitors to the neighborhood, and also on a certain day of the month every month | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| @ Hawthorne Halloween Celebration: Build on 8th Street's current popularity with Trick-or-Treaters into a neighborhood-wide event (e.g., best decoration contests, costume contests, promotions that inspire kids to get to different parts of the neighborhood such as school supply punch cards with giveaways at different places in the neighborhood, a real haunted house, hayrides pulled by the carriage horses through the neighborhood, etc.); do outreach to kids of diverse backgrounds to ensure they and their parents feel welcome | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| @ PorchFest music festival (amateur and professional musicians performing on front porches); pay attention to recruiting diverse types of musicians and especially local or regional artists specializing in music from various ethnic groups | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Hawthorne Elementary Appreciation Day: neighbors, especially Hawthorne alums, do a service project for the school and/or give the kids a group high five on their first or last day of school | | | ✓ |

EXISTING ACTIVITY
POTENTIAL ACTIVITY

3 Housing Reinvestment Tools



Promising Areas for Reinvestment Resources

- Core Reinvestment "A" Blocks
- Historic Overlay District

The new housing reinvestment tools proposed in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit are intended to meet a need for programs that proactively target and turn around troubled properties, as well as programs that partner with owners who are able to invest in improvements and updates but are hesitating due to uncertainty about the neighborhood or their return on investment.

Potential target areas for these programs have been identified for planning purposes. Blocks with a combination of strong properties and some weaker properties are prioritized as areas where targeting resources is likely to bolster confidence and stimulate similar investments by other owners. A handful of blocks in Hawthorne have been identified as "A" blocks, making them especially strong candidates for reinvestment resources—especially where they overlap with historic assets in Historic Overlay Districts.

For maximum impact, new reinvestment programs should be actively promoted and paired with existing incentives, such as the remodeling tax exemption.

HAWTHORNE NEIGHBORHOOD



4

Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



5

Public Health & Safety Tools

More so than with other tools in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit, partnerships between the City and neighborhood residents will be critical to the success of tools that seek that to uphold basic standards of property maintenance.

The resident side of this equation should include:



Active participation in complaint-based code enforcement

Complaint-based code enforcement is now and will remain a central tool for enforcing Fargo's property maintenance code. For this to work, it is important that residents understand how to submit complaints (online or by phone) and the process the City follows to verify and abate code violations. Stepped-up communications by the City will play a role, but so must proactive communication by groups of residents.



Help neighbors in need with compliance assistance

While partnerships between the City and non-profits can play a role in helping property owners who lack the financial or physical ability to fix basic code issues, neighborhood residents and faith-based organizations have the potential to play the leading role in a manner that also builds the neighborhood's capacity to organize and act.



Organize alley clean-ups and maintenance

Identify opportunities to partner with the City on special clean-up events in neighborhood alleys to improve conditions and engage residents in a manner that builds connections and capacity to solve problems.

SCHOOLS & PARKS

Collaborate closely with neighborhood stakeholders on future upgrades to Island Park, including plans to replace the pool complex. Plans for that site, as well as future investments in Dill Hill and park facilities along the Red River, should focus on providing high-quality amenities that promote residential reinvestment.

Invest in Hawthorne Elementary School to keep it on-par and competitive with facilities in other parts of Fargo.

Neighborhood residents: Use parks and schools as locations for neighborhood activities and as selling points in efforts to encourage current and potential residents to invest in the neighborhood.

- A** Island Park
- B** Dill Hill Park
- C** Dike East Park and Red River Trail
- D** Hawthorne Elementary School

CORRIDORS

Include highlighted corridors in efforts to plan and implement "complete street" designs that better serve all modes of transportation. This includes the evaluation of a two-way conversion for University Dr. and 10th St.

View all future investments along corridors as opportunities to strengthen neighborhood character and identity.


- S University Dr
- 10th St S
- 13th Ave S

TREES

Hawthorne has one of the densest and most mature tree canopies in Fargo. Attrition of the canopy in coming years will alter the landscape of many blocks and will require close attention and management.

- Continue strong management of existing public trees on streets and in parks
- Replant aggressively as older trees come down

Public Trees by Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) in inches





NEIGHBORHOOD IMPLEMENTATION BRIEF

HORACE MANN

What are Horace Mann's strongest assets? Its most important trends? The vision that residents have for its future? The characteristics that will influence how the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit is implemented at the local level?

This Implementation Brief provides answers and guidance to these and other questions based on community feedback and neighborhood analysis from the 2020 core neighborhoods planning process.

Welcome to the
HORACE MANN
— NEIGHBORHOOD —

Assets to Build Upon

A range of assets in the Horace Mann neighborhood were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **working well** in the neighborhood today?


What are the neighborhood's **top selling points** to potential residents?

HORACE MANN



These assets represent strengths to preserve and to build a vision for the future around.

- Great parks, including Oak Grove Park
- Horace Mann Elementary
- Walkability
- Diverse housing stock with architectural variety and integrity
- Visible reinvestment occurring, including historically sensitive renovations
- Supply of affordable homes
- Neighborhood pride
- Tree canopy
- Proximity to downtown and presence of convenient commercial areas



Issues to Address

Issues or problems to address in Horace Mann were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **not working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **turn-offs** to potential residents?

HORACE MANN



These issues should be addressed to reinforce the neighborhood's assets and promote the quality of life of existing and future residents.

- Poorly managed rental properties
- Homes in disrepair
- Perceptions of crime and safety
- Junk cars in yards and alleys
- Speeding and noise from busy streets
- Small, older homes that are costly to repair
- Insensitively installed utility fixtures
- Some areas of commercial blight



HORACE MANN NEIGHBORHOOD

Conditions and Trends




Two distinct halves with different demographics and housing conditions

Horace Mann has two very different parts owing to distinct periods of neighborhood development. The Oak Grove portion of the neighborhood—immediately adjacent to downtown—features smaller, older homes that were built in the late 1800s and early 1900s for workers tied to the City’s transportation and warehousing economy of that period. Today, that housing stock is occupied by higher-than-average shares of older, non-family households. According to the 2020 field survey of residential conditions, deferred maintenance is plainly visible in many of these properties and speaks to disinvestment over a prolonged period.

The northern half of the neighborhood, on the other hand, is comprised of newer, larger homes originally built for upwardly-mobile families in the years just before the Great Depression and just after World War II. Today, these homes reflect steady levels of maintenance and investment over the decades and are occupied by a mixture of young families and older couples.



Exterior Condition Survey of Homes (Field Survey)




The neighborhood’s distinct parts offer a wide range of housing opportunities

The dominant home configurations in Horace Mann range from 3 bedroom / 2 bathroom homes that have sold, on average, for more than \$200,000 in recent years, to 2 bedroom / 1 bathroom homes that are well within reach for households earning Fargo’s median income. Smaller homes, however, tend to be in poorer condition and represent a maintenance and modernization challenge for first-time and moderate-income buyers.

Dominant Home Configurations

| | 3 beds / 2 baths | 2 beds / 2 bath | 2 beds / 1 baths | 3 beds / 1 bath | 3 beds / 1.5 baths |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| # of Properties | 183 | 118 | 84 | 76 | 54 |
| % Owner-Occupied | 91% | 87% | 87% | 89% | 81% |
| Average Field Survey Condition Score | 2.31 | 2.29 | 2.67 | 2.48 | 2.26 |
| Average Assessed Value, 2019 | \$197,654 | \$180,758 | \$154,495 | \$172,564 | \$195,828 |
| Average Sales Price, 2017-2019 | \$210,602 | \$172,030 | \$141,116 | \$179,004 | \$191,067 |
| Total Sales, 2017-2019 | 29 | 22 | 19 | 10 | 6 |



HORACE MANN NEIGHBORHOOD

Vision for Horace Mann

A vision for Horace Mann emerged during the planning process in the form of a brand statement based (1) on the characteristics or attributes that are at the core of the neighborhood's image and (2) on the target homebuyer markets that are most likely to find the neighborhood's attributes and housing stock appealing.

The result is a statement describing the neighborhood in 2030 as a place that has reinforced its intrinsic assets and is a neighborhood of choice for its target markets. The statement and its components can be used in a variety of ways, such as guiding the development of events and activities that express this vision, as the basis for developing marketing materials, and to clarify the mission of existing or new neighborhood organizations.

Core Neighborhood Attributes

Neighborhood assets were distilled and prioritized into a set of core attributes that are most important to the Horace Mann brand.



Interesting and Attractive Homes



Great Location

We're known for our Tudor style homes, but we also have great examples of Craftsman/Arts and Crafts, Cottage and Victorian Bungalow styles, on beautiful, tree-lined streets. It's a Norman Rockwell kind of neighborhood.

Neighbors can work nearby and enjoy a very short commute that is even walkable/bikeable. Lots of our kids walk or bike to our high-performing schools. And it's an easy walk/bike ride to our vibrant downtown.



Neighborliness



Outdoor Amenities

Our neighborhood is cozy and friendly, with the best qualities of the modern and the old-fashioned. You can always get a cup of sugar and say hello to neighbors sitting out on their front steps.

We have easy access to many outdoor activities, with parks and river access.

Target Markets

The neighborhood attributes and existing housing stock were used to identify target homebuyer markets that are primed to see the neighborhood as a good fit.

Profile 1

Higher priced, bigger homes >\$250,000



Young couples with younger kids.

Professional occupations such as medical, software development.

Willing to fix up or at least take on the challenge of maintaining an older home.

Profile 2

Homes <\$250,000



Elementary, middle and high school teachers.

In-moving tech workers.

People employed in the trades who can take on property that needs a fair amount of work.

Appreciate simplifying life by living so close to school and work, no car needed.

Motivated by low transportation costs, making Horace Mann more affordable.

Vision and Brand Statement

Horace Mann has a Norman Rockwell vibrancy that is perfectly imperfect, in the style of an older, tree-lined, historic in-town neighborhood, with homes and blocks that are cozy and friendly, safe and welcoming.

Homebuyers can choose from an array of eclectic, interesting and often historic homes, including many examples of Tudor, Craftsman, Cottage and Victorian Bungalow styles. Our perfect location allows adults to bike and walk to work and to downtown Fargo, while kids do the same to get to high-performing schools. Our many parks and river access provide easy opportunities for recreation and relaxation.

In Horace Mann, neighbors know each other and take time to say hello and have a chat through the many serendipitous opportunities provided by a friendly, walkable community.



HORACE MANN NEIGHBORHOOD

Outcomes to Strive For

To complement the vision and brand statement and provide a basis for measuring progress toward neighborhood goals, specific neighborhood outcomes have been identified and translated into metrics for neighborhood stakeholder to track over time.

✓ People have easy walkable access to everything needed in daily life, including access to downtown

MEASUREMENT
Walking distance from center of neighborhood to nearest food market or grocery store




BASELINE → TARGET

0.87 mile walk from Horace Mann E.S. to Family Fare on N. University Drive
Two or more options for daily needs are less than a mile from the center of the neighborhood and are safe to walk to.

0.77 mile walk from Horace Mann E.S. to Daily's Market on N. Broadway

✓ Everyone feels safe and proud of the area

MEASUREMENT
Share of residents who indicate "safety" or "crime" as a priority in a survey of priority issues




BASELINE → TARGET

9% of Horace Mann respondents to a 2020 survey identified crime or safety as a priority problem to address
Under **10%** of respondents on future surveys indicate that crime or safety are priority problems to address

✓ Neighbors hang out, know each other, collaborate and play together

MEASUREMENT
Active participation in neighborhood association




TARGET

The number of active participants in the neighborhood association grows between 2021 and 2025, and those active members represent a more diverse range of neighborhood residents.

✓ People are confident in a stable future, including neighborhood schools as anchor institutions

MEASUREMENT
Number of students residing in Roosevelt and Horace Mann zones compared to school capacity




BASELINE → TARGET

359 in 2019/20 with capacity of 550
The student base in the Horace Mann and Roosevelt zones grows to at least **75%** of school capacity by 2030

✓ People of a range of incomes, ages, and household types love living here and find opportunities to do so

MEASUREMENT
Share of population under 19 and over 65




BASELINE → TARGET

UNDER 19
In 2018, the share of residents younger than 18 was well below 20% (the city average) in most of Horace Mann
A share closer to 20% is achieved in most of Horace Mann

OVER 65
In 2018, Horace Mann had areas where well over 11% of residents (the city average) were older than 65 and areas where the share was under 10%.
Existing levels of age diversity among older residents are maintained

MEASUREMENT
Share of homes priced below citywide average




BASELINE → TARGET

80% of single-family home sales in 2019 were below the citywide average price
This figure moves toward **50%** indicating both an ability to compete in the regional marketplace and a supply of more affordable options

✓ Public and private property exhibits pride and contributes to a positive image that attracts and keeps good neighbors

MEASUREMENT
Share of properties in excellent or good condition



BASELINE → TARGET

61% in 2020 at least **66%** in 2030

✓ People enjoy a small-town feeling and live, work and play in an attractive, family-friendly environment

MEASUREMENT
See measurements for other outcomes

HORACE MANN NEIGHBORHOOD

Implementation Guidance



The Core Neighborhoods Toolkit outlined in Part 4 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan contains five components with recommendations that are collectively designed to address issues and seize opportunities that surfaced during the planning process core-wide. The following pages of this brief provide guidance on the implementation of each component at the neighborhood-level.

1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



3 Housing Reinvestment Tools



4 Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



5 Public Health & Safety Tools



1


Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) is the neighborhood's visual guide to future planning efforts and illustrates how land is intended to be used—what the neighborhood wants to have happen. It is not an official City map nor is it a zoning map; rather it should be used to inform any updates to a new zoning map with associated revisions to the Land Development Code (LDC).

The FLUM portrayed on the following page generally depicts land uses that currently exist in the well-established Horace Mann neighborhood but also includes recommended changes to areas that demonstrate locational or design characteristics representing opportunities to evolve and become something that better serves the nearby residents in the future. The following list of recommended land uses includes those areas that should be considered for possible rezoning and/or economic incentives that would allow them to transform over the next decade and become enhanced neighborhood assets. Beyond those areas recommended for change, the FLUM also visually represents land uses that should be preserved to protect existing neighborhood character, specifically the single-family residential land use designation.

- The single-family residential neighborhood fabric generally located north of 10th Avenue North should be preserved and protected. These single-family structures surround the Horace Mann Elementary School and create a continuity to the west and link the Horace Mann neighborhood to the Roosevelt / NDSU neighborhood.
- The area south of 6th Avenue North and west of 2nd Street North (just north of the rail line) is recommended as mixed-use to serve as a transitional area that ties into the land uses to the south and west and are generally considered part of Downtown. The land along the south side of 6th Ave North is designated as multi-family and serves as a land use buffer between the mixed-use area to the south and the single-family structures to the north.



HORACE MANN NEIGHBORHOOD

2

Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



The Horace Mann Area Neighborhood Association has been in existence since 2001 and is the ideal starting point to build even greater levels of resident engagement and neighborhood leadership. Over the next few years, the neighborhood brand statement and list of potential activities generated during the planning process can be used by the neighborhood association to build a larger volunteer base and express the neighborhood's vision for its future to the wider community.

Residents of the Horace Mann neighborhood have been actively involved in the planning process since it began and should be able to pass their knowledge on to new residents interested in becoming more active in the neighborhood association. Initiating one or more of the proposed activities on this list will be an ideal way to attract additional volunteers and reinforce the neighborhood's core brand attributes.

CORE BRAND ATTRIBUTE



Interesting Attractive Homes



Great Location



Neighborliness



Access to Outdoor Amenities

| ACTIVITY | Interesting Attractive Homes | Great Location | Neighborliness | Access to Outdoor Amenities |
|--|------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| Block parties (these occur casually now, but could become more organized and numerous) | | | ✓ | |
| Neighborhood Association meetings | | | ✓ | |
| Horace Mann School Anniversary Celebration | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Outdoor movie night | | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Next Door app | | | ✓ | |
| Neighborhood signage emphasizing housing styles and neighborhood brand | ✓ | | | |
| Architecture audio tour, in partnership with NDSU Architecture students | ✓ | | | |
| Piggyback on annual Garden Tours: get several of our homes on the tour every year; start in Trefoil or Oak Grove neighborhood park | ✓ | | | ✓ |
| Porchfest music festival | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Horace Mann get-to-know-your-neighbor pub crawl with wristbands, nametags, etc. | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Walk [Your City] in Horace Mann | | ✓ | | |

EXISTING ACTIVITY

POTENTIAL ACTIVITY

3

Housing Reinvestment Tools



Potential Target Areas for Reinvestment

- Core Reinvestment "A" Blocks
- Core Reinvestment "B" Blocks

The new housing reinvestment tools proposed in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit are intended to meet a need for programs that proactively target troubled properties to turn around, as well as programs that partner with owners who are able to invest in improvements and updates but are hesitating due to uncertainty about the neighborhood or their return on investment.

Potential target areas for these programs have been identified for planning purposes. Blocks with a combination of strong properties and some weaker properties are prioritized as areas where targeting resources is likely to bolster confidence and stimulate similar investments by other owners. On this map, "A" blocks are somewhat stronger than "B" blocks, but both types are especially strong candidates for reinvestment resources in Horace Mann.

HORACE MANN NEIGHBORHOOD

4

Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



SCHOOLS & PARKS

Collaborate with neighborhood stakeholders on future investment plans for the numerous parks along the Red River, with a focus on providing high-quality amenities that promote residential reinvestment.

Invest in Horace Mann Elementary School (and its sister school in Roosevelt) to keep it on-par and competitive with facilities in other parts of Fargo.

Neighborhood residents: Use parks and schools as locations for neighborhood activities and as selling points in efforts to encourage current and potential residents to invest in the neighborhood.

- A** Trefoil Park
- B** Mickelson Park and Jack Williams Stadium
- C** Wildflower Park
- D** Oak Grove Park
- E** Horace Mann Elementary School

CORRIDORS

Include highlighted corridors in efforts to plan and implement "complete street" designs that better serve all modes of transportation. View all future investments along corridors as opportunities to strengthen neighborhood character and identity


- Yellow** Broadway N
- Yellow** 12th Ave N
- Yellow** 7th Ave N

TREES

The tree canopy contributes significantly to the neighborhood's appeal and quality of life, especially on streets in the northern half of Horace Mann.

- Continue strong management of existing public trees on streets and in parks
- Replant aggressively as older trees come down
- Cultivate a stronger canopy, where possible, on blocks in Oak Grove

Public Trees by Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) in inches



5

Public Health & Safety Tools



More so than with other tools in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit, partnerships between the City and neighborhood residents will be critical to the success of tools that seek that to uphold basic standards of property maintenance.

The resident side of this equation should include:



Active participation in complaint-based code enforcement

Complaint-based code enforcement is now and will remain a central tool for enforcing Fargo's property maintenance code. For this to work, it is important that residents understand how to submit complaints (online or by phone) and the process the City follows to verify and abate code violations. Stepped-up communications by the City will play a role, but so must proactive communication by groups of residents.



Help neighbors in need with compliance assistance

While partnerships between the City and non-profits can play a role in helping property owners who lack the financial or physical ability to fix basic code issues, neighborhood residents and faith-based organizations have the potential to play the leading role in a manner that also builds the neighborhood's capacity to organize and act.



Organize alley clean-ups and maintenance

Identify opportunities to partner with the City on special clean-up events in neighborhood alleys to improve conditions and engage residents in a manner that builds connections and capacity to solve problems.



NEIGHBORHOOD IMPLEMENTATION BRIEF

JEFFERSON / CARL BEN

What are Jefferson/Carl Ben's strongest assets? Its most important trends? The vision that residents have for its future? The characteristics that will influence how the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit is implemented at the local level?

This Implementation Brief provides answers and guidance to these and other questions based on community feedback and neighborhood analysis from the 2020 core neighborhoods planning process.

Welcome to the
JEFFERSON / CARL BEN
 — NEIGHBORHOOD —

Assets to Build Upon

A range of assets in the Jefferson/Carl Ben neighborhood were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **top selling points** to potential residents?



These assets represent strengths to preserve and to build a vision for the future around.

- Cultural diversity, driven in part by refugee populations
- Parks with a variety of family-friendly features
- Neighborliness, typified by presence of little libraries
- Traditional neighborhood character with a wide range of housing types
- Homes being renovated and positive examples of infill development
- Convenient location, with easy access to downtown, NDSU, airport, and West Fargo



Issues to Address

Issues or problems to address in Jefferson/Carl Ben were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **not working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **turn-offs** to potential residents?



These issues should be addressed to reinforce the neighborhood's assets and promote the quality of life of existing and future residents.

- Poorly maintained homes
- Mobile homes that are blighted and unsafe
- Inconsistent park quality or usefulness to current residents
- Some examples of insensitive infill development
- Poor sidewalk maintenance in some areas (including overgrown bushes bordering sidewalks)
- Rental properties with maintenance issues
- Unsightly utility fixtures

JEFFERSON / CARL BEN NEIGHBORHOOD


Conditions and Trends

Jefferson / Carl Ben has one of Fargo's most diverse populations

As a resettlement point for refugee populations in recent decades, foreign-born residents make up a higher share of residents in Jefferson / Carl Ben than the Fargo average. Nepali, Bosnian, Somali, and a range of other nationalities are represented here and are visible at numerous businesses and religious buildings.



Jefferson / Carl Ben is also one of Fargo's most racially diverse neighborhoods—but that diversity is marked by an east-west division. Around half of the population in the neighborhood's western half is non-white, while the eastern half is mostly white. The eastern half also has generally higher household incomes and homeownership rates, while large rental complexes are an important part of the housing stock on the western half.

Share of Population Foreign Born, 2018



Source: 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Share of Population Non-Hispanic White, 2018





Housing conditions are mixed in most of Jefferson / Carl Ben

While there are blocks in the neighborhood where nearly all homes are in excellent or good condition according to the 2020 field survey of residential conditions, most blocks have a mixture of well-maintained properties and homes with visible deferred maintenance. Distressed conditions are especially apparent on northern blocks, where homes are older, smaller, and in close proximity to businesses along the Main Avenue corridor.

Rental complexes in the neighborhood's western half are generally in good condition. But the mobile home park off of 25th St. (which was not included in the field survey) is showing very visible signs of disinvestment.

Exterior Condition Survey of Homes (Field Survey)



Housing options are varied and affordable

Almost every housing type in Fargo's core neighborhoods can be found somewhere in Jefferson / Carl Ben—from stately Period Revival homes of the early 20th Century, to Mid-Century ranch houses, to small and simple cottages.

Larger homes in Jefferson / Carl Ben are generally more affordable here than in other core neighborhoods, as are the small homes—providing a range of opportunities for first-time buyers or people switching to a new stage in life. In many cases, however, affordability is a trade off for outdated features and deferred maintenance—especially in the smallest homes.

Dominant Home Configurations

| | 3 beds / 2 baths | 2 beds / 2 baths | 2 beds / 1 bath | 3 beds / 1 bath | 3 beds / 3 baths |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| # of Properties | 296 | 297 | 248 | 182 | 81 |
| % Owner-Occupied | 90% | 91% | 83% | 85% | 88% |
| Average Field Survey Condition Score | 2.65 | 2.67 | 2.95 | 2.72 | 2.61 |
| Average Assessed Value, 2019 | \$161,695 | \$145,031 | \$130,847 | \$144,795 | \$173,457 |
| Average Sales Price, 2017-2019 | \$178,256 | \$151,652 | \$131,979 | \$147,840 | \$177,600 |
| Total Sales, 2017-2019 | 44 | 38 | 46 | 37 | 9 |



JEFFERSON / CARL BEN NEIGHBORHOOD

Vision for Jefferson / Carl Ben

A vision for Jefferson/Carl Ben emerged during the planning process in the form of a brand statement based (1) on the characteristics or attributes that are at the core of the neighborhood's image and (2) on the target homebuyer markets that are most likely to find the neighborhood's attributes and housing stock appealing.

The result is a statement describing the neighborhood in 2030 as a place that has reinforced its intrinsic assets and is a neighborhood of choice for its target markets. The statement and its components can be used in a variety of ways, such as guiding the development of events and activities that express this vision, as the basis for developing marketing materials, and to clarify the mission of existing or new neighborhood organizations.

Core Neighborhood Attributes

Neighborhood assets were distilled and prioritized into a set of core attributes that are most important to the Jefferson/Carl Ben brand.



High Quality of Life
A peaceful in-town neighborhood with character, lots of trees, walkable, shady streets, and parks where neighbors bike, swim, picnic and "hammock" together.

Affordability
Homes of many different styles and sizes and price points; choose a move-in ready home or one with opportunities for investing your sweat equity to make the home your own.



Sense of Community
Many long-time neighbors who know each other, welcome new neighbors with cookies, and share plants, history, and vegetables with each other.

Target Markets

The neighborhood attributes and existing housing stock were used to identify target homebuyer markets that are primed to see the neighborhood as a good fit.

Profile 1

Young people with dogs or kids (blue or white collar) looking for an entry-level home in a neighborly place with character.

Value the presence of yards and garages – suburban-like amenities but without the sterility of Fargo's newer neighborhoods; the combination of larger and small homes provides lots to choose from to suit needs.

Willing to do some rehab – especially if there's some support.

Convenient access to downtown and West Fargo job and service centers.

Trees and parks (Jefferson Park, Island Park) and dogs – conducive to active living.

Profile 2

People moving between life stages – newly or soon-to-retire people who want to downsize in Fargo (shift to something smaller in a convenient location) while getting a second home elsewhere; newly single people who want the space and flexibility that a house provides.

For downsizing snowbirds, a small house works well and gives them a foothold in Fargo.

For newly single people, they get enough space to be comfortable and keep their stuff, but not more space than they need.

Vision and Brand Statement

Neighbors in the Jefferson / Carl Ben neighborhoods enjoy the friendly, quiet, and unpretentious atmosphere of a tree-lined community where people walk their dogs to the park and chat with neighbors along the way—all next door to downtown and the up-and-coming Main Avenue Corridor.

A variety of housing types and price points make it easy for people to find just the right home for them at whatever life stage they're in. The best aspect of our neighborhoods is the strong sense of community: we have many long-time neighbors who know each other, welcome new neighbors with cookies, and swap plants and vegetables.

We're popular with public servants, young professionals who want a move-in-ready home in Fargo's most central location, and people in the trades who want to build home equity through their own skill and hard work.

Welcome to the
JEFFERSON / CARL BEN
— NEIGHBORHOOD —



JEFFERSON / CARL BEN NEIGHBORHOOD

Outcomes to Strive For

To complement the vision and brand statement and provide a basis for measuring progress toward neighborhood goals, specific neighborhood outcomes have been identified and translated into metrics for neighborhood stakeholder to track over time.

Public spaces feel safe and friendly and connect neighbors

MEASUREMENT

Number of organized neighborhood events held in parks each year



BASELINE → TARGET

0 in 2019

at least **1** by 2024 that reinforces the 'High Quality of Life' and 'Sense of Community' brand attributes

MEASUREMENT

Share of residents who indicate "safety" or "crime" as a priority in a survey of priority issues



BASELINE → TARGET

10% of Jefferson/Carl Ben respondents to a 2020 survey identified crime or safety as a priority problem to address

Maintain a figure of **10% or less** on future surveys that ask about priority problems to address

Young families have opportunities to plant roots and become engaged neighbors

MEASUREMENT

Share of active neighborhood association members who are younger families



TARGET

The number of active participants/volunteers under the age of 40 expands between 2021 and 2025 to support new efforts and activities that reflect the interests and needs of younger households.

MEASUREMENT

Share of households with householder 44 years or younger



BASELINE → TARGET

In 2018, 55% of households in Fargo were led by a householder younger than 45. In Jefferson/Carl Ben, only the northwest and southwest corners of the neighborhood had a similar share. In the remainder of the neighborhood, older households were much more common.

Over time, achieve a share of younger households that is closer to the city average.

A strong identity and diverse options for homebuyers make it a community of choice

MEASUREMENT

Number of neighborhood activities that reinforce neighborhood brand



BASELINE → TARGET

0 in 2019

at least **2** by 2024 that reinforce one or more of the neighborhood's core brand attributes

MEASUREMENT

Average time on market for homes sold in neighborhood



BASELINE → TARGET

84 days in 2017-19

Stay below **90 days**

Convenient commercial amenities add to quality of life and neighborhood health

MEASUREMENT

Number of small businesses within and bordering neighborhood



BASELINE → TARGET

50+ businesses were located along Main Avenue, 25th St, 13th Ave, and S. University Dr. in 2020

The volume and mixture of businesses is maintained and enhanced by organized efforts to support and highlight small neighborhood businesses.

Diversity is valued and people feel safe and respected

MEASUREMENT

Share of neighborhood residents who are foreign-born or non-white



BASELINE → TARGET

Throughout the neighborhood, the share of residents who are foreign born was at or above the city's 9% average in 2018.

The foreign born share of the population remains at or above the city average.

The non-white share of the population was well above the city's 16% average in the western half of the neighborhood in 2018, but well below that average in the eastern half.

The east-west racial split in Jefferson/Carl Ben becomes less pronounced over time.

MEASUREMENT

Diversity of neighborhood association's active membership



TARGET

Active participants/volunteers become a better reflection of Jefferson/Carl Ben's diverse population between 2021 and 2025, beginning to bridge demographic and geographic divides.

JEFFERSON / CARL BEN NEIGHBORHOOD

Implementation Guidance



The Core Neighborhoods Toolkit outlined in Part 4 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan contains five components with recommendations that are collectively designed to address issues and seize opportunities that surfaced during the planning process core-wide. The following pages of this brief provide guidance on the implementation of each component at the neighborhood-level.

1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools




3 Housing Reinvestment Tools



4 Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



5 Public Health & Safety Tools




1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) is the neighborhood's visual guide to future planning efforts and illustrates how land is intended to be used—what the neighborhood wants to have happen. It is not an official City map nor is it a zoning map; rather it should be used to inform any updates to a new zoning map with associated revisions to the Land Development Code (LDC).

The FLUM portrayed on the following page generally depicts land uses that currently exist in the Jefferson / Carl Ben neighborhood but also includes recommended changes to areas that demonstrate locational or design characteristics representing opportunities to evolve and become something that better serves the nearby residents in the future. The following list of recommended land uses includes those areas that should be considered for possible rezoning and/or economic incentives that would allow them to transform over the next decade and become enhanced neighborhood assets. Beyond those areas recommended for change, the FLUM also visually represents land uses that should be preserved to protect existing neighborhood character, specifically the single-family residential land use designation.


- The single-family residential fabric that exists within the heart of the Jefferson / Carl Ben neighborhood – specifically those blocks south of Jefferson Elementary School, north of Carl Ben Eielson Middle School and west of Agassiz School – should be preserved as single-family development.
- The properties on the northeast corner of 25th Street South and 13th Avenue South are recommended for mixed-use development due to their proximity to the commercial fabric to the east; this area is easily accessible for pedestrians and could be a neighborhood center.
- The existing single-family residential fabric south of 1st Avenue South and between South University Drive and 18th Street South should be preserved from commercial or industrial/warehouse encroachment from the north. Punctuating this residential fabric will likely result in decreased property values and create an ill-defined 'boundary line' that discourages reinvestment by homeowners.



JEFFERSON / CARL BEN FUTURE LAND USE MAP

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Single-Family Residential | Industrial/Warehousing |
| Multi-Family Residential | Park, Open Space and Trails |
| Institutional | Commercial |
| Schools with recreational amenities | Mixed Use Neighborhood Commercial |

For full descriptions of these land use categories, see page 45 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan



JEFFERSON / CARL BEN NEIGHBORHOOD

2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



CORE BRAND ATTRIBUTE



High Quality of Life Affordability Sense of Community


ACTIVITY

| | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Neighborhood potluck featuring food from the neighborhood's diverse cultures, hosted at Jefferson Park; potentially widen to a cultural festival with more than food | ✓ | | ✓ |
| Neighborhood open house and homeowner information/ education week | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Showcase of rehabbed homes to highlight reinvestment in the neighborhood, give other homeowners ideas, and spread the news about assistance programs | | ✓ | ✓ |

The Jefferson Area Neighborhood Association (JANA) has been active for several years and is an excellent starting point for building greater levels of resident engagement and leadership. Over the next few years, the neighborhood brand statement and list of potential activities generated during the planning process can be used by JANA to build a larger volunteer base and express the neighborhood's vision for its future to the wider community.

The neighborhood's diversity stands as both an opportunity to embrace and a challenge when it comes to communicating across cultural lines. Finding ways to bring different populations in the neighborhood together—and doing so through carefully designed activities that allow different parts of the neighborhood to come together and connect—will be important work on this front.

3 Housing Reinvestment Tools



Promising Areas for Reinvestment Resources

- Core Reinvestment "A" Blocks
- Core Reinvestment "B" Blocks
- Historic Overlay District

The new housing reinvestment tools proposed in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit are intended to meet a need for programs that proactively target and turn around troubled properties, as well as programs that partner with owners who are able to invest in improvements and updates but are hesitating due to uncertainty about the neighborhood or their return on investment.


Potential target areas for these programs have been identified for planning purposes. Blocks with a combination of strong properties and some weaker properties are prioritized as areas where targeting resources is likely to bolster confidence and stimulate similar investments by other owners. On this map, "A" blocks are somewhat stronger than "B" blocks, but both types are especially strong candidates for reinvestment resources in Jefferson / Carl Ben—especially where they overlap with historic assets in Historic Overlay Districts.

For maximum impact, new reinvestment programs should be actively promoted and paired with existing incentives, such as the remodeling tax exemption.

JEFFERSON / CARL BEN NEIGHBORHOOD

4

Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



SCHOOLS & PARKS

Involve Jefferson / Carl Ben residents in planning improvements and upgrades to Jefferson and McCormick parks to ensure that they become even stronger quality of life assets.

Invest in school facilities to keep them on-par with facilities in other parts of Fargo.

Neighborhood residents: Use parks and schools as locations for neighborhood activities and as selling points in efforts to encourage current and potential residents to invest in the neighborhood.

- A** Jefferson Park
- B** McCormick Park
- C** Jefferson Elementary School
- D** Carl Ben Eielson Middle School
- E** Agassiz School

CORRIDORS

Include highlighted corridors in efforts to plan and implement "complete street" designs that better serve all modes of transportation. View all future investments along corridors as opportunities to strengthen neighborhood character and identity.


- Main Ave
- 25th St S
- S University Dr
- 13th Ave

TREES

The tree canopy contributes significantly to the neighborhood's appeal and quality of life, especially in the eastern half where it is the most dense and mature.

- Continue strong management of existing public trees on streets and in parks
- Replant aggressively as older trees come down
- Cultivate a stronger canopy in the western half of the neighborhood where currently thin

Public Trees by Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) in inches



5

Public Health & Safety Tools

More so than with other tools in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit, partnerships between the City and neighborhood residents will be critical to the success of tools that seek that to uphold basic standards of property maintenance.

The resident side of this equation should include:



Active participation in complaint-based code enforcement

Complaint-based code enforcement is now and will remain a central tool for enforcing Fargo's property maintenance code. For this to work, it is important that residents understand how to submit complaints (online or by phone) and the process the City follows to verify and abate code violations. Stepped-up communications by the City will play a role, but so must proactive communication by groups of residents.



Help neighbors in need with compliance assistance

While partnerships between the City and non-profits can play a role in helping property owners who lack the financial or physical ability to fix basic code issues, neighborhood residents and faith-based organizations have the potential to play the leading role in a manner that also builds the neighborhood's capacity to organize and act.



Organize alley clean-ups and maintenance

Identify opportunities to partner with the City on special clean-up events in neighborhood alleys to improve conditions and engage residents in a manner that builds connections and capacity to solve problems.



NEIGHBORHOOD IMPLEMENTATION BRIEF

LEWIS & CLARK

What are Lewis & Clark's strongest assets? Its most important trends? The vision that residents have for its future? The characteristics that will influence how the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit is implemented at the local level?

This Implementation Brief provides answers and guidance to these and other questions based on community feedback and neighborhood analysis from the 2020 core neighborhoods planning process.

Welcome to the
LEWIS & CLARK
 — NEIGHBORHOOD —

Assets to Build Upon

A range of assets in the Lewis & Clark neighborhood were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **working well** in the neighborhood today?


What are the neighborhood's **top selling points** to potential residents?

LEWIS & CLARK



These assets represent strengths to preserve and to build a vision for the future around.

- Affordable homes for first-time buyers and DIYers
- Lewis & Clark Elementary and the recreational facilities surrounding the school
- Neighborliness and respect for privacy
- Great location, with convenient retail and services on University Drive
- Diverse, multi-generational mix of households



Issues to Address

Issues or problems to address in Lewis & Clark were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **not working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **turn-offs** to potential residents?

LEWIS & CLARK



These issues should be addressed to reinforce the neighborhood's assets and promote the quality of life of existing and future residents.


- Homes and apartment buildings that show signs of deferred maintenance
- Many small houses that need work to become more marketable
- Noise from busy roads, especially I-94
- Pedestrian safety on busy roads, especially near recreation facilities

LEWIS & CLARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Conditions and Trends

A relatively young neighborhood


A higher than average share of Lewis & Clark's population is comprised of school-age children—a pattern that is similar for the neighboring South High and Clara Barton neighborhoods. While 20% of Fargo residents are under 18, that figure is higher than 25% in most of Lewis & Clark.



Many long-time homeowners

While Lewis & Clark's population has a large youth component, the neighborhood also has a large share of homeowners who have been in the neighborhood since before 2000. While only 29% of Fargo's homeowners have been in their home since 2000, more than 50% of homeowners in much of Lewis & Clark have been in their homes for 20 or more years, creating a mixture of younger households—many of whom are renting—and owners with deep roots in the neighborhood.

A large share of long-standing owners, of course, means that the neighborhood is in for a significant ownership transition in the near future.




Source: 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

A variety of affordable homeownership opportunities

As in much of Fargo's core, larger homes in Lewis & Clark (concentrated in the southern half) have been better maintained over the years and have been selling, on average, for more than \$190,000. Many small homes in the neighborhood are well within the price range of households earning close to Fargo's median income—especially 2 bedroom / 1 bathroom homes that have a growing tendency toward absentee-ownership.

Home Configurations

| | 2 beds / 1 bath | 3 beds / 2 baths | 3 beds / 1 bath | 2 beds / 2 baths | 3 beds / 1.5 baths |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|
| # of Properties | 170 | 165 | 107 | 88 | 38 |
| % Owner-Occupied | 81% | 93% | 88% | 93% | 100% |
| Average Field Survey Condition Score | 3.18 | 2.73 | 2.91 | 2.80 | 2.64 |
| Average Assessed Value, 2019 | \$128,162 | \$180,185 | \$159,204 | \$159,890 | \$182,050 |
| Average Sales Price, 2017-2019 | \$139,368 | \$190,585 | \$167,463 | \$164,289 | \$196,333 |
| Total Sales, 2017-2019 | 27 | 20 | 16 | 19 | 3 |






Housing conditions have a distinct north-south split

The housing stock in the northern half of Lewis & Clark—north of 17th Avenue S—is older and smaller than the southern half and has experienced higher levels of deferred maintenance over the years. There are also rental complexes in the northern half showing visible signs of disinvestment that influence surrounding blocks.

Overall, just over a quarter of homes in the neighborhood were rated in excellent or good condition by the 2020 field survey of housing conditions. Many of those rated as "average" are just a few years from showing more outward signs of distress if infusions of capital are withheld.

Exterior Condition Survey of Homes (Field Survey)



LEWIS & CLARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Vision for Lewis & Clark

A vision for Lewis & Clark emerged during the planning process in the form of a brand statement based (1) on the characteristics or attributes that are at the core of the neighborhood's image and (2) on the target homebuyer markets that are most likely to find the neighborhood's attributes and housing stock appealing.

The result is a statement describing the neighborhood in 2030 as a place that has reinforced its intrinsic assets and is a neighborhood of choice for its target markets. The statement and its components can be used in a variety of ways, such as guiding the development of events and activities that express this vision, as the basis for developing marketing materials, and to clarify the mission of existing or new neighborhood organizations.

Core Neighborhood Attributes

Neighborhood assets were distilled and prioritized into a set of core attributes that are most important to the Lewis & Clark brand.



Location

Close to downtown, but also walkable to grocery, vet clinic, schools, and parks – you can go car-free much of the time.



Neighborhood

Multigenerational community where young families interact with empty nesters; kids play at the playground while parents and other neighbors relax on park benches and catch up with each other.



Great Value

Cozy homes with big backyards; affordable to first-time homebuyers and can be strategically updated to enhance future marketability by finishing a basement, adding a bathroom, updating the kitchen and the like.

Target Markets

The neighborhood attributes and existing housing stock were used to identify target homebuyer markets that are primed to see the neighborhood as a good fit.

Profile 1

Singles and Couples, buying homes in the \$100,000 to \$150,000 range.



People who want to live in a house in the core that is basic, manageably sized, and within a traditional neighborhood.

A home that can be strategically updated to enhance future marketability (finish basement, add a bathroom, etc.).

People who are looking for something to get them started – build equity and use as a stepping stone to something more as family and income grow.

Vision and Brand Statement

Many neighbors in the Lewis & Clark neighborhood choose it as a great value for first-time homebuyers. We have cozy homes with big backyards that can be strategically updated to enhance future marketability by finishing a basement or adding a bathroom.

We're close to downtown, but also walkable to groceries, a vet clinic, schools, and parks – we can go car-free much of the time. In our multigenerational community, young families and empty nesters relax on park benches and catch up while kids play at the playground.

The Lewis & Clark neighborhood is popular with people who are looking for something to get them started – to build equity as their family and income grows into their next life stage.

Welcome to the
LEWIS & CLARK
— NEIGHBORHOOD —

LEWIS & CLARK NEIGHBORHOOD


Outcomes to Strive For

To complement the vision and brand statement and provide a basis for measuring progress toward neighborhood goals, specific neighborhood outcomes have been identified and translated into metrics for neighborhood stakeholder to track over time.


Homes and public areas show pride and help promote a positive image for neighborhoods




Homes are being updated and improved to meet modern needs and tastes




Neighbors enjoy a walkable community



Neighborhoods are known for being neighborly and people feel safe



People are confident in a stable future, including neighborhood schools as anchor institutions



LEWIS & CLARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Implementation Guidance



The Core Neighborhoods Toolkit outlined in Part 4 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan contains five components with recommendations that are collectively designed to address issues and seize opportunities that surfaced during the planning process core-wide. The following pages of this brief provide guidance on the implementation of each component at the neighborhood-level.

1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools




3 Housing Reinvestment Tools



4 Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



5 Public Health & Safety Tools



1


Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) is the neighborhood's visual guide to future planning efforts and illustrates how land is intended to be used—what the neighborhood wants to have happen. It is not an official City map nor is it a zoning map; rather it should be used to inform any updates to a new zoning map with associated revisions to the Land Development Code (LDC).

The FLUM portrayed on the following page generally depicts land uses that currently exist in the well-established Lewis & Clark neighborhood but also includes recommended changes to areas that demonstrate locational or design characteristics representing opportunities to evolve and become something that better serves the nearby residents in the future. The following list of recommended land uses includes those areas that should be considered for possible rezoning and/or economic incentives that would allow them to transform over the next decade and become enhanced neighborhood assets. Beyond those areas recommended for change, the FLUM also visually represents land uses that should be preserved to protect existing neighborhood character, specifically the single-family residential land use designation.

- The Lewis & Clark neighborhood is primarily comprised of single-family structures and this fabric should be preserved as indicated on the FLUM.
- The blocks from 13th Avenue South to 17th Avenue South and between South University Drive and 13½ Street South are proposed as mixed-use development opportunities. The existing structures in this area are primarily commercial uses that are set back from South University Drive behind large parking lots. The opportunity for redevelopment in this area is noteworthy and the City should consider rezoning these blocks to accommodate development that serves the needs of the neighborhood as much as it currently favors the traffic along South University Drive.



LEWIS & CLARK NEIGHBORHOOD

2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



ACTIVITY | EXISTING ACTIVITY
POTENTIAL ACTIVITY

| ACTIVITY | Great Value | Great Location | Neighborly |
|--|-------------|----------------|------------|
| Block party | | | |
| Welcome activity/package for new homeowners | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Public art in the neighborhood | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Street Painting Projects – could be on the street intersection by Lewis & Clark elementary | | ✓ | |
| Neighborhood Fruit trees map. http://fallenfruit.org/projects/public-fruit-maps/ | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Book exchange | | | ✓ |
| Host a backyard movie night | | | ✓ |
| Entrepreneur kids night where kids all over the neighborhood make lemonade or cookies or grill hot dogs, make crafts or play music, perform and sell for money | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Holiday caroling group | | | ✓ |

The Lewis & Clark neighborhood does not currently have a neighborhood association or any other formal means of organizing neighbors. Therefore, taking small steps over the next few years to gradually build a stronger sense of neighborhood identity and a larger base of engaged and connected neighbors will be an important starting point for Lewis & Clark.

Residents and the proposed Neighborhood Coordinator should use the brand statement and the list of potential activities generated during the planning process to begin the process of cultivating higher levels of resident engagement and leadership. Getting started on just one of the activities in 2021 and 2022 would represent an important start to this work.

3 Housing Reinvestment Tools



Promising Areas for Reinvestment Resources

- Core Reinvestment "A" Blocks
- Core Reinvestment "B" Blocks

The new housing reinvestment tools proposed in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit are intended to meet a need for programs that proactively target and turn around troubled properties, as well as programs that partner with owners who are able to invest in improvements and updates but are hesitating due to uncertainty about the neighborhood or their return on investment.


Potential target areas for these programs have been identified for planning purposes. Blocks with a combination of strong properties and some weaker properties are prioritized as areas where targeting resources is likely to bolster confidence and stimulate similar investments by other owners. On this map, "A" blocks are somewhat stronger than "B" blocks, but both types are especially strong candidates for reinvestment resources in Lewis & Clark.

For maximum impact, new reinvestment programs should be actively promoted and paired with existing incentives, such as the remodeling tax exemption.

LEWIS & CLARK NEIGHBORHOOD

4

Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



SCHOOLS & PARKS

Invest in the parks and recreational facilities in the neighborhood—including upgrades that make them as useful as possible to nearby residents—to support Lewis & Clark’s long-term residential appeal. Plan all such investments in collaboration with Lewis & Clark residents.

Invest in Lewis & Clark Elementary School to keep it on-par and competitive with facilities in newer parts of Fargo.

Neighborhood residents: Use the park and school as the location for neighborhood activities and as a selling point in efforts to encourage current and potential residents to invest in the neighborhood.

A Lewis & Clark Elementary School

B Lewis & Clark Park

CORRIDORS

Include highlighted corridors in efforts to plan and implement “complete street” designs that better serve all modes of transportation. View all future investments along corridors as opportunities to strengthen neighborhood character and identity.

The South University Drive corridor, between 13th Avenue South and 17th Avenue South, could be a mixed-use neighborhood center for Lewis & Clark as well as Clara Barton.

13th Ave

S University Dr


17th St S

TREES

The tree canopy contributes significantly to the neighborhood’s appeal and quality of life. While the canopy is especially dense on some of the older streets in the heart of the neighborhood, it is much less so along the eastern boundary line and in the southeast corner.

- Continue strong management of existing public trees on streets and in parks
- Replant aggressively as older trees come down
- Cultivate a stronger canopy in areas where it is relatively thin, such as the South University Drive corridor on the east side

Public Trees by Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) in inches



5

Public Health & Safety Tools



More so than with other tools in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit, partnerships between the City and neighborhood residents will be critical to the success of tools that seek that to uphold basic standards of property maintenance.

The resident side of this equation should include:



Active participation in complaint-based code enforcement

Complaint-based code enforcement is now and will remain a central tool for enforcing Fargo’s property maintenance code. For this to work, it is important that residents understand how to submit complaints (online or by phone) and the process the City follows to verify and abate code violations. Stepped-up communications by the City will play a role, but so must proactive communication by groups of residents.



Help neighbors in need with compliance assistance

While partnerships between the City and non-profits can play a role in helping property owners who lack the financial or physical ability to fix basic code issues, neighborhood residents and faith-based organizations have the potential to play the leading role in a manner that also builds the neighborhood’s capacity to organize and act.



Assets to Build Upon

A range of assets in the Madison/Unicorn Park neighborhood were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **top selling points** to potential residents?



These assets represent strengths to preserve and to build a vision for the future around.

- Good examples of adaptive reuse of older buildings
- Good sidewalks and sidewalk network make the neighborhoods walkable
- Convenient location with easy access to downtown and NDSU
- Madison Elementary School
- Sense of neighborliness



NEIGHBORHOOD IMPLEMENTATION BRIEF

MADISON / UNICORN PARK

What are Madison/Unicorn Park's strongest assets? Its most important trends? The vision that residents have for its future? The characteristics that will influence how the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit is implemented at the local level?

This Implementation Brief provides answers and guidance to these and other questions based on community feedback and neighborhood analysis from the 2020 core neighborhoods planning process.

Welcome to the
MADISON / UNICORN PARK
— NEIGHBORHOOD —



Issues to Address

Issues or problems to address in Madison/Unicorn Park were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **not working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **turn-offs** to potential residents?



These issues should be addressed to reinforce the neighborhood's assets and promote the quality of life of existing and future residents.


- **Sense of identity not yet strong**
- **Disinvestment in residential properties is noticeable**
- **Unightly junk piles at commercial and residential properties**
- **Poorly maintained or unpaved alleys**
- **Parks with potential but could use some work**
- **Traffic and vehicle noise due to presence of major arteries**

MADISON / UNICORN PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Conditions and Trends


A Concentration of Long-term Homeowners

While 29% of Fargo homeowners have been in their homes since before 2000, that rate is between 40% and 50% in Unicorn Park and well over 50% in Madison. Demographic data suggests that many of these owners are in their 40s, 50s, and 60s now and moved into their homes as relatively young adults.



Families with modest incomes

The incomes of families in Madison and Unicorn Park (households with at least two related persons) are generally below the Fargo median and below levels in other core neighborhoods. Typical family incomes in Unicorn Park fall in the \$35,000 to \$50,000 range while incomes in the western parts of Madison are between \$50,000 and \$75,000.



Small, affordable homes predominate

The housing stock in Madison and Unicorn Park—the least expensive housing in the core neighborhoods and of any neighborhood in Fargo—mirrors the financial capacity of resident households. Small, modest homes dominate the residential landscape and are generally priced well within the price range of households making at or below Fargo’s median income. In both Madison and Unicorn Park, homes with less than two full bathrooms have a high propensity for absentee ownership.

Dominant Home Configurations



| | Madison Neighborhood | | | | | Unicorn Park Neighborhood | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
| | 3 beds / 1.75 or 2 baths | 2 beds / 1 bath | 3 beds / 1 bath | 2 beds / 1.75 or 2 baths | 3 beds / 1.5 baths | 2 beds / 1 bath | 2 beds / 1.75 or 2 baths | 3 beds / 1.75 or 2 baths | 3 beds / 1 bath | 3 beds / 1.5 baths |
| # of Properties | 104 | 87 | 64 | 39 | 19 | 51 | 39 | 29 | 23 | 12 |
| % Owner-Occupied | 92% | 57% | 73% | 87% | 53% | 63% | 72% | 86% | 57% | 92% |
| Average Field Survey Condition Score | 2.464 | 2.799 | 2.633 | 2.474 | 2.684 | 3.574 | 3.622 | 3.448 | 2.176 | 3.188 |
| Average Assessed Value, 2019 | \$160,172 | \$113,160 | \$139,736 | \$150,662 | \$148,342 | \$101,845 | \$132,621 | \$146,876 | \$113,552 | \$127,208 |
| Average Sales Price, 2017-2019 | \$171,814 | \$107,580 | \$148,150 | \$138,225 | N/A | \$112,500 | \$136,900 | \$145,800 | N/A | \$170,475 |
| Total Sales, 2017-2019 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 4 |
| Approximate Income Needed to Affordably Purchase at Recent Average Sales Price | \$57,000 | \$36,000 | \$49,000 | N/A | \$46,000 | \$38,000 | \$46,000 | \$49,000 | \$57,000 | N/A |

Signs of disinvestment are common on most blocks

In Madison, where the housing stock is younger and mostly built after the 1957 tornado, conditions tend to be stronger regardless of the housing type. According to the 2020 field survey of housing conditions, the average home on blocks in central and western Madison is in excellent or good condition with few signs of deferred maintenance. The likelihood of finding visible signs of distress increases in eastern Madison and is high on most blocks with residences in Unicorn Park.

With more than a quarter of all homes in Madison / Unicorn Park showing moderate or severe signs of distress—coupled with below-average levels of market demand in the neighborhoods—significant improvements in housing conditions are unlikely without intervention to stimulate investment and modernization.

Exterior Condition Survey of Homes (Field Survey)





MADISON / UNICORN PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Vision for Madison / Unicorn Park

Separate visions for Madison and Unicorn Park emerged during the planning process in the form of brand statements based (1) on the characteristics or attributes that are at the core of each neighborhood's image and (2) on the target homebuyer markets that are most likely to find each neighborhood's attributes and housing stock appealing.

The resulting statements describe the neighborhoods in 2030 as places that have reinforced their intrinsic assets and are neighborhoods of choice for their respective target markets. The statements and their components can be used in a variety of ways, such as guiding the development of events and activities that express the visions, as the basis for developing marketing materials, and to clarify the missions of existing or new neighborhood organizations.

Core Neighborhood Attributes

Neighborhood assets were distilled and prioritized into a set of core attributes that are most important to each neighborhood's brand

| | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|---|
| UNICORN PARK | Personality | We are a "yes-in-my-back-yard" neighborhood with interesting mixed land uses and a creative, open-minded attitude. You can be yourself in Unicorn Park, and be celebrated for it. | Profile 1 People with young children | Profile 2 High-quality landlords renting to students |
| | Eclectic Business District | Our businesses are a fun mix of services and entertainment for residents, and the commercial and industrial building stock also provides affordable, accessible spaces scaled to startups and adaptive reuses of interesting buildings. | | |
| | First-Time-Buyer Friendly | We have homes that are a great value for first time buyers, for both move-in ready and DIY-ready preferences. | Larger Homes: New Americans are one part of our homebuying community, and they often seek our larger homes to accommodate extended family. However, most of the larger homes are still owned by long-term, retirement-age households. | Smaller Homes: People of modest means looking for a family-friendly neighborhood and an affordable home. Some may choose the trailer park in our neighborhood, while other may be able to buy a home in the lower price points - the 2 bed, 1 bath homes for example. |
| | First-Time-Buyer Friendly | Walkable and bikeable to downtown. | Proximity to NDSU draws landlords to neighborhood for student rental opportunities. | Other landlords are renting a number of the duplexes previously owned by City when they were built post-tornado. |
| MADISON | Small Town Feel | Safe, rural feeling, on the edge of town across from NDSU Ag School fields. | Homebuyer Profile | Small Business Profile |
| | Family-Friendly | Madison school, parks (one with small swimming pool and wading pool), many sports fields/courts, kids often play together in the streets because we are so safe and low-traffic. Homes that are affordable to families starting out. Two daycares are located here, as well as the Legacy Children's Foundation, which offers tutoring for international kids and kids from lower-income households. | Young singles or couples that embrace individuality and are intentional in their desire to live near downtown knowing a bit of grit makes them more interesting. | Great startup location for a variety of small businesses because of availability and affordability of space and proximity to the energy of downtown. The area also provides easy access to everywhere else. Recent businesses locating here include Drekker's Baker, Interoffice Furniture, new co-working space, Icehouse Crossfit, Ivy and Rose Event Center, Brew Bird Restaurant, Adrian's Automotive, Logo-Promo-Graphics, Legacy Construction Company, Jade Presents, Livewire, Craig property Management and Development, and Square One Commercial Kitchen. |
| | Great Location | Easy access to anywhere in Fargo and beyond, just around the corner to major streets and I-29. Nearby grocery, bus stops in the neighborhood, convenience store at the truck stop, several other businesses nearby. Cycle to downtown attractions, including parades, Farmer's Market, Street Fair and Art Museum. | They might walk to work downtown or cycle to work in downtown or elsewhere | Would be great to have a strategy shaping the landlord community in Madison toward better, more responsible landlords. |

Vision and Brand Statement

People living in the Madison neighborhood love it for its small-town feeling. Located at the edge of town near the NDSU School of Agriculture fields, Madison feels safe, compact, and even rural. Family-friendliness is evident in our small Madison Elementary, daycares, parks that include swimming and wading pools, and the Legacy Children's Foundation.

Our homes are a good value for young families starting out, and you can see our kids playing in our safe, low-traffic streets. Nonetheless, our central location gives us quick access to major streets and I-29, and we can take the bus or ride a bike to NDSU or downtown attractions such as the Farmer's Market.

For a small-town feeling with big-town amenities just around the corner, Madison is the place to be.

For people seeking a creative, independent and surprising neighborhood, Unicorn Park is a unique mix of first-time-buyer-friendly homes, quirky local business startups, and industrial building stock ready for adaptive reuse - all within walking distance to downtown. Our businesses are a fun mix of services and entertainment for residents, and the commercial and industrial building stock also provides interesting, affordable spaces scaled to startups and adaptive reuse.

We are a "yes-in-my-back-yard" neighborhood with a creative, open-minded attitude. Whether you are a resident or a business owner, you can be yourself in Unicorn Park, and be celebrated for it.



MADISON / UNICORN PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Outcomes to Strive For

To complement the vision and brand statements and provide a basis for measuring progress toward neighborhood goals, specific neighborhood outcomes have been identified and translated into metrics for neighborhood stakeholder to track over time.

Households of a variety of incomes and backgrounds live here and are safe and welcome

MEASUREMENT

Share of households making less than and more than City median income



BASELINE → TARGET

Across Madison/Unicorn Park, between 50% and 75% of households made less than the city median income (~\$50,000) in 2018

Existing levels of income diversity are largely maintained, but a growing share of households earn at least the city's median income

MEASUREMENT

Number of neighborhood events designed to connect diverse neighbors



BASELINE → TARGET

2 in Madison in 2019 (church potlucks and community picnic)

at least 3 in Madison by 2024

0 in Unicorn Park in 2019

at least 1 in Unicorn Park by 2024 that reinforces the 'YIMBY' and 'Eclectic Biz District' brand attributes

Parks have been improved and updated to reflect local needs

MEASUREMENT

Presence of updated, collaboratively developed plan for Unicorn Park



TARGET

Updated plan in place by 2024

Mixed-use projects, including adaptive reuse of older buildings, are common and reinforce the neighborhood's character

MEASUREMENT

Number of commercial remodeling permits issued, representing improvements to commercial or mixed-use properties



BASELINE → TARGET

38 during 2017-2019 more than any other core neighborhood

at least 45 during 2023-2025, averaging 15 per year

Private and public property are cared for and show pride

MEASUREMENT

Share of properties in excellent or good condition



BASELINE → TARGET

26% in 2020

at least 35% in 2030

Properties are being improved and economic activity is growing

MEASUREMENT

Total building and improvement permits issued (new construction or renovation) for all building types



BASELINE → TARGET

295 during 2017-2019 averaging close to 100 per year

at least 330 during 2023-2025, averaging 110 per year

MEASUREMENT

Presence of organized effort to promote small businesses in the neighborhood and build supportive partnerships between residents and business owners.



TARGET

Through activities and communications platforms, neighborhood residents have a broad awareness of retail and service opportunities available to them and have opportunities to develop relationships with business owners

People feel safe and want to walk around the neighborhood

MEASUREMENT

Share of residents who indicate "safety" or "crime" as a priority in a survey of priority issues



BASELINE → TARGET

25% of Madison/Unicorn Park respondents to a 2020 survey identified crime or safety as a priority problem to address

Fewer than 15% of respondents identify crime or safety as priority problems on future surveys

MADISON / UNICORN PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

Implementation Guidance



The Core Neighborhoods Toolkit outlined in Part 4 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan contains five components with recommendations that are collectively designed to address issues and seize opportunities that surfaced during the planning process core-wide. The following pages of this brief provide guidance on the implementation of each component at the neighborhood-level.

1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



3 Housing Reinvestment Tools



4 Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



5 Public Health & Safety Tools



1


Development Regulation and Incentive Tools



The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) is the neighborhood's visual guide to future planning efforts and illustrates how land is intended to be used—what the neighborhood wants to have happen. It is not an official City map nor is it a zoning map; rather it should be used to inform any updates to a new zoning map with associated revisions to the Land Development Code (LDC).

The FLUM portrayed on the following page generally depicts land uses that currently exist in the Madison / Unicorn Park neighborhood but also includes recommended changes to areas that demonstrate locational or design characteristics representing opportunities to evolve and become something that better serves the nearby residents in the future. The following list of recommended land uses includes those areas that should be considered for possible rezoning and/or economic incentives that would allow them to transform over the next decade and become enhanced neighborhood assets. Beyond those areas recommended for change, the FLUM also visually represents land uses that should be preserved to protect existing neighborhood character, specifically the single-family residential land use designation.

- The Madison / Unicorn Park neighborhood is the most diverse neighborhood in terms of existing land uses and zoning district designations. The proposed FLUM recommends the preservation of the three primarily single-family residential areas—the area surrounding Madison Elementary School and the residential blocks directly east, and west, of Unicorn Park.
- The blocks west of North University Drive to Unicorn Park, between 4th Avenue North and 7th Avenue North, currently have a mix of commercial uses and are designated for mixed-use development in the future given their proximity to the Downtown and opportunities for multi-family residential development.
- The vacant commercial structures at the southeast corner of 25th Street North and Great Northern Drive provide mixed-use development opportunities that could be beneficial for the neighborhood that exists to the west of 25th Street North.



MADISON / UNICORN PARK FUTURE LAND USE MAP

- Single-Family Residential
- Multi-Family Residential
- Institutional
- Schools with recreational amenities
- Industrial/Warehousing
- Park, Open Space and Trails
- Commercial
- Mixed Use Neighborhood Commercial

For full descriptions of these land use categories, see page 45 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan

MADISON / UNICORN PARK NEIGHBORHOOD

2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools

Madison Neighborhood



| ACTIVITY | Small Town Feel | Family Friendly | Great Location |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Golden Ridge Lutheran monthly potlucks (Pastor Jessica in charge of the building) | ✓ | ✓ | |
| International/ interdenominational services at Golden Ridge Lutheran | | ✓ | |
| Community Picnic, sponsored by Police and Pepsi, held at Madison School | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Ag School Flower Garden across the bridge from neighborhood. | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Neighborhood Garden at Madison School | ✓ | | |
| Harvest Festival – garden potluck; maybe involve ag school somehow | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Red Hawks Baseball Minor League Baseball – neighborhood night | | ✓ | ✓ |

EXISTING ACTIVITY
POTENTIAL ACTIVITY

Unicorn Park Neighborhood



| ACTIVITY | YIMBY Personality | Eclectic Biz District | 1st-Time Buyer Friendly | Location-Close to DT |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| Ice Cream Social featuring neighborhood businesses (this could include beer floats from Drekker, some little bites from Brew Bird, hop-in quick make and take cooking class at Square One, lift some heavy things at Crossfit Icehouse) | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| Block Party | | | ✓ | |

While the Madison / Unicorn Park neighborhood does not currently have an active neighborhood association or any formal means of organizing neighbors and local business owners and employees, an ad hoc neighborhood organization did exist in 2010 but only lasted a few years. An opportunity now exists to create a neighborhood association that not only includes the residential community but the business community as well. Madison / Unicorn Park is unique among Fargo's core neighborhoods with greater than 50% of the land area dedicated to commercial and warehouse uses. This mix of land uses provides an opportunity for the residents, business owners and employees to partner in the creation of a neighborhood and/or business organization that serves the diverse needs of the area.

It is also noteworthy that the diversity of Madison / Unicorn Park extends beyond the residential and business sectors. The commercial and industrial uses that separate Madison from Unicorn Park create an artificial barrier between the two, a divide that the new neighborhood organization will have to bridge. Finding new ways to coalesce the varied components of this unique neighborhood should be endeavored with the assistance of the proposed Neighborhood Coordinator.

3 Housing Reinvestment Tools



Promising Areas for Reinvestment Resources


- Core Reinvestment "A" Blocks
- Core Reinvestment "B" Blocks

The new housing reinvestment tools proposed in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit are intended to meet a need for programs that proactively target and turn around troubled properties, as well as programs that partner with owners who are able to invest in improvements and updates but are hesitating due to uncertainty about the neighborhood or their return on investment.

Potential target areas for these programs have been identified for planning purposes. Blocks with a combination of strong properties and some weaker properties are prioritized as areas where targeting resources is likely to bolster confidence and stimulate similar investments by other owners. On this map, "A" blocks are somewhat stronger than "B" blocks, but both types are especially strong candidates for reinvestment resources in Madison / Unicorn Park.

For maximum impact, new reinvestment programs should be actively promoted and paired with existing incentives, such as the remodeling tax exemption.

MADISON / UNICORN PARK NEIGHBORHOOD



Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



SCHOOLS & PARKS

Invest in the parks and recreational facilities in the neighborhood—including upgrades that make them as useful as possible to nearby residents—to support Madison / Unicorn Park’s long-term residential appeal. Plan all such investments in collaboration with neighborhood residents.

Invest in Madison Elementary School, and the park area that surrounds it, to keep it on-par and competitive with facilities in newer parts of Fargo.

Neighborhood residents: Use parks and schools as locations for neighborhood activities and as selling points in efforts to encourage current and potential residents to invest in the neighborhood.

- A** Meadow Park
- B** Madison Park / Madison Pool
- C** Unicorn Park
- D** Madison Elementary School

CORRIDORS

Include highlighted corridors in efforts to plan and implement “complete street” designs that better serve all modes of transportation. View all future investments along corridors as opportunities to strengthen neighborhood character and identity.

Special attention should be given to creating an improved pedestrian experience from the Madison residential blocks to the Unicorn Park residential blocks and then continuing this pedestrian connection to downtown.


- 7th Ave N
- S University Dr
- Main Ave

TREES

The tree canopy contributes significantly to the neighborhood’s appeal and quality of life. It is especially dense on some of the streets in the northwestern corner of the neighborhood - the Madison residential area—but is weak in much of the remaining areas. Coordinating a tree planting program with the business community in this neighborhood would help to mitigate light, sound and noise issues and create the appearance of improved connectivity throughout the neighborhood.

- Continue strong management of existing public trees on streets and in parks
- Replant aggressively as older trees come down
- Cultivate a stronger canopy in areas where it is relatively thin, specifically the area between the two predominately residential sections

Public Trees by Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) in inches



5

Public Health & Safety Tools



More so than with other tools in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit, partnerships between the City and neighborhood residents will be critical to the success of tools that seek that to uphold basic standards of property maintenance.

The resident side of this equation should include:



Active participation in complaint-based code enforcement

Complaint-based code enforcement is now and will remain a central tool for enforcing Fargo’s property maintenance code. For this to work, it is important that residents understand how to submit complaints (online or by phone) and the process the City follows to verify and abate code violations. Stepped-up communications by the City will play a role, but so must proactive communication by groups of residents.



Help neighbors in need with compliance assistance

While partnerships between the City and non-profits can play a role in helping property owners who lack the financial or physical ability to fix basic code issues, neighborhood residents and faith-based organizations have the potential to play the leading role in a manner that also builds the neighborhood’s capacity to organize and act.



Organize alley clean-ups and maintenance

Identify opportunities to partner with the City on special clean-up events in neighborhood alleys to improve conditions and engage residents in a manner that builds connections and capacity to solve problems.



Assets to Build Upon

A range of assets in the Roosevelt /NDSU neighborhood were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **top selling points** to potential residents?



These assets represent strengths to preserve and to build a vision for the future around.

- Roosevelt Elementary School as a source of identity and neighborhood interaction
- Roosevelt Park during all seasons
- NDSU and the vitality of the student population
- Charming and well-maintained homes
- Diverse and affordable housing stock, including small rental properties
- Neighborly atmosphere
- Tree canopy and tree-lined streetscapes
- Location between downtown and NDSU
- Fargo Brewing Company and other local businesses



Issues to Address

Issues or problems to address in Roosevelt /NDSU were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **not working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **turn-offs** to potential residents?



These issues should be addressed to reinforce the neighborhood's assets and promote the quality of life of existing and future residents.

- Blighted or distressed properties
- Single-family homes converting into investment properties
- One-way arterials (University Drive and 10th) not conducive to family-friendly atmosphere
- Uncertainty about long-term land use patterns east of University Drive
- Infill projects that feel out of step with vernacular character
- Parking, including overparking on side streets and front yard parking



NEIGHBORHOOD IMPLEMENTATION BRIEF

ROOSEVELT/NDSU

What are Roosevelt /NDSU's strongest assets? Its most important trends? The vision that residents have for its future? The characteristics that will influence how the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit is implemented at the local level?

This Implementation Brief provides answers and guidance to these and other questions based on community feedback and neighborhood analysis from the 2020 core neighborhoods planning process.


Welcome to the
ROOSEVELT/NDSU
— NEIGHBORHOOD —

ROOSEVELT/NDSU NEIGHBORHOOD

Conditions and Trends

A youthful neighborhood

As would be expected with the presence of a large university campus, Roosevelt / NDSU has a significant concentration of adults between the ages of 18 and 34. While young adults comprise 37% of Fargo's overall population, they comprise at least 40%—and sometimes over 70%—of the population in much of the neighborhood, with levels that taper off on the eastern edge toward Broadway.




Source: 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Relatively low levels of homeownership and family households

While the majority of households throughout Fargo are renters, renting is especially common in Roosevelt / NDSU—bolstered by numerous apartment complexes built in recent decades near the NDSU campus. The units in those complexes—as well as rising levels of absentee-ownership of single-family homes—contribute to homeownership rates that are generally at or well below the city's 44% average in most of the neighborhood.

The commonness of renting, along with the concentration of young adults, translates to higher-than-average concentrations of large households in parts of the neighborhood (with four or more people) as well as lower-than-average concentrations of family households (with two or more related individuals).



Source: 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

A diverse and largely affordable housing stock trending toward absentee ownership

A wide variety of single-family home configurations can be found in Roosevelt / NDSU, and recent average sale prices of the most common configurations tend to be lower than prices recorded in neighborhoods with similar single-family stock, such as Horace Mann. This is a sign of relatively low demand by prospective owner-occupants and is further reflected by owner-occupancy rates for single-family homes of 51% to 64% for the most common home configurations—a very low figure when compared to the 81% to 91% range in Horace Mann.

The student rental market has been a significant factor in making single-family homes appealing to investor-owners in the neighborhood—but tepid demand from owner-occupants has also been a key factor.

Dominant Home Configurations



| | 3 beds / 2 baths | 2 beds / 1 bath | 2 beds / 2 baths | 3 beds / 1 baths | 4 beds / 2 baths |
|--|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| # of Properties | 174 | 121 | 120 | 85 | 69 |
| % Owner-Occupied | 64% | 58% | 62% | 60% | 51% |
| Average Field Survey Condition Score | 2.44 | 2.66 | 2.49 | 2.70 | 2.53 |
| Average Assessed Value, 2019 | \$165,326 | \$127,565 | \$149,816 | \$139,721 | \$166,943 |
| Average Sales Price, 2017-2019 | \$163,607 | \$131,072 | \$160,400 | \$152,585 | \$158,394 |
| Total Sales, 2017-2019 | 34 | 18 | 18 | 10 | 8 |
| Approximate Income Needed to Affordably Purchase at Recent Average Sales Price | \$55,000 | \$44,000 | \$53,000 | \$51,000 | \$53,000 |

Residential conditions are hit or miss, and many blocks are vulnerable to disinvestment

According to the 2020 field survey of residential conditions, blocks in the northeastern corner of the neighborhood are consistently healthy, with most properties categorized as excellent or good for expressing pride and lacking any overt signs of neglect.

Conditions are much more variable heading to the south and west, where the few blocks of consistent health are dominated by recent construction.

Otherwise, blocks in the neighborhood's southern and western parts have many properties that have visible signs of neglect or were rated in "average" condition—a sign that active ownership is missing and that visible disinvestment is not far behind.



ROOSEVELT/NDSU NEIGHBORHOOD

Vision for Roosevelt / NDSU

A vision for Roosevelt / NDSU emerged during the planning process in the form of a brand statement based (1) on the characteristics or attributes that are at the core of the neighborhood's image and (2) on the target homebuyer markets that are most likely to find the neighborhood's attributes and housing stock appealing.

The result is a statement describing the neighborhood in 2030 as a place that has reinforced its intrinsic assets and is a neighborhood of choice for its target markets. The statement and its components can be used in a variety of ways, such as guiding the development of events and activities that express this vision, as the basis for developing marketing materials, and to clarify the mission of existing or new neighborhood organizations.

Core Neighborhood Attributes

Neighborhood assets were distilled and prioritized into a set of core attributes that are most important to the Roosevelt / NDSU brand.



Great Location

Easy access to major Fargo assets, including programming and events at NDSU, as well as downtown attractions.



Homes with Character and History

Our homes are original to the neighborhood's development from the late 1800s through the 1940s.



Neighborliness

Neighbors know and care about each other. We are among the most diverse neighborhoods in Fargo because of our close relationship to NDSU's international faculty, staff and students, which keeps our community interesting and vibrant.

Target Markets

The neighborhood attributes and existing housing stock were used to identify target homebuyer markets that are primed to see the neighborhood as a good fit.

Profile 1

Young couples without kids; young families



Looking for entry-level homes with character in a mature neighborhood – 2 or 3 bedrooms; could also be interested in larger homes that they can grow into (spare bedrooms as offices or for future kids).

Price range
\$150,000 to \$200,000

Appreciate having small yards and leafy streets.

Attracted to the proximity to downtown and NDSU, and the high level of walkability.

Profile 2

Older singles



People who want to be near downtown and riverfront amenities but live in a traditional neighborhood setting.

Attracted to the college neighborhood vibe and being around younger adults.

Looking for something manageable in size but with room for guests; probably move-in ready (they can afford this), though able to buy something low that needs work and pay to upgrade and customize (total costs \$200k to \$250k).

Vision and Brand Statement

Neighbors in the Roosevelt / NDSU neighborhood love living in one of Fargo's most diverse communities. Our close relationship to NDSU's international faculty, staff and students keeps our community interesting and vibrant. Our neighborhood offers homebuyers a variety of homes to choose from, each with its own character, and original to the neighborhood's development from the late 1800s through the 1940s. Neighbors enjoy easy access to major Fargo assets, including programming and events at NDSU, as well as downtown attractions. But most important is the friendliness of our neighbors, who look out for each other and are proud to say that in the Roosevelt neighborhood, all are welcome.

Welcome to the
ROOSEVELT/NDSU
— NEIGHBORHOOD —

ROOSEVELT/NDSU NEIGHBORHOOD


Outcomes to Strive For

To complement the vision and brand statement and provide a basis for measuring progress toward neighborhood goals, specific neighborhood outcomes have been identified and translated into metrics for neighborhood stakeholder to track over time.



Public and private property exhibits pride and contributes to a positive image that attracts and keeps good neighbors




Neighbors and students interact in positive ways and have great places to meet and connect



Schools are thriving, and schools and residential areas reinforce each other’s success



Land use and development patterns are harmonious and predictable



ROOSEVELT/NDSU NEIGHBORHOOD

Implementation Guidance

The Core Neighborhoods Toolkit outlined in Part 4 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan contains five components with recommendations that are collectively designed to address issues and seize opportunities that surfaced during the planning process core-wide. The following pages of this brief provide guidance on the implementation of each component at the neighborhood-level.

- 1 **Development Regulation and Incentive Tools**
- 2 **Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools**
- 3 **Housing Reinvestment Tools**
- 4 **Public Infrastructure Investment Tools**
- 5 **Public Health & Safety Tools**

ROOSEVELT/NDSU




1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools

The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) is the neighborhood's visual guide to future planning efforts and illustrates how land is intended to be used—what the neighborhood wants to have happen. It is not an official City map nor is it a zoning map; rather it should be used to inform any updates to a new zoning map with associated revisions to the Land Development Code (LDC).

The FLUM portrayed on the following page generally depicts land uses that currently exist in the Roosevelt / NDSU neighborhood but also includes recommended changes to areas that demonstrate locational or design characteristics representing opportunities to evolve and become something that better serves the nearby residents in the future. The following list of recommended land uses includes those areas that should be considered for possible rezoning and/or economic incentives that would allow them to transform over the next decade and become enhanced neighborhood assets. Beyond those areas recommended for change, the FLUM also visually represents land uses that should be preserved to protect existing neighborhood character, specifically the single-family residential land use designation.


- The blocks around the Roosevelt Elementary School are generally single-family residential structures and should be preserved as such. The incremental encroachment of multi-family structures will negatively impact these blocks and should be avoided.
- The residential blocks west of North University Drive between 7th Avenue North and 12th Avenue North are currently a mix of single-family and multi-family structures, almost all of which are rental units. Given this area's proximity to the university and the fact that it is west of the University Drive corridor, this area is recommended as a multi-family land use designation. This multi-family recommendation is also recommended for the residential area further west of these blocks extending to the rail line.
- The area between Roosevelt Park and NDSU (from 10th Street North to North University Drive and 12th Avenue North to 13th Avenue North) is also a mix of single-family and multi-family structures. This area should be preserved as single-family housing to the greatest extent possible. While some multi-family structures currently exist throughout these blocks, these structures should be discouraged as a future land use. It is important to ensure the northern half (north of 12th Avenue North) of the Roosevelt / NDSU neighborhood is seamlessly connected to the southern half, specifically along the 10th Street North corridor, and this is most likely accomplished by preserving the single-family fabric that exists in this area.
- The blocks just south of NDSU along 12th Avenue North are a mix of commercial and multi-family residential uses and accordingly a mixed-use designation is recommended for this area to accommodate the needs of students and nearby residents.



ROOSEVELT/NDSU FUTURE LAND USE MAP

- Single-Family Residential
- Multi-Family Residential
- Institutional
- Schools with recreational amenities
- Industrial/Warehousing
- Park, Open Space and Trails
- Commercial
- Mixed Use Neighborhood Commercial

For full descriptions of these land use categories, see page 45 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan



ROOSEVELT/NDSU NEIGHBORHOOD

2

Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



CORE BRAND ATTRIBUTE




| ACTIVITY | Great Location | Character and History | Neighborliness |
|---|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Quarterly topical meetings (past activity) | | | ✓ |
| Annual soup event at Roosevelt ES (past activity) | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Block parties (past activity) | | | ✓ |
| Cleanup / landscaping projects in parks (past activity) | ✓ | | ✓ |
| Getting neighbors to engage in planning processes (past activity) | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Flyers for distribution to Realtors (past activity) | ✓ | ✓ | |

Roosevelt has a longstanding neighborhood association that has experienced a wane in volunteer participation in recent years. Several activities that had been routinely organized in the past have lapsed and not been replaced due to the limited volunteer base—a vicious cycle that lowers the likelihood that new residents will become engaged.

In 2021 and 2022, remaining members of the neighborhood association and the proposed Neighborhood Coordinator should use the brand statement and core attributes generated during this planning process to identify at least one new or reconstituted activity that can serve as a means of re-engaging former volunteers, bringing new volunteers into the fold, and expressing the neighborhood's vision for its future to the wider community.

3

Housing Reinvestment Tools



- Core Reinvestment "A" Blocks
- Core Reinvestment "B" Blocks

Promising Areas for Reinvestment Resources

The new housing reinvestment tools proposed in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit are intended to meet a need for programs that proactively target and turn around troubled properties, as well as programs that partner with owners who are able to invest in improvements and updates but are hesitating due to uncertainty about the neighborhood or their return on investment.

Potential target areas for these programs have been identified for planning purposes. Blocks with a combination of strong properties and some weaker properties are prioritized as areas where targeting resources is likely to bolster confidence and stimulate similar investments by other owners. On this map, "A" blocks are somewhat stronger than "B" blocks, but both types are especially strong candidates for reinvestment resources in Roosevelt / NDSU.

For maximum impact, new reinvestment programs should be actively promoted and paired with existing incentives, such as the remodeling tax exemption.

ROOSEVELT/NDSU NEIGHBORHOOD

4

Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



SCHOOLS & PARKS

Collaborate with neighborhood stakeholders on plans to turn the Johnson Soccer Complex into a community park. Plans for that site, as well as future investments in Roosevelt Park, should focus on providing high-quality amenities that promote residential reinvestment.

Invest in Roosevelt Elementary Schools (and its sister school in Horace Mann) to keep them on-par and competitive with facilities in other parts of Fargo.

Neighborhood residents: Use parks and schools as locations for neighborhood activities and as selling points in efforts to encourage current and potential residents to invest in the neighborhood.

- A** North Dakota State University
- B** Johnson Soccer Complex
- C** Roosevelt Park
- D** Roosevelt Elementary School

CORRIDORS

Include highlighted corridors in efforts to plan and implement “complete street” designs that better serve all modes of transportation. These include the evaluation of a two-way conversion for University Dr. and 10th St.

View all future investments along corridors as opportunities to strengthen neighborhood character and identity. In particular, seize on opportunities for public art on corridors in the neighborhood to express the neighborhood’s youthful and creative attributes.


- N University Dr**
- 10th St N**
- Broadway N**
- 12th Ave N**
- 7th Ave N**

TREES

The tree canopy contributes significantly to the neighborhood’s appeal and quality of life, especially in the oldest parts of Roosevelt.

- Continue strong management of existing public trees on streets and in parks
- Replant aggressively as older trees come down
- Cultivate a stronger canopy in the western half of the neighborhood where development is newer and the canopy is thinner

Public Trees by Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) in inches



5

Public Health & Safety Tools

More so than with other tools in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit, partnerships between the City and neighborhood residents will be critical to the success of tools that seek that to uphold basic standards of property maintenance.

The resident side of this equation should include:



Active participation in complaint-based code enforcement

Complaint-based code enforcement is now and will remain a central tool for enforcing Fargo’s property maintenance code. For this to work, it is important that residents understand how to submit complaints (online or by phone) and the process the City follows to verify and abate code violations. Stepped-up communications by the City will play a role, but so must proactive communication by groups of residents.



Help neighbors in need with compliance assistance

While partnerships between the City and non-profits can play a role in helping property owners who lack the financial or physical ability to fix basic code issues, neighborhood residents and faith-based organizations have the potential to play the leading role in a manner that also builds the neighborhood’s capacity to organize and act.



Organize alley clean-ups and maintenance

Identify opportunities to partner with the City on special clean-up events in neighborhood alleys to improve conditions and engage residents in a manner that builds connections and capacity to solve problems.



NEIGHBORHOOD IMPLEMENTATION BRIEF

SOUTH HIGH

What are South High's strongest assets? Its most important trends? The vision that residents have for its future? The characteristics that will influence how the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit is implemented at the local level?

This Implementation Brief provides answers and guidance to these and other questions based on community feedback and neighborhood analysis from the 2020 core neighborhoods planning process.

Welcome to the
SOUTH HIGH
— NEIGHBORHOOD —

Assets to Build Upon

A range of assets in the South High neighborhood were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **working well** in the neighborhood today?


What are the neighborhood's **top selling points** to potential residents?

SOUTH HIGH



These assets represent strengths to preserve and to build a vision for the future around.

- Homes are well-maintained and express pride
- South High School and the recreational facilities surrounding the school
- Convenient location
- Neighborliness, including presence of Little Libraries
- Nearby retail and services
- City services that are reliable and of high quality
- Street trees



Issues to Address

Issues or problems to address in South High were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **not working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **turn-offs** to potential residents?

SOUTH HIGH



These issues should be addressed to reinforce the neighborhood's assets and promote the quality of life of existing and future residents.

- Noise from busy roads, especially I-94
- Pedestrian safety on busy roads, especially near recreation facilities
- Some distressed looking homes
- Older apartment buildings that are looking rundown and are sources of nuisance complaints
- Sidewalk conditions in the winter

SOUTH HIGH NEIGHBORHOOD


Conditions and Trends

A neighborhood of long-time homeowners entering a period of transition



While 29% of Fargo homeowners have been in their current home since before 2000, the same can be said of more than 40% of South High's homeowner.

In the neighborhood's northern half, this translates to a high share of residents over age 65—more than 25%, compared to 11% citywide. This also means that a demographic transition is underway and likely to accelerate over the next few years. Already, more than 25% of residents in the neighborhood's northern half are school-age children, a sign that younger families are replacing older residents at a rapid pace.

Share of residents 65 and over



Share of residents under 18



Source: 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

Relatively large and newer homes with healthy demand

Among Fargo's core neighborhoods, South High's housing stock is the youngest, with most homes having been built between 1960 and 1979. The combination of relatively modern and large homes with easy access to downtown make South High uniquely competitive with newer neighborhoods in the Fargo-Moorhead region. Recent average sale prices of above \$200,000 for most of the neighborhood's dominant home configurations is evidence of strong demand, as are owner-occupancy rates of near 100%.

Smaller homes affordable to households making close to Fargo's median income are also available in South High, especially on northern blocks.

Dominant Home Configurations



| | 3 beds / 2 baths | 4 beds / 2 baths | 3 beds / 1 bath | 2 beds / 2 baths | 3 beds / 3 baths |
|--|------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| # of Properties | 174 | 76 | 49 | 44 | 39 |
| % Owner-Occupied | 96% | 96% | 96% | 98% | 100% |
| Average Field Survey Condition Score | 2.193 | 2.069 | 2.327 | 2.176 | 1.814 |
| Average Assessed Value, 2019 | \$197,465 | \$203,316 | \$166,376 | \$189,298 | \$257,069 |
| Average Sales Price, 2017-2019 | \$210,920 | \$218,830 | \$180,000 | \$192,657 | \$267,967 |
| Total Sales, 2017-2019 | 26 | 10 | 1 | 14 | 3 |
| Approximate Income Needed to Affordably Purchase at Recent Average Sales Price | \$70,000 | \$73,000 | \$60,000 | \$64,000 | \$89,000 |

Strong levels of residential upkeep, with a few signs of vulnerability

According to the 2020 field survey of residential conditions, almost all blocks in South High feature consistently high levels of maintenance, with nearly two out of three homes rated as excellent or good—a designation for properties showing pride of ownership and no visible signs of deferred maintenance.

Only a few blocks had overt signs of disinvestment, and these were often related to rental complexes where neglect stands out against a backdrop of well-maintained homes.

Exterior Condition Survey of Homes (Field Survey)



SOUTH HIGH NEIGHBORHOOD

Vision for South High

A vision for South High emerged during the planning process in the form of a brand statement based (1) on the characteristics or attributes that are at the core of the neighborhood's image and (2) on the target homebuyer markets that are most likely to find the neighborhood's attributes and housing stock appealing.

The result is a statement describing the neighborhood in 2030 as a place that has reinforced its intrinsic assets and is a neighborhood of choice for its target markets. The statement and its components can be used in a variety of ways, such as guiding the development of events and activities that express this vision, as the basis for developing marketing materials, and to clarify the mission of existing or new neighborhood organizations.

Core Neighborhood Attributes

Neighborhood assets were distilled and prioritized into a set of core attributes that are most important to the South High brand.



Location

The convenience, character and fun of an in-town neighborhood where kids can walk to school, but just minutes from I-94, the airport and downtown attractions.



Neighborhood

Our beautiful, tree-lined streets and parks make it easy to walk your dog around what we call "Bark Boulevard" and chat with neighbors along the way.



Family-friendly

Move-in ready, well-kept homes with yards, a safe, relaxing environment, and great schools that kids can walk to, plus a public pool for summer fun.

Target Markets

The neighborhood attributes and existing housing stock were used to identify target homebuyer markets that are primed to see the neighborhood as a good fit.

Profile 1

Young families, buying homes in the \$200,000 to \$300,000 range.



People who want a newer home in good condition (they don't want to or don't have time to renovate), but are turned off by the sterility and spotty quality of Fargo's newer subdivisions.

People who appreciate the post-war neighborhood atmosphere of tidy homes and spacious yards; meeting neighbors while dog-walking.

People who like having neighbors with similar pride in homeownership and attention to upkeep.

Value our larger than average yards (but not too big)

People who feel energized by the activity around the schools in the neighborhood - lots of school sports and young people.

Vision and Brand Statement

Neighbors in the South High neighborhood enjoy the best aspects of a suburban location – move-in ready, well-kept homes with yards, a public pool, and a safe, relaxing environment – combined with the convenience, character and fun of an in-town neighborhood where kids can walk to great schools.

Our beautiful, tree-lined streets and parks make it easy to walk our dogs around what we call "Bark Boulevard" and chat with neighbors along the way. And we're just minutes from I-94, the airport and downtown businesses.

The South High neighborhood is popular with health care professionals, teachers, people in the trades and others who work hard and take pride in their homes and neighborhood.


Welcome to the
SOUTH HIGH
— NEIGHBORHOOD —

SOUTH HIGH NEIGHBORHOOD

Outcomes to Strive For

To complement the vision and brand statement and provide a basis for measuring progress toward neighborhood goals, specific neighborhood outcomes have been identified and translated into metrics for neighborhood stakeholder to track over time.


Homes and public areas show pride and help promote a positive image for neighborhoods



Strong buyers choose to live here, including families with children




Strong buyers choose to live here, including families with children





Neighbors enjoy a walkable community





Schools are thriving, and schools and residential areas reinforce each other's success



Neighborhoods are known for being neighborly and people feel safe



There are a wide variety of people and housing types/price ranges



SOUTH HIGH NEIGHBORHOOD

Implementation Guidance

The Core Neighborhoods Toolkit outlined in Part 4 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan contains five components with recommendations that are collectively designed to address issues and seize opportunities that surfaced during the planning process core-wide. The following pages of this brief provide guidance on the implementation of each component at the neighborhood-level.

1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools

2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools

3 Housing Reinvestment Tools

4 Public Infrastructure Investment Tools

5 Public Health & Safety Tools



1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools

The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) is the neighborhood's visual guide to future planning efforts and illustrates how land is intended to be used—what the neighborhood wants to have happen. It is not an official City map nor is it a zoning map; rather it should be used to inform any updates to a new zoning map with associated revisions to the Land Development Code (LDC).

The FLUM portrayed on the following page generally depicts land uses that currently exist in the well-established South High neighborhood but also includes recommended changes to areas that demonstrate locational or design characteristics representing opportunities to evolve and become something that better serves the nearby residents in the future. The following list of recommended land uses includes those areas that should be considered for possible rezoning and/or economic incentives that would allow them to transform over the next decade and become enhanced neighborhood assets. Beyond those areas recommended for change, the FLUM also visually represents land uses that should be preserved to protect existing neighborhood character, specifically the single-family residential land use designation.

- The majority of the land use designations for the South High neighborhood are single-family residential and should be protected from multi-family encroachment.
- The southeast corner of 13th Avenue South and 25th Street South is currently a mix of commercial retail and office buildings and a combination of commercial and mixed-use land uses are recommended for these blocks. Commercial development along South 25th Street will likely address the needs of those who use South 25th Street as a transit corridor but the area set back further to the east and almost contiguous to the existing residential fabric offers opportunities for mixed-use development—possibly neighborhood commercial development on the ground floor and residential development on the upper floors.



SOUTH HIGH FUTURE LAND USE MAP


- Single-Family Residential
- Multi-Family Residential
- Institutional
- Schools with recreational amenities
- Industrial/Warehousing
- Park, Open Space and Trails
- Commercial
- Mixed Use Neighborhood Commercial

For full descriptions of these land use categories, see page 45 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan

SOUTH HIGH NEIGHBORHOOD

2

Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools



ACTIVITY


| ACTIVITY | Great Location | Neighborly | Family-Friendly |
|---|----------------|------------|-----------------|
| Welcome activity/package for new homeowners | | ✓ | ✓ |
| Homecoming Week "Bruin Pride" activities, such as decorations and yard signs | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Doggy meet and greet at one of the neighborhood parks | | ✓ | ✓ |
| South High pool and grill day for the neighborhood, using the parking lot outside of the pool to gather and grill | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Holiday lights tour | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Special activities around bike-to-school days | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| Pet parade at one of the high school parking lots for people to show off their pets | | ✓ | ✓ |

The South High neighborhood does not currently have a neighborhood association or any other formal means of organizing neighbors. Therefore, taking small steps over the next few years to gradually build a stronger sense of neighborhood identity and a larger base of engaged and connected neighbors will be an important starting point for South High.

Residents and the proposed Neighborhood Coordinator should use the brand statement and the list of potential activities generated during the planning process to begin the process of cultivating higher levels of resident engagement and leadership. Getting started on just one of the activities in 2021 and 2022 would represent an important start to this work.

3

Housing Reinvestment Tools



Promising Areas for Reinvestment Resources

- Core Reinvestment "A" Blocks
- Core Reinvestment "B" Blocks


The new housing reinvestment tools proposed in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit are intended to meet a need for programs that proactively target and turn around troubled properties, as well as programs that partner with owners who are able to invest in improvements and updates but are hesitating due to uncertainty about the neighborhood or their return on investment.

Potential target areas for these programs have been identified for planning purposes. Blocks with a combination of strong properties and some weaker properties are prioritized as areas where targeting resources is likely to bolster confidence and stimulate similar investments by other owners. On this map, "A" blocks are somewhat stronger than "B" blocks, but both types are especially strong candidates for reinvestment resources in South High.

For maximum impact, new reinvestment programs should be actively promoted and paired with existing incentives, such as the remodeling tax exemption.

SOUTH HIGH NEIGHBORHOOD

4 Public Infrastructure Investment Tools



SCHOOLS & PARKS

Invest in the parks and recreational facilities in the neighborhood—including upgrades that make them as useful as possible to nearby residents—to support South High’s long-term residential appeal. Plan all such investments in collaboration with South High residents.

Invest in South High School, and the elementary and middle schools that feed into it, to keep them on-par and competitive with facilities in newer parts of Fargo.

Neighborhood residents: Use parks and schools as locations for neighborhood activities and as selling points in efforts to encourage current and potential residents to invest in the neighborhood.

- A** South High Athletic Fields and Southwest Recreation Pool
- B** Southwest Park and Theraldson Little League Complex
- C** South High School

CORRIDORS

Include highlighted corridors in efforts to plan and implement “complete street” designs that better serve all modes of transportation. View all future investments along corridors as opportunities to strengthen neighborhood character and identity.


-  13th Ave
-  25th St S

TREES

The tree canopy contributes significantly to the neighborhood’s appeal and quality of life. It is especially dense on some of the older streets in the northeastern corner of the neighborhood.

- Continue strong management of existing public trees on streets and in parks
- Replant aggressively as older trees come down
- Cultivate a stronger canopy in areas where it is relatively thin, such as the southwest corner of South High

Public Trees by Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) in inches



5 Public Health & Safety Tools

More so than with other tools in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit, partnerships between the City and neighborhood residents will be critical to the success of tools that seek that to uphold basic standards of property maintenance.

The resident side of this equation should include:



Active participation in complaint-based code enforcement

Complaint-based code enforcement is now and will remain a central tool for enforcing Fargo’s property maintenance code. For this to work, it is important that residents understand how to submit complaints (online or by phone) and the process the City follows to verify and abate code violations. Stepped-up communications by the City will play a role, but so must proactive communication by groups of residents.



Help neighbors in need with compliance assistance

While partnerships between the City and non-profits can play a role in helping property owners who lack the financial or physical ability to fix basic code issues, neighborhood residents and faith-based organizations have the potential to play the leading role in a manner that also builds the neighborhood’s capacity to organize and act.



Assets to Build Upon

A range of assets in the Washington neighborhood were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **top selling points** to potential residents?

WASHINGTON



These assets represent strengths to preserve and to build a vision for the future around.

- Percy Godwin (Elephant) Park and tree canopy
- Washington Elementary, Ben Franklin Middle, and North High
- Walkability and good transit service
- Diverse housing types and an increasingly diverse population
- Visible signs of home investment
- Great location and presence of convenient commercial centers
- El Zagal Shrine
- Peaceful and quiet
- Alleys



Issues to Address

Issues or problems to address in Washington were identified during the planning process by asking the following:

What is **not working well** in the neighborhood today?

What are the neighborhood's **turn-offs** to potential residents?

WASHINGTON



These issues should be addressed to reinforce the neighborhood's assets and promote the quality of life of existing and future residents.

- **Some homes in disrepair; older owners unable to make repairs**
- **Incompatible design or density of recent infill development**
- **Tree trimming poorly done by utilities**
- **Small, older homes that are less marketable and costly to maintain**
- **Commercial vacancies**
- **Poor upkeep of some alleys and streets**



NEIGHBORHOOD IMPLEMENTATION BRIEF

WASHINGTON

What are Washington's strongest assets? Its most important trends? The vision that residents have for its future? The characteristics that will influence how the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit is implemented at the local level?

This Implementation Brief provides answers and guidance to these and other questions based on community feedback and neighborhood analysis from the 2020 core neighborhoods planning process.

Welcome to the
WASHINGTON
— NEIGHBORHOOD —



WASHINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD

Conditions and Trends

A neighborhood of choice for families with kids

Compared to Fargo as a whole and many other parts of the core neighborhoods, Washington has a high concentration of family households. While 50% of all households in Fargo are families (with two or more related individuals), the rate is over 55% in most of Washington and over 70% in the eastern end. And unlike some other core neighborhoods where a large share of families are older couples, Washington's families tend to be middle-aged adults with children.

The large share of families partially accounts for Washington's higher-than-average concentration of large households (with four or more individuals). But the neighborhood's proximity to NDSU and growth in absentee-ownership of single-family homes also accounts for this. In the neighborhood's western end, for example, there are concentrations of large households comprised of roommates rather than family members.




Source: 2018 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates

A diverse and affordable housing stock

Most homes in Washington were built during the first wave of suburban development after World War II to accommodate Baby Boom households, and they include a range of family-friendly configurations. While the largest homes have been selling for over \$200,000, on average, in recent years, most homes have been selling in a price range affordable to households earning at or slightly above Fargo's median income.

Dominant Home Configurations



| | 3 beds / 2 baths | 2 beds / 2 baths | 2 beds / 1 bath | 3 beds / 1 bath | 4 beds / 2 baths |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|
| # of Properties | 223 | 210 | 122 | 66 | 50 |
| % Owner-Occupied | 81% | 79% | 84% | 86% | 70% |
| Average Field Survey Condition Score | 2.53 | 2.64 | 2.66 | 2.65 | 2.67 |
| Average Assessed Value, 2019 | \$192,740 | \$169,136 | \$151,130 | \$170,855 | \$196,508 |
| Average Sales Price, 2017-2019 | \$187,446 | \$179,090 | \$153,806 | \$167,037 | \$202,017 |
| Total Sales, 2017-2019 | 33 | 30 | 29 | 9 | 6 |



Many blocks are vulnerable to decline

Part of Washington's affordability may be attributed to a substantial number of homes in need of improvement and updating. The 2020 field survey of residential conditions found that just over 40% of properties were in excellent or good condition—showing pride of ownership and no visible evidence of deferred maintenance. A slightly larger share of homes were deemed “average”, expressing neither distress nor signs of active ownership. And nearly 1 in 6 properties showed visible signs of deferred maintenance.

Blocks with a large share of “average” homes and a few distressed properties are those most vulnerable to decline in coming years if conditions dampen confidence of existing and potential owners. This is a particular threat in the western end of the neighborhood where homes are smaller and older and conditions tend to be poorer.



WASHINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD

Vision for Washington

A vision for Washington emerged during the planning process in the form of a brand statement based (1) on the characteristics or attributes that are at the core of the neighborhood's image and (2) on the target homebuyer markets that are most likely to find the neighborhood's attributes and housing stock appealing.

The result is a statement describing the neighborhood in 2030 as a place that has reinforced its intrinsic assets and is a neighborhood of choice for its target markets. The statement and its components can be used in a variety of ways, such as guiding the development of events and activities that express this vision, as the basis for developing marketing materials, and to clarify the mission of existing or new neighborhood organizations.

Core Neighborhood Attributes

Neighborhood assets were distilled and prioritized into a set of core attributes that are most important to the Washington brand.



Great Value

Starter homes at a great value in a peaceful, cozy neighborhood.



Location

Cycle to downtown amenities or to Fargodome entertainment and other NDSU events.



Outdoor Activities

It's easy to spend time outdoors here - parks, rec trails, a par-three golf course, and low traffic make it easy to walk, bike, play soccer and pickleball, even fish and geocache with friends and family. In Elephant Park, neighbors string portable hammocks between our big beautiful trees and enjoy a quiet read, while dogwalkers stroll by and chat with other neighbors.



Schools

We have high-performing public and parochial pre-K and elementary schools, Ben Franklin middle school, it's just a short walk to North High, and of course, easy access to NDSU.

Target Markets

The neighborhood attributes and existing housing stock were used to identify target homebuyer markets that are primed to see the neighborhood as a good fit.

Profile 1

Young couples and singles that want to buy their first house and appreciate the design simplicity and affordability of our housing stock



Appreciate a 'pragmatic neighborhood'

Easy and low-key neighborhood

Affordable

Value our larger than average yards (but not too big)

Know they can make a house their own and express their individual character

Move-in ready and you can make it your own pretty easily

New buyers are probably in late 20s or 30s

Vision and Brand Statement

The perfect starter home—move-in ready with a nice backyard, yet affordable enough to make it your own—is what brings many people to the Washington neighborhood, and the high quality of life tends to keep them here. Neighbors cycle to downtown amenities or to Fargodome entertainment and other NDSU events, and come right home to a peaceful, cozy community.

It's easy to spend time outdoors here—parks, trails, a par-three golf course, and low traffic provide opportunities to walk, bike, play soccer and pickleball, even fish and geocache with friends and family. In Elephant Park, neighbors string portable hammocks between our big beautiful trees and enjoy a quiet read, while dogwalkers stroll by and chat with other neighbors. Kids and adults can walk or bike to our high-performing schools, ranging all the way from Pre-K through high school and college.



WASHINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD

Outcomes to Strive For

To complement the vision and brand statement and provide a basis for measuring progress toward neighborhood goals, specific neighborhood outcomes have been identified and translated into metrics for neighborhood stakeholder to track over time.

Households of a variety of incomes and backgrounds live here and are welcome

MEASUREMENT
Share of households making less than Fargo's median income



BASELINE → TARGET

In 2018, more than 40% of households in the northwestern part of the neighborhood earned less than the city's median income (roughly \$50,000). In the remainder of the neighborhood, the share was under 30%.

Current levels of income diversity are maintained, representing housing opportunities for a wide range of household types.

MEASUREMENT
Share of residents who are non-white compared to citywide average



BASELINE → TARGET

In 2018, 16% of Fargo residents were non-white. Beyond a few blocks dominated by NDSU student apartments, the share of non-white residents across Washington is generally under 10%.

The share of non-white residents in Washington moves closer to the citywide average.

Neighborhood has more owner-occupied units than it does now

MEASUREMENT
Share of all residential properties that are owner-occupied



BASELINE → TARGET

81% of single-family homes were owner-occupied in 2020

86% of single-family homes were owner-occupied by 2030

There is a community center or local coffee shop/restaurant where neighbors can meet and socialize

MEASUREMENT
Presence of places widely regarded as neighborhood gathering spots



BASELINE → TARGET

A few places fit this description in 2020, including:
Papa Murphy's
Caribou Coffee
Labby's Grill & Bar
Elephant Park

The number of well-recognized "third places" that facilitate casual interactions between neighborhood residents grows to at least 6 by 2025.

People feel safe

MEASUREMENT
Share of residents who regard crime or safety as a concern



BASELINE → TARGET

6% of Washington respondents to a 2020 survey identified crime or safety as a priority problem to address

Maintain a figure of under 10% on future surveys that ask about priority problems to address

New and safe bike lanes are added to the neighborhood connecting it to the downtown and core neighborhoods

MEASUREMENT
Number of streets in neighborhood with well-marked accommodations for bikes



BASELINE → TARGET

MetroCOG's inventory of bikeways identifies on-road or off-road bike facilities on the following streets in Washington:
19th Ave. N
N. University Dr.
10th St. N.
9th St. N.
8th St. N.
Elm St. N.
Streets adjacent to El Zegal Golf Course

Existing bikeways in Washington are upgraded where possible (better signage, markings, or traffic separation) to expand awareness of their presence and encourage greater utilization.

WASHINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD

Implementation Guidance

The Core Neighborhoods Toolkit outlined in Part 4 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan contains five components with recommendations that are collectively designed to address issues and seize opportunities that surfaced during the planning process core-wide. The following pages of this brief provide guidance on the implementation of each component at the neighborhood-level.

- 1 **Development Regulation and Incentive Tools**
- 2 **Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools**
- 3 **Housing Reinvestment Tools**
- 4 **Public Infrastructure Investment Tools**
- 5 **Public Health & Safety Tools**




1 Development Regulation and Incentive Tools

The Future Land Use Map (FLUM) is the neighborhood's visual guide to future planning efforts and illustrates how land is intended to be used—what the neighborhood wants to have happen. It is not an official City map nor is it a zoning map; rather it should be used to inform any updates to a new zoning map with associated revisions to the Land Development Code (LDC).

The FLUM portrayed on the following page generally depicts land uses that currently exist in the well-established Washington neighborhood but also includes recommended changes to areas that demonstrate locational or design characteristics representing opportunities to evolve and become something that better serves the nearby residents in the future. The following list of recommended land uses includes those areas that should be considered for possible rezoning and/or economic incentives that would allow them to transform over the next decade and become enhanced neighborhood assets. Beyond those areas recommended for change, the FLUM also visually represents land uses that should be preserved to protect existing neighborhood character, specifically the single-family residential land use designation.

- The intersection of Broadway North and 15th Avenue North is currently commercial in character. Specifically, the Papa Murphy's has done quite well in this location and demonstrates the opportunity for this intersection to become a more robust mixed-use neighborhood center.
- The commercial center at North University Drive and 19th Avenue North is home to many viable businesses that serve the Washington neighborhood as well as university students and those residents living in the northern neighborhoods. A mixed-use land designation for this block would allow for a possible 2nd or 3rd story residential component if/when the opportunity for rehabilitation arises.
- The area from 5th Street North to Broadway North and 14th Avenue North to 15th Avenue North is primarily comprised of multi-family structures nicely integrated within the single-family structures that make up the majority of the neighborhood and provides a diversity of housing options necessary for a mixed-income demographic.



WASHINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD FUTURE LAND USE MAP

- Single-Family Residential
- Multi-Family Residential
- Institutional
- Schools with recreational amenities
- Industrial/Warehousing
- Park, Open Space and Trails
- Commercial
- Mixed Use Neighborhood Commercial

For full descriptions of these land use categories, see page 45 of the Core Neighborhoods Master Plan

WASHINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD

2 Neighborhood Leadership & Engagement Tools

CORE BRAND ATTRIBUTE



| ACTIVITY | EXISTING ACTIVITY | CORE BRAND ATTRIBUTE | | | |
|---|--------------------|----------------------|----------|--------------------|---------|
| | POTENTIAL ACTIVITY | Great Value | Location | Outdoor Activities | Schools |
| Fargo Marathon begins and ends in Washington | | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Mass bike ride (or "critical mass" event) | | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Hammock Day - Swing in the Park | | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| National Night Out | | | | | |
| Soccer in the Park | | | | ✓ | |
| Total Balance Fitness has been doing outside activities (in front of their facility); expand on these efforts | | | | ✓ | |
| Reinstate the Street Party (shut down the streets and hold a BBQ) | | | | ✓ | |
| Fun run through the neighborhood | | | ✓ | ✓ | |
| Festival at a park | | | ✓ | ✓ | |

The Washington neighborhood does not currently have a neighborhood association or any other formal means of organizing neighbors. Efforts in 2021 and 2022 should focus on neighborhood engagement and programming activities to include residents of all ages in a newly formed neighborhood association. Washington has a diverse mix of households - older and younger - and should capitalize on this demographic resource.

The residents, in collaboration with the proposed Neighborhood Coordinator, should use the brand statement and the list of potential activities generated during the planning process to begin the process of cultivating higher levels of resident engagement and leadership. These initial efforts could capitalize on an existing event that brings residents together or materialize as the result of a newly proposed neighborhood event.

3 Housing Reinvestment Tools



Promising Areas for Reinvestment Resources


- Core Reinvestment "A" Blocks
- Core Reinvestment "B" Blocks

The new housing reinvestment tools proposed in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit are intended to meet a need for programs that proactively target and turn around troubled properties, as well as programs that partner with owners who are able to invest in improvements and updates but are hesitating due to uncertainty about the neighborhood or their return on investment.

Potential target areas for these programs have been identified for planning purposes. Blocks with a combination of strong properties and some weaker properties are prioritized as areas where targeting resources is likely to bolster confidence and stimulate similar investments by other owners. On this map, "A" blocks are somewhat stronger than "B" blocks, but both types are especially strong candidates for reinvestment resources in the Washington neighborhood.

For maximum impact, new reinvestment programs should be actively promoted and paired with existing incentives, such as the remodeling tax exemption.

WASHINGTON NEIGHBORHOOD



4

Public Infrastructure Investment Tools

- SCHOOLS & PARKS**
- Invest in the parks and recreational facilities in the neighborhood—including upgrades that make them as useful as possible to nearby residents—to support Washington’s long-term residential appeal. Plan all such investments in collaboration with neighborhood residents.
- Invest in North High School, Ben Franklin Middle, and Washington Elementary to keep them on-par and competitive with facilities in newer parts of Fargo.
- Neighborhood residents: Use parks and schools as locations for neighborhood activities and as selling points in efforts to encourage current and potential residents to invest in the neighborhood.
- A** North High School
 - B** Washington Elementary School
 - C** Ben Franklin Middle School
 - D** Elephant / Percy Goodwin Park
 - E** Oxbow Park
 - F** North River Grassland
 - G** El Zagal Golf Course
 - H** Athletic Facilities

- CORRIDORS**
- Include highlighted corridors in efforts to plan and implement “complete street” designs that better serve all modes of transportation. View all future investments along corridors as opportunities to strengthen neighborhood character and identity.
- 19th Ave N
 - Broadway N
 - N University Dr
 - 10th St N

- TREES**
- The tree canopy contributes significantly to the neighborhood’s appeal and quality of life. It is especially dense on some of the older streets in the central part of the neighborhood and the eastern section towards the river.
- Continue strong management of existing public trees on streets and in parks
 - Replant aggressively as older trees come down
 - Cultivate a stronger canopy in areas where it is relatively thin, such as some of the north/south streets near North High
- Public Trees by Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) in inches**
-

5

Public Health & Safety Tools

More so than with other tools in the Core Neighborhoods Toolkit, partnerships between the City and neighborhood residents will be critical to the success of tools that seek that to uphold basic standards of property maintenance. **The resident side of this equation should include:**



Active participation in complaint-based code enforcement

Complaint-based code enforcement is now and will remain a central tool for enforcing Fargo’s property maintenance code. For this to work, it is important that residents understand how to submit complaints (online or by phone) and the process the City follows to verify and abate code violations. Stepped-up communications by the City will play a role, but so must proactive communication by groups of residents.



Help neighbors in need with compliance assistance

While partnerships between the City and non-profits can play a role in helping property owners who lack the financial or physical ability to fix basic code issues, neighborhood residents and faith-based organizations have the potential to play the leading role in a manner that also builds the neighborhood’s capacity to organize and act.



Organize alley clean-ups and maintenance

Identify opportunities to partner with the City on special clean-up events in neighborhood alleys to improve conditions and engage residents in a manner that builds connections and capacity to solve problems.

City of Fargo



CORE NEIGHBORHOODS MASTER PLAN

